

English Translation of Chapter 9 of the
book

Adams spectral sequence and stable
homotopy groups of spheres

(In Chinese)

(Sciences Press, Beijing 2007)

By Jinkun Lin

September, 2007

Chapter 9

A sequence of new families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres

In this Chapter, we will state and prove the existence of a sequence of new families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres which is in base on several papers of the author (especially [7][8][9][24]). As a preminilaries, in §1 we introduce some spectra which is closely related to Moore spectrum and Smith-Toda spectrum $V(1)$ and state some of their properties. In §2 we state and prove a general result on the convergence of $h_0\sigma$ and $h_0\sigma'$ for a pair of a_0 -related elements σ and σ' . (the generalization of [8] Theorem A). §3 is devoted to state and prove a general result on the convergence of $(i'i)_*(h_0\sigma)$ induces the convergence of $(i'i)_*(g_0\sigma)$ in the stable homotopy groups of Smith-Toda spectrum $V(1)$ (the generalization of [7] Theorem II). In §4 we prove a pull back Theorem in the Adam spectral sequence and as a corollary of the main results in §2 and §4 , in §5 we obtain the convergence of a sequence of $h_0h_n, h_0b_n, h_0h_nh_m, h_0(h_nb_{m-1} - h_mb_{n-1})$ new families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres. §6 concerns with the convergence of a sequence of $h_0\sigma\tilde{\gamma}_s, g_0\sigma\tilde{\gamma}_s$ -elements. In §7, we first prove h_n Theorem and then obtain the third periodicity $\gamma_{p^n/s}$ -families ([24] Theorem I and Theorem II)). At last , in §8, the second periodicity $\beta_{tp^n/j, i+1}$ -families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres are detected.

§1. Some spectra closely related to the Moore spectrum and Smith-Toda spectrum $V(1)$

Let M be the Moore spectrum given by the cofibration

$$(9.1.1) \quad S \xrightarrow{p} S \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma S$$

Let $\alpha : \Sigma^q M \rightarrow M$ be Adams map and K be its cofibre given by the cofibration

$$(9.1.2) \quad \Sigma^q M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{i'} K \xrightarrow{j'} \Sigma^{q+1} M$$

The above spectrum K which we briefly write as K actually is the Smith-Toda spectrum $V(1)$ in Chapter 6 §2.

Now we introduce some spectra closely related to S, M or K . Let L be the cofibre of $\alpha_1 = j\alpha i : \Sigma^{q-1}S \rightarrow S$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.1.3) \quad \Sigma^{q-1}S \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} S \xrightarrow{i''} L \xrightarrow{j''} \Sigma^q S.$$

Let Y be the cofibre of $i'i : S \rightarrow K$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.1.4) \quad S \xrightarrow{i'i} K \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} Y \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \Sigma S.$$

Y actually is the Toda spectrum $V(1\frac{1}{2})$, and it also is the cofibre of $j\alpha : \Sigma^q M \rightarrow \Sigma S$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.1.5) \quad \Sigma^q M \xrightarrow{j\alpha} \Sigma S \xrightarrow{\bar{w}} Y \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} \Sigma^{q+1} M,$$

This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma in the stable homotopy category (cf. Chapter 3 §7)

$$(9.1.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S & \xrightarrow{i'i} & K & \xrightarrow{j'} & \Sigma^{q+1} M \\ & \searrow i & \nearrow i' & \searrow \bar{r} & \nearrow \bar{u} \\ & & M & & Y \\ & \nearrow \alpha & \searrow j & \nearrow \bar{w} & \searrow \epsilon \\ \Sigma^q M & \xrightarrow{j\alpha} & \Sigma S & \xrightarrow{p} & \Sigma S \end{array}$$

Note that $\alpha_1 \cdot p = p \cdot \alpha_1 = 0$, then there exist $\pi \in [\Sigma^q S, L]$ and $\xi \in [L, S]$ such that $p = j''\pi$ and $p = \xi i''$. since $\pi_q S = 0$, then $\pi_q L \cong Z_{(p)}\{\pi\}$. Moreover, $i''\xi i'' = i'' \cdot p = (p \wedge 1_L)i''$, then $p \wedge 1_L = i''\xi + \lambda \pi j''$ for some $\lambda \in Z_{(p)}$. By composing j'' on the above equation we have $p \cdot j'' = j''(p \wedge 1_L) = \lambda j''\pi \cdot j'' = \lambda p \cdot j''$ so that $\lambda = 1$ and we have

$$(9.1.7) \quad p \wedge 1_L = i''\xi + \pi j''.$$

By the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$(9.1.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^q S & \xrightarrow{p} & \Sigma^q S & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \Sigma S & & \\ & \searrow \pi & \nearrow j'' & \searrow i & \nearrow j\alpha & \searrow i'' & \\ & & L & & \Sigma^q M & & \Sigma^{q+1} L \\ & \nearrow i'' & \searrow \bar{h} & \nearrow \bar{u} & \searrow j & \nearrow \pi & \\ S & \xrightarrow{\bar{w}} & \Sigma^{-1} Y & \xrightarrow{j\bar{u}} & \Sigma^{q+1} S & & \end{array}$$

we obtain the following cofibration

$$(9.1.9) \quad \Sigma^q S \xrightarrow{\pi} L \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} \Sigma^{-1} Y \xrightarrow{j\bar{u}} \Sigma^{q+1} S$$

and there are equations $\bar{u}\bar{h} = i \cdot j''$, $\bar{h}i'' = \bar{w}$, $\pi \cdot j = i''j\alpha$ By $2\alpha i j\alpha = i j\alpha^2 + \alpha^2 i j$ (cf. (6.5.3)), then we have $\alpha_1 \alpha_1 = 0$ and so there are $\phi \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}S, L]$ and $(\alpha_1)_L \in [\Sigma^{q-1}L, S]$ such that

$$(9.1.10) \quad j''\phi = \alpha_1 = (\alpha_1)_L \cdot i''.$$

Let W be the cofibre of $\phi : \Sigma^{2q-1}S \rightarrow L$, then W also is the cofibre of $(\alpha_1)_L : \Sigma^{q-1}L \rightarrow S$, This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$(9.1.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^{2q-1}S & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \Sigma^q S & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \Sigma S & & \\ & \searrow \phi & \nearrow j'' & \searrow i'' & \nearrow (\alpha_1)_L & & \\ & & L & & \Sigma^q L & & \\ & \nearrow i'' & \searrow w & \nearrow u & \searrow j'' & & \\ S & \xrightarrow{wi''} & W & \xrightarrow{j''u} & \Sigma^{2q}S. & & \end{array}$$

that is, we have two cofibrations

$$(9.1.12) \quad \Sigma^{2q-1}S \xrightarrow{\phi} L \xrightarrow{w} W \xrightarrow{j''u} \Sigma^{2q}S$$

$$(9.1.13) \quad \Sigma^{q-1}L \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1)_L} S \xrightarrow{wi''} W \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma^q L.$$

We write the Toda spectrum $V(\frac{1}{2})$ as K' , it is the cofibre of $jj' : \Sigma^{-1}K \rightarrow \Sigma^{q+1}S$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.1.14) \quad \Sigma^{-1}K \xrightarrow{jj'} \Sigma^{q+1}S \xrightarrow{z} K' \xrightarrow{x} K$$

K' also is the cofibre of $\alpha i : \Sigma^q S \rightarrow M$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.1.15) \quad \Sigma^q S \xrightarrow{\alpha i} M \xrightarrow{v} K' \xrightarrow{y} \Sigma^{q+1}S$$

This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$(9.1.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^q S & \xrightarrow{\alpha i} & M & \xrightarrow{i'} & K & & \\ & \searrow i & \nearrow \alpha & \searrow v & \nearrow x & & \\ & & \Sigma^q M & & K' & & \\ & \nearrow j' & \searrow j & \nearrow z & \searrow y & & \\ \Sigma^{-1}K & \xrightarrow{jj'} & \Sigma^{q+1}S & \xrightarrow{p} & \Sigma^{q+1}S & & \end{array}$$

By $\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M = ij\alpha - \alpha ij$, let $\alpha' = \alpha_1 \wedge 1_K \in [\Sigma^{q-1}K, K]$, then $j'\alpha' = -(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)j' = \alpha ijj' \in [\Sigma^{-2}K, M]$. By (9.1.16) we have $y \cdot z = p$, then $y \cdot z \cdot y = p \cdot y = y(1_{K'} \wedge p)$, so that $z \cdot y = 1_{K'} \wedge p$. This is because $[K', M] = 0$ which can be seen by the following exact sequence induced by (9.1.15)

$$0 = [\Sigma^{q+1}S, M] \xrightarrow{y^*} [K', M] \xrightarrow{v^*} [M, M] \xrightarrow{(\alpha i)^*}$$

where $[M, M] \cong Z_p\{1_M\}$ so that the above $(\alpha i)^*$ is monic. Moreover, by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma we know that the cofibre of $\alpha ijj' : \Sigma^{-1}K \rightarrow \Sigma M$ is $K' \wedge M$ given by the following cofibration

$$(9.1.17) \quad \Sigma^{-1}K \xrightarrow{\alpha ijj'} \Sigma M \xrightarrow{\psi} K' \wedge M \xrightarrow{\rho} K$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{-1}K & \xrightarrow{\alpha ijj'} & \Sigma M & \xrightarrow{v} & \Sigma K' \\
\searrow jj' & \nearrow \alpha i & \searrow \psi & \nearrow 1_{K'} \wedge j & \\
(9.1.18) & & \Sigma^{q+1}S & & K' \wedge M \\
& & \nearrow y & \searrow z & \nearrow 1_{K'} \wedge i & \searrow \rho \\
& & K' & \xrightarrow{1_{K'} \wedge p} & K' & \xrightarrow{x} & K
\end{array}$$

From $(1_{K'} \wedge j)(v \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M = v(1_M \wedge j)\overline{m}_M = v = (1_{K'} \wedge j)\psi$ we have $(v \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M = \psi$ and $d(\psi) \in [\Sigma^2 M, K' \wedge M] = 0$. Similarly, from $m_K(x \wedge 1_M)(1_{K'} \wedge i) = m_K(1_{K'} \wedge i)x = x = \rho(1_{K'} \wedge i)$ we have $\rho = m_K(x \wedge 1_M)$ and $d(\rho) \in [\Sigma K' \wedge M, K] = 0$. Concludingly, up to sign we have

$$(9.1.19) \quad \rho = m_K(x \wedge 1_M), \quad \psi = (v \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M, \quad d(\rho) = 0, d(\psi) = 0.$$

Let $\alpha' = \alpha_1 \wedge 1_K \in [\Sigma^{q-1} K, K]$, where $\alpha_1 = j\alpha i \in \pi_{q-1} S$, then $j'\alpha'\alpha' = 0$ and so by (9.1.17), there exists $\alpha'_{K' \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{q-1} K, K' \wedge M]$ such that $\rho\alpha'_{K' \wedge M} = \alpha'$. and $d(\alpha'_{K' \wedge M}) \in [\Sigma^q K, K' \wedge M] = 0$. Hence $\rho\alpha'_{K' \wedge M}i' = \alpha'i' = i'(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) = \rho(vi \wedge 1_M)(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)$ and so we have $\alpha'_{K' \wedge M}i' = (vi \wedge 1_M)(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) + \lambda\psi(ij\alpha ij)$ with $\lambda \in Z_p$, this is because $[\Sigma^{q-2} M, M] \cong Z_p\{ij\alpha ij\}$. Since the derivation $d(\alpha'_{K' \wedge M}) = 0, d(i') = 0, d(vi \wedge 1_M) = 0, d(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) = 0, d(\psi) = 0$ and $d(ij\alpha ij) = -\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M$, then by applying d to the above equation we have $\lambda\psi(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) = 0$ so that $\lambda = 0$. Concludingly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.1.20) \quad & \rho\alpha'_{K' \wedge M} = \alpha', \quad \alpha'_{K' \wedge M}i' = (vi \wedge 1_M)(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M), \quad d(\alpha'_{K' \wedge M}) = 0, \\
& \rho(1_{K'} \wedge ij)\alpha'_{K' \wedge M} = -\alpha'' \in [\Sigma^{q-2} K, K]
\end{aligned}$$

where we use $d(\alpha'') = -\alpha'$ (cf. (6.5.5)).

Proposition 9.1.21 Let $p \geq 5$, V be any spectrum and $f : \Sigma^t K' \rightarrow V \wedge K$ be any map, then $f \cdot z = 0 \in [\Sigma^{t+q+1} S, V \wedge K]$.

Proof: By Theorem 6.5.16 and Theorem 6.5.19, there is a commutative multiplication $\mu : K \wedge K \rightarrow K$ such that $\mu(i'i \wedge 1_K) = 1_K = \mu(1_K \wedge i'i)$ and there is an injection $\nu : \Sigma^{q+2} K \rightarrow K \wedge K$ such that $(jj' \wedge 1_K)\nu = 1_K$. Then by (9.1.14) we have $z \wedge 1_K = (z \wedge 1_K)(jj' \wedge 1_K)\nu = 0$ and $f \cdot z = (1_V \wedge \mu)(1_V \wedge K \wedge i'i)f \cdot z = (1_V \wedge \mu)(f \cdot z \wedge 1_K)i'i = 0$. Q.E.D.

By (9.1.6) we have $\epsilon \cdot \overline{w} = p(\text{up to sign})$, Then it is easy to proof that $\overline{w} \cdot \epsilon = (1_V \wedge p)$. By the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma in the stable homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^q M & \xrightarrow{\alpha' i'} & \Sigma K & \xrightarrow{r} & \Sigma Y \\
& \searrow j\alpha & \nearrow i' i & \searrow (r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K & \nearrow 1_Y \wedge j \\
(9.1.22) & & \Sigma S & & Y \wedge M \\
& \nearrow \epsilon & \searrow \overline{w} & \nearrow 1_Y \wedge i & \searrow m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \\
& Y & \xrightarrow{1_Y \wedge p} & Y & \xrightarrow{\overline{u}} \Sigma^{q+1} M
\end{array}$$

we know that the cofibre of $\alpha' i' : \Sigma^q M \rightarrow \Sigma K$ is $Y \wedge M$ given by the following cofibration

$$(9.1.23) \quad \Sigma^q M \xrightarrow{\alpha' i'} \Sigma K \xrightarrow{(r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K} Y \wedge M \xrightarrow{m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M)} \Sigma^{q+1} M.$$

By (9.1.10), $\alpha_1 = j'' \cdot \phi$, where $\phi \in \pi_{2q-1} L$. Then we have

$$(9.1.24) \quad m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M)(\phi \wedge 1_M) = m_M(ij'' \wedge 1_M)(\phi \wedge 1_M) = \alpha_1 \wedge 1_M.$$

Since $\alpha' i' \cdot \alpha = 0$, then by the cofibration (9.1.23), there is $\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{2q+1} M, Y \wedge M]$ such that $\alpha = m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \alpha_{Y \wedge M}$. In addition, $m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) = \alpha m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) = m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M)(1_Y \wedge \alpha)$ so that by (9.1.23) we have $\alpha_{Y \wedge M} m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) = 1_Y \wedge \alpha$ modulo $(r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K * [\Sigma^{q-1} Y \wedge M, K] = 0$, this is because $[\Sigma^q K, K] = 0 = [\Sigma^{2q} M, K]$ (cf. Theorem 6.5.9 and Theorem 6.2.11). Note that $d(\alpha_{Y \wedge M}) \in [\Sigma^{2q+2} M, Y \wedge M] = 0$, this is because $[\Sigma^{q+1} M, M] = 0$ and $[\Sigma^{2q+1} M, K] = 0$ (cf. Theorem 6.2.11), then $(j\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{q-1} M, M] \cap (\ker d) \cong Z_p \{\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M\}$ and so $(j\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} = \alpha_1 \wedge 1_M$ (up to scalar). In addition, $m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} \cdot (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) = \alpha(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) = (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) \alpha = m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M)(\bar{h}\phi \wedge 1_M) \alpha$, Then by (9.1.23) we have $\alpha_{Y \wedge M}(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{h}\phi \wedge 1_M) \alpha$, this is because $[\Sigma^{3q-1} M, K] = 0$. Moreover we have, $(1_Y \wedge \alpha)(\bar{h}\phi \wedge 1_M) = \alpha_{Y \wedge M} m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M)(\bar{h}\phi \wedge 1_M) = \alpha_{Y \wedge M}(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)$. Hence, we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.1.25) \quad & m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} = \alpha, \quad \alpha_{Y \wedge M} m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) = 1_Y \wedge \alpha, \\
& (j\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} = \alpha_1 \wedge 1_M \quad (\text{up to scalar}) \\
& \alpha_{Y \wedge M}(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) = (1_Y \wedge \alpha)(\bar{h}\phi \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{h}\phi \wedge 1_M) \alpha
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{2q+1} M, Y \wedge M] \cap (\ker d)$ and $\phi \in \pi_{2q-1} L$.

Now recall the ring spectrum properties of the spectrum K . By Theorem 6.5.16 and (6.5.17), there is a homotopy equivalence $K \wedge K = K \vee \Sigma L \wedge K \vee \Sigma^{q+2} K$ and there are projections and injections

$$(9.1.26) \quad \mu : K \wedge K \rightarrow K, \quad \mu_2 : K \wedge K \rightarrow \Sigma L \wedge K, \quad jj' \wedge 1_K : K \wedge K \rightarrow \Sigma^{q+2} K$$

$i' i \wedge 1_K : K \rightarrow K \wedge K, \quad \nu_2 : \Sigma L \wedge K \rightarrow K \wedge K, \quad \nu : \Sigma^{q+2} K \rightarrow K \wedge K$ such that (cf. Theorem 6.5.16, Theorem 6.5.19)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(i'i \wedge 1_K) &= 1_K = \mu(1_K \wedge i'i), \quad (jj' \wedge 1_K)\nu = 1_K = (1_K \wedge jj')\nu, \\ (i'i \wedge 1_K)\mu + \nu_2\mu_2 + (jj' \wedge 1_K)\nu &= 1_{K \wedge K}, \quad \mu_2(i'i \wedge 1_K) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (9.1.4), there exists $\bar{\mu}_2 \in [Y \wedge K, \Sigma L \wedge K]$ such that $\bar{\mu}_2(r \wedge 1_K) = \mu_2$ and $d(\bar{\mu}_2) = 0 \in [Y \wedge K, L \wedge K]$, this can be obtained from $d(\bar{\mu}_2(r \wedge 1_K)) = d(\mu_2) = 0$ (cf. Theorem 6.5.19(H)). By the first equation of (9.1.25), (9.1.23)(9.1.3) and the following homotopy commutative diagram (9.1.28) of 3×3 -Lemma we know that the cofibre of $\alpha_{Y \wedge M} : \Sigma^{2q+1}M \rightarrow Y \wedge M$ is $\Sigma L \wedge K$ given by the following cofibration

$$(9.1.27) \quad \Sigma^{2q+1}M \xrightarrow{\alpha_{Y \wedge M}} Y \wedge M \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i')} \Sigma L \wedge K \xrightarrow{j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)} \Sigma^{2q+2}M$$

$$(9.1.28) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^q M & \xrightarrow{\alpha' i'} & \Sigma K & \xrightarrow{i'' \wedge 1_K} & \Sigma L \wedge K \\ \searrow i' & \nearrow \alpha' & \searrow (r \wedge 1_M) \bar{\mu}_K & \nearrow \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i') & \\ & \Sigma^q K & & Y \wedge M & \\ \nearrow (j'' \wedge 1_K) & \searrow j' & \nearrow \alpha_{Y \wedge M} & \searrow m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M) & \\ L \wedge K & \xrightarrow{j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)} & \Sigma^{2q+1}M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Sigma^{q+1}M \end{array}$$

Since $\epsilon \wedge 1_K = \mu(i'i \wedge 1_K)(\epsilon \wedge 1_K) = 0$, then the cofibration (9.1.4) induces a split cofibration $K \xrightarrow{i' \wedge 1_K} K \wedge K \xrightarrow{r \wedge 1_K} Y \wedge K$. that is, there is a homotopy equivalence $K \wedge K = K \vee Y \wedge K$ so that $Y \wedge K = \Sigma L \wedge K \vee \Sigma^{q+2}K$ and there are projections $\bar{\mu}_2 : Y \wedge K \rightarrow \Sigma L \wedge K$, $j\bar{u} \wedge 1_K : Y \wedge K \rightarrow \Sigma^{q+2}K$ and injections $\nu_Y : \Sigma^{q+2}K \rightarrow Y \wedge K$, $\bar{\nu}_2 : \Sigma L \wedge K \rightarrow Y \wedge K$ such that $\nu_Y = (r \wedge 1_K)\nu$ and

$$(9.1.29) \quad (j\bar{u} \wedge 1_K)\nu_Y = 1_K, \quad \bar{\mu}_2\bar{\nu}_2 = 1_{L \wedge K}, \quad \nu_Y(j\bar{u} \wedge 1_K) + \bar{\nu}_2\bar{\mu}_2 = 1_{Y \wedge K}.$$

By (9.1.1)(9.1.15)(9.1.3) and homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma we can easily know that the cofibre of $vi : S \rightarrow K'$ is ΣL given by the following cofibration

$$(9.1.30) \quad S \xrightarrow{vi} K' \xrightarrow{k} \Sigma L \xrightarrow{\xi} \Sigma S$$

with relations $\xi \cdot i'' = p$ so that $\xi i'' \wedge 1_M = p \wedge 1_M = 0$ and so $\xi \wedge 1_M = \alpha(j'' \wedge 1_M)$. In addition, $\xi i'' \wedge 1_K = p \wedge 1_K = 0$ so that $\xi \wedge 1_K \in (j'' \wedge 1_K)^*[\Sigma^q K, K] = 0$. Then, the cofibration (9.1.30) induces a split cofibration $K \xrightarrow{vi \wedge 1_K} K' \wedge K \xrightarrow{k \wedge 1_K} \Sigma L \wedge K$. That is to say, $K' \wedge K$ splits into $K \vee \Sigma L \wedge K$ so that there is $\nu'_2 : \Sigma L \wedge K \rightarrow K' \wedge K$ such that $(k \wedge 1_K)\nu'_2 = 1_{L \wedge K}$ and $\mu(x \wedge 1_K)(vi \wedge 1_K) = 1_K$, $(vi \wedge 1_K)\mu(x \wedge 1_K) + \nu'_2(k \wedge 1_K) = 1_{K' \wedge K}$. Moreover, $x(1_{K'} \wedge \epsilon) = (1_K \wedge \epsilon)(x \wedge 1_Y) = 0 \in [\Sigma^{-1}K' \wedge Y, K]$, Hence, by (9.1.14) we have, $1_{K'} \wedge \epsilon = z \cdot \omega$, $\omega \in [K' \wedge Y, \Sigma^{q+2}S]$. We claim that $K' \wedge Y$ splits into

$\Sigma^{q+2}S \vee \Sigma L \wedge K$, this can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma in the stable homotopy category

$$(9.1.31) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K' \wedge Y & \xrightarrow{1_{K'} \wedge \epsilon} & \Sigma K' & \xrightarrow{x} & \Sigma K \\ & \searrow \tilde{\nu} & \nearrow z & \searrow 1_{K'} \wedge i' & \nearrow \mu(x \wedge 1_K) \\ & \Sigma^{q+2}S & & \Sigma K' \wedge K & \\ & \nearrow jj' & \searrow 0 & \nearrow \nu'_2 & \searrow 1_{K'} \wedge r \\ K & \xrightarrow{0} & \Sigma^2 L \wedge K & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}_2} & \Sigma K' \wedge Y. \end{array}$$

That is, we have a split cofibration $\Sigma L \wedge K \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}_2} K' \wedge Y \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}} \Sigma^{q+2}S$ so that there are $\tilde{\tau} : \Sigma^{q+2}S \rightarrow K' \wedge Y$, $\tilde{\mu}_2 : K' \wedge Y \rightarrow \Sigma L \wedge K$ such that

$$(9.1.32) \quad \tilde{\nu} \cdot \tilde{\tau} = 1_S, \quad \tilde{\mu}_2 \tilde{\nu}_2 = 1_{L \wedge K}, \quad \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}_2 \tilde{\mu}_2 = 1_{K' \wedge Y}.$$

Proposition 9.1.33 Let V be any spectrum, then there is a direct sum decomposition

$$[\Sigma^* M, V \wedge K] = (kerd)i' \oplus (kerd)i'ij$$

where $kerd = [\Sigma^* K, V \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$.

Proof : For any $f \in [\Sigma^* M, V \wedge K]$ we have $(1_V \wedge \mu)(fi \wedge 1_K)i'i = (1_V \wedge \mu(1_K \wedge i'i))fi = fi$, where $\mu : K \wedge K \rightarrow K$ is the multiplication of the ring spectrum K such that $\mu(i'i \wedge 1_K) = 1_K = \mu(1_K \wedge i'i)$ (cf. (9.1.26)). Then $f = (1_V \wedge \mu)(fi \wedge 1_K)i' + f_2 \cdot j$ for some $f_2 \in [\Sigma^{*+1}S, V \wedge K]$. It follows that $f = (1_V \wedge \mu)(fi \wedge 1_K)i' + (1_V \wedge \mu)(f_2 \wedge 1_K)i'ij$ which proves the result, where $d(fi \wedge 1_K) = fi \wedge d(1_K) = 0$, $d(1_V \wedge \mu) = 1_V \wedge d(\mu) = 0$ (cf. Theorem 6.5.19(G)). Q.E.D.

§2. A general result on convergence of a_0 -related elements

From [12] p. 11 Theorem 1.2.14, there is a nontrivial secondary differential in the Adams spectral sequence $d_2(h_n) = a_0 b_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$, where $d_2 : Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(Z_p, Z_p) \rightarrow Ext_A^{3,p^n q+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is the secondary differential of the Adams spectral sequence. We call $h_n \in Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and $b_{n-1} \in Ext_A^{2,p^n q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is a pair of a_0 -related elements. In this section, we prove a general result on convergence of a_0 -related elements in the Adams spectral sequence of sphere spectrum and Moore spectrum.

Definition 9.2.1 Let $p \geq 7, s \leq 4$, and there is a nontrivial secondary differential of the Adams spectral sequence $d_2(\sigma) = a_0 \sigma'$, we call

$\sigma \in Ext_A^{s,tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and $\sigma' \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is a pair of a_0 -related elements. We have the following general result.

The main Theorem A (the generalization of [8] Theorem A) Let $p \geq 7, s \leq 4$, σ be the unique generator of $Ext_A^{s,tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and there is a nontrivial secondary differential $d_2(\sigma) = a_0\sigma'$ in the ASS, where σ' is the unique generator (or the linear combination of the two generators) of $Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$. Moreover, suppose that

- (I) $Ext_A^{s,tq+rq-u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0 (r = 2, 3, 4, u = 1, 2)$.
 $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0\sigma\}$, $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{a_0\sigma\}$,
 $Ext_A^{s+1,tq-q}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$
 $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+kq+r-1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0 (k = 2, 3, 4, r = 0, 1)$,
 $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+kq+r-2}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0 (k = 1, 2, 3, r = 0, 1)$.
- (II) $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+rq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0, r = 2, 3, 4, u = -1, 0$ or $r = 3, 4, u = 1$,
 $Ext_A^{s+2,tq}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ or has unique generator ι such that $a_0^2\iota \neq 0$,
 $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0\sigma'\}$ or $Z_p\{h_0\sigma'_1, h_0\sigma'_2\}$.
- (III) $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+rq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0 (r = 1, 3, 4)$,
 $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+rq}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0 (r = 2, 3)$
 $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma'\}$ or $Z_p\{\tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma'_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma'_2\}$
 $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{a_0^2\sigma'\}$ or $Z_p\{a_0^2\sigma'_1, a_0^2\sigma'_2\}$
 $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{a_0\iota\}$ or 0 ,

Then $h_0\sigma' \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and $i_*(h_0\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*M, Z_p)$ are permanent cycles in the ASS.

To prove the main Theorem A, we need some preminilaries as follows.

For $(\alpha_1)_L \in [\Sigma^{q-1}L, S]$ in (9.1.10) we have $\alpha_1 \cdot (\alpha_1)_L \in [\Sigma^{2q-2}L, S] = 0$ which is obtained from $\pi_{rq-2}S = 0$ ($r = 2, 3$). Then there is $\bar{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]$ such that $j''\bar{\phi} = (\alpha_1)_L \in [\Sigma^{q-1}L, S]$ and $\bar{\phi} \cdot i'' \in \pi_{2q-1}L$. Since $\pi_{rq-1}S$ has unique generator $\alpha_1 = j\alpha_i, \alpha_2 = j\alpha^2_i$ for $r = 1, 2$ respectively and $j''\phi \cdot p = \alpha_1 \cdot p = 0$, then $\phi \cdot p = i''\alpha_2$ (up to scalar). That is, $i''_*\pi_{2q-1}S$ also is generated by ϕ , so that $\pi_{2q-1}L \cong Z_p^s\{\phi\}$, for some $s \geq 1$. Hence, $\bar{\phi}i'' = \lambda\phi$ with $\lambda \in Z_{(p)}$ and we have $\lambda\alpha_1 = \lambda j''\phi = j''\bar{\phi}i'' = (\alpha_1)_L i'' = \alpha_1$ so that $\lambda = 1 \pmod{p}$. Moreover, $(\alpha_1)_L \bar{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{3q-2}L, S] = 0$, this is because $\pi_{rq-2}S = 0$ ($r = 3, 4$), then, by (9.1.13), there is $\bar{\phi}_W \in [\Sigma^{3q-1}L, W]$ such that $u\bar{\phi}_W = \bar{\phi}$. Concludingly, we have elements $\bar{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L], \bar{\phi}_W \in [\Sigma^{3q-1}L, W]$ such that

(9.2.2) $j''\bar{\phi} = (\alpha_1)_L$, $\bar{\phi}i'' = \lambda\phi$, $\lambda = 1 \pmod{p}$, $u\bar{\phi}_W = \bar{\phi}$.

Proposition 9.2.3 Let $p \geq 7$, then

- (1) Up to nonzero scalar we have $\phi \cdot p = i''\alpha_2 = \pi \cdot \alpha_1 \neq 0$, $(\alpha_1)_L \cdot \pi = \alpha_2$,
 $p \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = \alpha_2 \cdot j'' = (\alpha_1)_L \pi j'' \neq 0$,
 $[\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]$ has unique generator $\bar{\phi}$ modulo some elements of filtration ≥ 2 .

(2) $\bar{h}\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) \neq 0 \in [\Sigma^{2q}L, Y]$

(3) $\bar{h}\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)(p \wedge 1_L) \neq 0 \in [\Sigma^{3q}L, Y]$, $j''\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)\pi = j\alpha^3i \in \pi_{3q-1}S$ (up to mod p nonzero scalar), and $\bar{h}\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)\pi \neq 0 \in \pi_{4q}Y$, where $\tilde{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}L \wedge L, L]$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(1_L \wedge i'') = \bar{\phi}$.

(4) $\pi_{4q}Y$ has unique generator $\bar{h}\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)\pi$ such that $\bar{h}\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)\pi \cdot p = 0$.

Proof: (1) Since $j''\phi \cdot p = \alpha_1 \cdot p = 0 = j''\pi \cdot \alpha_1$, and $\pi_{2q-1}S \cong Z_p\{\alpha_2\}$, then $\phi \cdot p = i''\alpha_2 = \pi \cdot \alpha_1$ (up to scalar). We claim that $\phi \cdot p \neq 0$, this can be proved as follows. Consider the following exact sequence

$$Z_p\{j\alpha^2\} \cong [\Sigma^{2q-1}M, S] \xrightarrow{i''_*} [\Sigma^{2q-1}M, L] \xrightarrow{j''_*} [\Sigma^{q-1}M, S] \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1)_*}$$

induced by (9.1.3). The right group has unique generator $j\alpha$ satisfying $(\alpha_1)_*j\alpha = j\alpha i j\alpha = \frac{1}{2}j\alpha\alpha i j \neq 0$, then the above $(\alpha_1)_*$ is monic, $\text{im } j''_* = 0$ so that $[\Sigma^{2q-1}M, L] \cong Z_p\{i''j\alpha^2\}$. Suppose in contrast that $\phi \cdot p = 0$, then $\phi \in i^*[\Sigma^{2q-1}M, L]$ and so $\phi = i''j\alpha^2i$, $\alpha_1 = j''\phi = j''i''\alpha_2 = 0$ which is a contradiction. This shows that $\phi \cdot p \neq 0$ so that the above scalar is nonzero (mod p).

The proof of the second result is similar. To prove the last result, let x be any element of $[\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]$, then $j''x \in [\Sigma^{q-1}L, S] \cong Z_{p^s}\{(\alpha_1)_L\}$ for some $s \geq 2$. Hence, $j''x = \lambda j''\bar{\phi}$ with $\lambda \in Z_{p^s}$ so that $x = \lambda\bar{\phi} + i''x'$, where $x' \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}L, S]$. Since $x'i'' \in \pi_{2q-1}S \cong Z_p\{j\alpha^2i\}$ and $\pi_{3q-1}S \cong Z_p\{j\alpha^3i\}$, then x' is an element of filtration ≥ 2 which shows the result.

(2) Suppose in contrast that $\bar{h}\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) = 0$, then by (9.1.9) we have $\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) = \lambda'\pi \cdot (\alpha_1)_L$, where $\lambda' \in Z_{(p)}$. Since $j''\pi \wedge 1_M = p \wedge 1_M = 0$, then $\pi \wedge 1_M = (i'' \wedge 1_M)\alpha$, and so $\lambda'(\pi \wedge 1_M)i \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = \lambda'(1_L \wedge i)\pi(\alpha_1)_L = 0$. Moreover we have $\lambda'(i'' \wedge 1_M)\alpha i(\alpha_1)_L = \lambda'(\pi \wedge 1_M)i(\alpha_1)_L = 0$, then $\lambda'\alpha i(\alpha_1)_L \in (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*[\Sigma^qL, M]$ and so $\lambda'\alpha i\alpha_1 \in (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)(i'')^*[\Sigma^qL, M] = 0$ which can be obtained by the following exact sequence

$$[\Sigma^{2q}S, M] \xrightarrow{(j'')^*} [\Sigma^qL, M] \xrightarrow{(i'')^*} [\Sigma^qS, M] \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.3), where the right group has unique generator αi satisfying

$(\alpha_1)^* \alpha i = \alpha i j \alpha i \neq 0$ so that $(i'')^* [\Sigma^q L, M] = 0$. The above equation implies that $\lambda' = 0$ so that we have $\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) = 0$, this contradicts with the result in (1) on $j'' \bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) = p \cdot (\alpha_1)_L \neq 0$. This shows that $\bar{h} \bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) \neq 0$.

(3) Since $\pi_{rq-2} S = 0$ ($r = 2, 3, 4$), then $\bar{\phi}(1_L \wedge \alpha_1) \in [\Sigma^{3q-2} L, L] = 0$, and so there is $\tilde{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{2q-1} L \wedge L, L]$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(1_L \wedge i'') = \bar{\phi}$. We first prove $\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)(p \wedge 1_L) \neq 0$. For otherwise, if it is zero, then $\bar{\phi} \pi \cdot p = \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)(p \wedge 1_L) i'' = 0$ so that $\bar{\phi} \pi \in i^* [\Sigma^{3q-1} M, L]$. However, $(j'')_* [\Sigma^{3q-1} M, L] \subset [\Sigma^{2q-1} M, S]$ the last of which has unique generator $j \alpha^2$ satisfying $(\alpha_1)_*(j \alpha^2) = j \alpha i j \alpha^2 \neq 0$, then $(j'')_* [\Sigma^{3q-1} M, L] = 0$ and so we have $(\alpha_1)_L \pi = j'' \bar{\phi} \pi \in i^* (j'')_* [\Sigma^{3q-1} M, L] = 0$, this contradicts with the result in (1).

Now suppose in contrast that $\bar{h} \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)(p \wedge 1_L) = 0$, then by (9.1.9) we have, $\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)(p \wedge 1_L) = \pi \cdot \omega$, where $\omega \in [\Sigma^{2q-1} L, S]$ satisfying $\omega i'' = \lambda_1 \alpha_2$ for some $\lambda_1 \in Z_p$. It follows that $(i'' \wedge 1_M) \alpha i \omega = (1_L \wedge i) \pi \cdot \omega = 0$, then $\alpha i \omega \in (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_* [\Sigma^{2q} L, M]$ and so $\lambda_1 \alpha i \alpha_2 = \alpha i \omega i'' \in (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_* (i'')^* [\Sigma^{2q} L, M] = (\alpha_1)^* (i'')^* [\Sigma^{2q} L, M] = 0$. This shows that $\lambda_1 = 0$ (since $\alpha i \alpha_2 = \alpha i j \alpha^2 i \neq 0$). Hence, $\omega = \lambda_2 j \alpha^3 i \cdot j''$ and $\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)(p \wedge 1_L) = \lambda_2 \pi \cdot j \alpha^3 i \cdot j''$ for some $\lambda_2 \in Z_{(p)}$. It follows that $\bar{\phi} \pi \cdot p = \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)(p \wedge 1_L) i'' = 0$, then $\bar{\phi} \pi \in i^* [\Sigma^{3q-1} M, L]$ and so $(\alpha_1)_L \pi = j'' \bar{\phi} \pi \in i^* (j'')_* [\Sigma^{3q-1} M, L] = 0$. This contradicts with the result in (1) on $(\alpha_1)_L \pi \neq 0$.

For the second result, by (9.1.9) we have $\pi \cdot j = i'' j \alpha$, then $j'' \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi \cdot j = j'' \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) i'' j \alpha = j'' \bar{\phi} \pi j \alpha = (\alpha_1)_L \pi j \alpha = \alpha_2 j \alpha = j \alpha^3 i j$ (up to mod p nonzero scalar). Consequently we have $j'' \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi = j \alpha^3 i$ (up to nonzero scalar), This is because $\pi_{3q-1} S \cong Z_p \{\alpha_3\}$ so that $p^* \pi_{3q-1} S = 0$.

For the last result, we first prove $\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi \neq 0$. For otherwise, if it is zero, then $0 = \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi \cdot j = \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) i'' j \alpha = \bar{\phi} \pi j \alpha$ and so $\alpha_2 j \alpha = (\alpha_1)_L \pi j \alpha = j'' \bar{\phi} \pi j \alpha = 0$, this is a contradiction (since $\alpha_2 j \alpha = j \alpha^2 i j \alpha \neq 0 \in [\Sigma^{3q-2} M, S]$). Now suppose in contrast that $\bar{h} \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi = 0$, Then, by (9.1.9) and $\pi_{3q-1} S \cong Z_p \{\alpha_3\}$ we have $\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi = \lambda \pi \cdot j \alpha^3 i = \lambda i'' j \alpha^4 i$ for some $\lambda \in Z_p$ and so $j'' \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi = 0$, this contradicts with the second result.

(4) Since $(\bar{u})_* \pi_{4q} Y \subset \pi_{3q-1} M$ and the last of which has unique generator $i j \alpha^3 i = i j'' \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi = \bar{u} \bar{h} \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi$ (up to nonzero scalar) and $\pi_{4q-1} S \cong Z_p \{j \alpha^4 i\}$ such that $(\bar{w})_* \pi_{4q-1} S = 0$, then $\pi_{4q} Y$ has unique generator $\bar{h} \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi$. Moreover by (9.1.7) we have, $\bar{h} \tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L) \pi \cdot p = \bar{h}(p \wedge$

$1_L)\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)\pi = \bar{h}i''\xi\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)\pi = \bar{w}j\alpha^4i = 0$. This shows the result. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.2.4 Let $p \geq 7$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem A we have

$Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*L, Z_p) = 0$, $Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(H^*L, H^*L) \cong Z_p\{(\sigma')_L\}$ or $Z_p\{(\sigma'_1)_L, (\sigma'_2)_L\}$, where L is the spectrum in (9.1.3) and there are relations $(i'')^*(\sigma')_L = (i'')_*(\sigma')$ or $(i'')^*(\sigma'_1)_L = (i'')_*(\sigma'_1), (i'')^*(\sigma'_2)_L = (i'')_*(\sigma'_2)$.

Proof: Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{i''_*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*L, Z_p) \\ &\xrightarrow{j''_*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1)_*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.3). The right group has unique generator σ' or has two generators σ'_1, σ'_2 satisfying $(\alpha_1)_*(\sigma') = h_0\sigma' \neq 0$ or $(\alpha_1)_*(\sigma'_1) = h_0\sigma'_1 \neq 0, (\alpha_1)_*(\sigma'_2) = h_0\sigma'_2 \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ (cf. the supposition II), then the above $(\alpha_1)_*$ is monic so that $\text{im } j''_* = 0$. Moreover, the left group has unique generator $h_0\sigma = (\alpha_1)_*(\sigma)$, then $\text{im } i''_* = 0$ so that $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*L, Z_p) = 0$. Look at the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*L, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{(j'')^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(H^*L, H^*L) \\ &\xrightarrow{(i'')^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(H^*L, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1)^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.3). Since $Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\sigma'\}$ or $Z_p\{\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2\}$ and $Ext_A^{s+1,tq-q}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$, then the right group has unique generator $(i'')_*(\sigma')$ or has two generators $(i'')_*(\sigma'_1), (i'')_*(\sigma'_2)$, the image of which under $(\alpha_1)^*$ is zero. Then, the result on the middle group is proved. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.2.5 Let $p \geq 7$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem A we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+1}(H^*L, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\bar{\phi}_*\pi_*(\sigma'_1), \bar{\phi}_*\pi_*(\sigma'_2)\}$ or has unique generator $\bar{\phi}_*\pi_*\sigma'$
- (2) $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+2}(H^*Y, H^*L) \cong Z_p\{\bar{h}_*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma'_1)_L, \bar{h}_*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma'_2)_L\}$ or has unique generator $\bar{h}_*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma')_L$, where $\tilde{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}L \wedge L, L]$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(1_L \wedge i'') = \bar{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]$ (cf. Prop. 9.2.3(3)).

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{i''_*} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+1}(H^*L, Z_p) \\ &\xrightarrow{j''_*} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1)_*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.3). The left group is zero and the right group has unique generator $\tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma'$ or has two generators $\tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma'_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma'_2$ (cf. the supposition III).

Note that $j\alpha\alpha i = (\alpha_1)_L \cdot \pi = j''\bar{\phi} \cdot \pi \in \pi_{2q-1}S$, (cf. Prop. 9.2.3), then $\tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma'_1 = j_*\alpha_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma'_1) = j''_*\bar{\phi}_*\pi_*(\sigma'_1)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_2(\sigma'_2) = j''_*\bar{\phi}_*\pi_*(\sigma'_2)$ so that the result on the middle group follows.

(2) Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 = Ext_A^{s+3,tq+4q+1}(H^*L, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(j'')^*} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+1}(H^*L, H^*L) \xrightarrow{(i'')^*} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+1}(H^*L, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.3). By the supposition III, $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+rq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 3, 4$). By (1) and $\bar{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}(1_L \wedge i'')$, the right group has unique generator $\bar{\phi}_*\pi_*(\sigma') = (i'')^*(\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma'))_L$ or has two generators $\bar{\phi}_*\pi_*(\sigma'_1) = (i'')^*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma'_1)_L$, $\bar{\phi}_*\pi_*(\sigma'_2) = (i'')^*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma'_2)_L$ the image of which under $(\alpha_1)^*$ is zero, then the middle group has unique generator $\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma')_L$ or has two generators $\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma'_1)_L$, $\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\sigma'_2)_L$. Moreover, by $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+rq}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 2, 3$) we know that $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2q}(Z_p, H^*L) = 0$, then by (9.1.9), $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+2}(H^*Y, H^*L) = \bar{h}_*Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+1}(H^*L, H^*L)$ and the result follows as desired. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.2.6 Let $p \geq 7$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem A we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+3q+1}(H^*Y, H^*L) = 0$, $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+4q+2}(H^*Y, Z_p) = 0$.
- (2) $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+3q+r}(H^*Y, H^*L) = 0$, $r = 0, 1$.

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s+2,tq+3q}(H^*L, H^*L) \xrightarrow{(\bar{h})^*} Ext_A^{s+2,tq+3q+1}(H^*Y, H^*L) \xrightarrow{(j\bar{u})^*} Ext_A^{s+2,tq+2q-1}(Z_p, H^*L) \xrightarrow{(\pi)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.9). By the supposition II on $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+rq}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 2, 3, 4$) we know that the left group is zero. By the supposition II on $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+rq-1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 2, 3$) also know that the right group is zero. Then the middle group is zero as desired.

For the second result, consider the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s+2,tq+4q+1}(H^*L, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(\bar{h})^*} Ext_A^{s+2,tq+4q+2}(H^*Y, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(j\bar{u})^*} Ext_A^{s+2,tq+3q}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

induced by (9.1.9). By the supposition II on $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+rq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 3, 4$) we know that the left group is zero. Similarly, the right group also is zero. Then the middle group is zero as desired.

(2) Consider the following exact sequence ($r = 0, 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+3q+r-1}(H^*L, H^*L) &\xrightarrow{(\bar{h})^*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+3q+r}(H^*Y, H^*L) \\ &\xrightarrow{(j\bar{u})^*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+2q+r-2}(Z_p, H^*L) \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.9). By the supposition I on $\text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+kq+r-1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, r = 0, 1$) we know that the left group is zero. By the supposition II on $\text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+kq+r-2}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($k = 2, 3, r = 0, 1$) also know that the right group is zero, then the middle group is zero as desired. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.2.7 Let $p \geq 7$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem A we have

(1) $\text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+3q}(H^*W, H^*L) \cong Z_p\{(\bar{\phi}_W)_*(\sigma)_L\}$, where $\bar{\phi}_W \in [\Sigma^{3q-1}L, W]$ satisfying $u\bar{\phi}_W = \bar{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]$ and $(\sigma)_L \in \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq}(H^*L, H^*L)$ such that $(i'')^*(\sigma)_L = (i'')_*(\sigma) \in \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq}(H^*L, Z_p)$.

(2) $\text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+3q}(H^*Y, H^*L) = 0$, $\text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+q-1}(H^*M, H^*L) = 0$

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+3q}(H^*L, H^*L) &\xrightarrow{w_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+3q}(H^*W, H^*L) \\ &\xrightarrow{(j''u)_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, H^*L) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.12). By the supposition I on $\text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+rq}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 2, 3, 4$) we know that the left group is zero. By $(i'')^* \cdot \text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, H^*L) \subset \text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and the last of which has unique generator $h_0\sigma = (\alpha_1)^* \cdot (\sigma) = (i'')^*((\alpha_1)_L)^*(\sigma)$ and $\text{Ext}_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$, then the right group has unique generator $((\alpha_1)_L)^*(\sigma) = ((\alpha_1)_L)_*(\sigma)_L = (j''u)_*(\bar{\phi}_W)_*(\sigma)_L$, where $(\sigma)_L \in \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq}(H^*L, H^*L)$ satisfying $(i'')^*(\sigma)_L = (i'')_*(\sigma) \in \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq}(H^*L, Z_p)$. Moreover, $\phi_*((\alpha_1)_L)_*(\sigma)_L = 0 \in \text{Ext}_A^{s+2,tq+3q}(H^*L, H^*L)$, then the middle group has unique generator $(\bar{\phi}_W)_*(\sigma)_L$.

(2) Consider the following exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+3q-1}(H^*L, H^*L) &\xrightarrow{\bar{h}_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+3q}(H^*Y, H^*L) \\ &\xrightarrow{(j\bar{u})^*} \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+2q-2}(Z_p, H^*L) \\ \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+q-1}(Z_p, H^*L) &\xrightarrow{i_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+q-1}(H^*M, H^*L) \\ &\xrightarrow{j_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+q-2}(Z_p, H^*L) \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.9) and (9.1.1) respectively. By the supposition I on $\text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+rq-1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 2, 3, 4$) we know that the upper left group is zero. By the supposition I on $\text{Ext}_A^{s,tq+rq-2}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 2, 3$), the upper right group is zero. Then the upper middle group is zero as desired. Similarly, the lower middle also is zero. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.2.8 Let $p \geq 7$, then under the supposition (I)(III) of the main Theorem A we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2}(H^*M, Z_p) &= 0, \\ Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*M \wedge L, Z_p) &\cong Z_p\{(i \wedge 1_L)_*\pi_*(\sigma)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2}(Z_p, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{i_*} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2}(H^*M, Z_p) \\ &\xrightarrow{j_*} Ext_A^{s+3,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p_*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.1). By the supposition III, the right group is zero or has unique generator $a_0\iota$ which satisfies $p_*(a_0\iota) = a_0^2\iota \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+4,tq+2}(Z_p, Z_p)$, then $\text{im } j_* = 0$. By the supposition III, the left group has unique generator $a_0^2\sigma'$ or has two generators $a_0^2\sigma'_1 = p_*(a_0\sigma'_1), a_0^2\sigma'_2 = p_*(a_0\sigma'_2)$ so that we have $\text{im } i_* = 0$. Then, the middle group is zero as desired.

For the second result, consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*L, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{(i \wedge 1_L)^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*M \wedge L, Z_p) \\ &\xrightarrow{(j \wedge 1_L)^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*L, Z_p) \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.1). By Prop. 9.2.4, the right group is zero. Since $(j'')_* Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*L, Z_p) \subset Ext_A^{s+1,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{a_0\sigma = (j'')_*\pi_*(\sigma)\}$ and $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ then the left group has unique generator $\pi_*(\sigma)$ and the result follows. Q.E.D.

Now we begin to prove the main Theorem A. The proof will be done by processing an argument processing in the Adams resolution of some spectra related to S . Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (9.2.9) & \dots & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_2} & \Sigma^{-2}E_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_1} & \Sigma^{-1}E_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_0} E_0 = S \\ & & & \downarrow \bar{b}_2 & & \downarrow \bar{b}_1 & \downarrow \bar{b}_0 \\ & & & \Sigma^{-2}KG_2 & & \Sigma^{-1}KG_1 & KG_0 \end{array}$$

be the minimal Adams resolution of the sphere spectrum S which satisfies

(1) $E_s \xrightarrow{\bar{b}_s} KG_s \xrightarrow{\bar{c}_s} E_{s+1} \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_s} \Sigma E_s$ are cofibrations for all $s \geq 0$, which induce short exact sequences in Z_p -cohomology $0 \rightarrow H^*E_{s+1} \xrightarrow{\bar{c}_s^*} H^*KG_s \xrightarrow{\bar{b}_s^*} H^*E_s \rightarrow 0$.

(2) KG_s is a graded wedge sum of Eilenberg-MacLane spectra KZ_p of type Z_p .

(3) $\pi_t KG_s$ are the $E_1^{s,t}$ -terms of the Adams spectral sequence, $(\bar{b}_s \bar{c}_{s-1})_* : \pi_t KG_{s-1} \rightarrow \pi_t KG_s$ is the $d_1^{s-1,t}$ -differentials of the Adams spectral sequence

, and $\pi_t KG_s \cong Ext_A^{s,t}(Z_p, Z_p)$ (cf. [3] p.180).

Then, an Adams resolution of an arbitrary spectrum V can be obtained by smashing V to (9.2.9). We first prove some Lemmas.

Lemma 9.2.10 Let $p \geq 7$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem A we have

$$\bar{c}_{s+1} \cdot h_0 \sigma = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1) \kappa \quad (\text{up to scalar})$$

where $\kappa \in \pi_{tq+1} E_{s+2}$ such that $\bar{c}_{s+1} \cdot \sigma = \bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot \kappa$ and $\bar{b}_{s+2} \cdot \kappa = a_0 \sigma' \in \pi_{tq+1} KG_{s+2} \cong Ext_A^{s+2,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$.

Proof: The d_1 -cycle $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i'') h_0 \sigma \in \pi_{tq+q} KG_{s+1} \wedge L$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+q}(H^* L, Z_p)$ and this group is zero by Prop. 9.2.4, then it is a d_1 -boundary and so $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_L)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i'') h_0 \sigma = 0$, $\bar{c}_{s+1} \cdot h_0 \sigma = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1) f''$ for some $f'' \in \pi_{tq+1} E_{s+2}$. It follows that $\bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1) f'' = 0$, then $\bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot f'' = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge j'') f_2''$ with $f_2'' \in \pi_{tq+q}(E_{s+1} \wedge L)$. The d_1 -cycle $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_L) f_2'' \in \pi_{tq+q} KG_{s+1} \wedge L$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^* L, Z_p)$ and this group is zero, then $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_L) f_2'' = (\bar{b}_{s+1} \bar{c}_s \wedge 1_L) g''$ with $g'' \in \pi_{tq+q}(KG_s \wedge L)$. Hence, $f_2'' = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_L) g'' + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_L) f_3''$, for some $f_3'' \in \pi_{tq+q+1} E_{s+2} \wedge L$ and we have $\bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot f'' = \bar{a}_{s+1} (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'') f_3'' + \bar{c}_s (1_{KG_s} \wedge j'') g'' = \bar{a}_{s+1} (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'') f_3'' + \lambda \bar{c}_s \cdot \sigma = \bar{a}_{s+1} (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'') f_3'' + \lambda \bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot \kappa$ for some $\lambda \in Z_p$, this is because $(1_{KG_s} \wedge j'') g'' \in \pi_{tq} KG_s \cong Ext_A^{s,tq}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p \{\sigma\}$. Then, $f'' = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'') f_3'' + \lambda \kappa + \bar{c}_{s+1} \cdot g_2''$ for some $g_2'' \in \pi_{tq+1} KG_{s+1}$ and so $\bar{c}_{s+1} \cdot h_0 \sigma = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge \alpha_1) \kappa$ (up to scalar). Q.E.D.

Since $\bar{h} \phi \cdot p = \bar{h} i'' j \alpha^2 i = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.2.3(1) and (9.1.9)(9.1.5)), then $\bar{h} \phi = (1_Y \wedge j) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} i$, where $\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{2q+1} M, Y \wedge M]$. Let ΣU be the cofibre of $\bar{h} \phi = (1_Y \wedge j) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} i : \Sigma^{2q} S \rightarrow Y$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.2.11) \quad \Sigma^{2q} S \xrightarrow{\bar{h} \phi} Y \xrightarrow{w_2} \Sigma U \xrightarrow{u_2} \Sigma^{2q+1} S$$

Moreover, $w_2(1_Y \wedge j) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} = \tilde{w} \cdot j$, where $\tilde{w} : \Sigma^{2q} S \rightarrow U$ whose cofibre is X given by the cofibration $\Sigma^{2q} S \xrightarrow{\tilde{w}} U \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}} X \xrightarrow{j \tilde{\psi}} \Sigma^{2q+1} S$. Then, ΣX also is the cofibre of $\omega = (1_Y \wedge j) \alpha_{Y \wedge M} : \Sigma^{2q} M \rightarrow Y$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.2.12) \quad \Sigma^{2q} M \xrightarrow{(1_Y \wedge j) \alpha_{Y \wedge M}} Y \xrightarrow{\tilde{u} w_2} \Sigma X \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} \Sigma^{2q+1} M$$

This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{2q}S & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}\phi} & Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}w_2} & \Sigma X \\
\searrow i & \nearrow (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} & \searrow w_2 & \nearrow \tilde{u} & \searrow \tilde{\psi} \\
& \Sigma^{2q}M & & \Sigma U & \Sigma^{2q+1}M \\
& \nearrow \tilde{\psi} & \searrow j & \nearrow \tilde{w} & \searrow u_2 & \nearrow i \\
X & \xrightarrow{j\tilde{\psi}} & \Sigma^{2q+1}S & \xrightarrow{p} & \Sigma^{2q+1}S
\end{array}
\tag{9.2.13}$$

Since $j\bar{u}(\bar{h}\phi) = 0$, then by (9.2.11) we have, $j\bar{u} = u_3w_2$, for some $u_3 \in [U, \Sigma^{q+1}S]$. Hence, the spectrum U in (9.2.11) also is the cofibre of $w\pi : \Sigma^q S \rightarrow W$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.2.14) \quad \Sigma^q S \xrightarrow{w\pi} W \xrightarrow{w_3} U \xrightarrow{u_3} \Sigma^{q+1}S$$

This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma in the stable homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{-1}Y & \xrightarrow{j\bar{u}} & \Sigma^{q+1}S & \xrightarrow{w\pi} & \Sigma W \\
\searrow w_2 & \nearrow u_3 & \searrow \pi & \nearrow w & \searrow w_3 \\
& U & & \Sigma L & \Sigma U \\
& \nearrow w_3 & \searrow u_2 & \nearrow \phi & \searrow \bar{h} & \nearrow w_2 \\
W & \xrightarrow{j''u} & \Sigma^{2q}S & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}\phi} & Y
\end{array}
\tag{9.2.15}$$

Moreover, by $u_3\tilde{w} = \alpha_1$, the cofibre of $\tilde{u}w_3 : W \rightarrow X$ is $\Sigma^{q+1}L$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.2.16) \quad W \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}w_3} X \xrightarrow{u''} \Sigma^{q+1}L \xrightarrow{w'(\pi \wedge 1_L)} \Sigma W$$

where $w' \in [L \wedge L, W]$ such that $w'(1_L \wedge i'') = w$. This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma in the stable homotopy category

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
W & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}w_3} & X & \xrightarrow{j\tilde{\psi}} & \Sigma^{2q+1}S \\
\searrow w_3 & \nearrow \tilde{u} & \searrow u'' & \nearrow j'' & \\
& U & & \Sigma^{q+1}L & \\
& \nearrow \tilde{w} & \searrow u_3 & \nearrow i'' & \searrow w'(\pi \wedge 1_L) \\
\Sigma^{2q}S & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \Sigma^{q+1}S & \xrightarrow{w\pi} & \Sigma W
\end{array}
\tag{9.2.17}$$

Lemma 9.2.18 (1) Let $\bar{\phi}_W \in [\Sigma^{3q-1}L, W]$ be the map in Prop. 9.2.7 such that $u\bar{\phi}_W = \bar{\phi} \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]$, then

$$(1) \quad \tilde{u}w_3\bar{\phi}_W(p \wedge 1_L) \neq 0 \in [\Sigma^{3q-1}L, X].$$

$$(2) \quad Ext_A^{s,tq+3q-1}(H^*X, H^*L) = 0,$$

$$Ext_A^{s+1,tq+3q}(H^*X, H^*L) = (\tilde{u}w_3)_* Ext_A^{s+1,tq+3q}(H^*W, H^*L).$$

Proof: (1) Suppose in contrast that $\tilde{u}w_3\bar{\phi}_W(p \wedge 1_L) = 0$, then by (9.2.16) and the result of Prop. 9.2.3(1) on $[\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]$ we have

$$(9.2.19) \quad \bar{\phi}_W(p \wedge 1_L) = \lambda w'(\pi \wedge 1_L)\bar{\phi} \quad \text{modulo } F_3[\Sigma^{3q-1}L, W]$$

for some $\lambda \in Z_{(p)}$, where $F_3[\Sigma^{3q-1}L, W]$ denotes the subgroup of $[\Sigma^{3q-1}L, W]$ consisting by all elements of filtration ≥ 3 . Moreover, note that $uw'(\pi \wedge 1_L) \in [L, L]$ and this group has two generators $(p \wedge 1_L), \pi j''$ which has filtration one, then $uw'(\pi \wedge 1_L) = \lambda_1(p \wedge 1_L) + \lambda_2\pi j''$ with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in Z_{(p)}$. By (9.1.13) we have $\lambda_1 p \cdot (\alpha_1)_L + \lambda_2(\alpha_1)_L \pi j'' = 0$ so that $\lambda_2 = \lambda_0 \lambda_1$, here we use the equation $(\alpha_1)_L \pi j'' = -\lambda_0 p \cdot (\alpha_1)_L$, $\lambda_0 \neq 0 \in Z_p$. Then, by composing u on (9.2.19) we have $\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) = u\bar{\phi}_W(p \wedge 1_L) = \lambda uw'(\pi \wedge 1_L)\bar{\phi} = \lambda \lambda_1 \bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) + \lambda \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \pi j'' \bar{\phi} \pmod{F_3[\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]}$ and so by (9.1.9) $\bar{h}\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) = \lambda \lambda_1 \bar{h}\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) \pmod{F_3[\Sigma^{2q}L, Y]}$. This implies that $\lambda \lambda_1 = 1 \pmod{p}$ (cf. the following Remark 9.2.20).

Hence we have $\lambda \lambda_1 \lambda_0 \pi j'' \bar{\phi} = 0 \pmod{F_3[\Sigma^{2q-1}L, L]}$ and by the same reason as shown in the following Remark 9.2.20, this implies that $\lambda \lambda_1 \lambda_0 = 0 \pmod{p}$ which yields a contradiction.

(2) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{s, tq+3q}(H^*Y, H^*L) &\xrightarrow{(\tilde{u}w_2)^*} Ext_A^{s, tq+3q-1}(H^*X, H^*L) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\tilde{\psi})^*} Ext_A^{s, tq+q-1}(H^*M, H^*L) \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.2.12). By Prop. 9.2.7(2), both sides of groups are zero, so that the middle group is zero as desired. Look at the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+3q}(H^*W, H^*L) &\xrightarrow{(\tilde{u}w_3)^*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+3q}(H^*X, H^*L) \\ &\xrightarrow{(u'')^*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q-1}(H^*L, H^*L) \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.2.16). By the supposition on $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+rq-1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($r = 1, 2, 3$) we know that the right group is zero and so the result follows. Q.E.D.

Remark 9.2.20 Here we give an explanation on the reason why the coefficient in the equation $(1 - \lambda \lambda_1) \bar{h}\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L) = 0 \pmod{F_3[\Sigma^{2q}L, Y]}$ must be zero $\pmod{p}$. For otherwise, if $1 - \lambda \lambda_1 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, then $(1 - \lambda \lambda_1) \bar{h}\bar{\phi}(p \wedge 1_L)$ must be represented by some nonzero element $x \in Ext_A^{2, 2q+2}(H^*Y, H^*L)$ in the ASS. However, it also equals to an element of filtration ≥ 3 , then x must be a d_2 -boundary, that is, $x = d_2(x') \in d_2 Ext_A^{0, 2q+1}(H^*Y, H^*L) = 0$, this is because $Ext_A^{0, 2q+1}(H^*Y, H^*L) = Hom_A^{2q+1}(H^*Y, H^*L) = 0$ which is

obtained by $H^r L \neq 0$ only for $r = 0, q$. This is a contradiction so that we have $1 - \lambda\lambda_1 = 0 \pmod{p}$.

Lemma 9.2.21 For the element $\kappa \in \pi_{tq+1}E_{s+2}$ in Lemma 9.2.19, it is known that $\bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot \kappa = \bar{c}_s \cdot \sigma$ and $\bar{b}_{s+2} \cdot \kappa = a_0\sigma' \in \pi_{tq+1}KG_{s+2} \cong Ext_A^{s+2,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$, then there exists $f \in \pi_{tq+3}E_{s+4} \wedge M$ and $g \in \pi_{tq+1}(KG_{s+1} \wedge M)$ such that

$$(A) \quad (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i)\kappa = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)g + (\bar{a}_{s+2}\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_M)f$$

and

$$(B) \quad (1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})f \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = 0 \in [\Sigma^{tq+4q+2}L, E_{s+4} \wedge Y],$$

where $\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{2q+1}M, Y \wedge M]$ satisfying $(1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M}i = \bar{h}\phi \in \pi_{2q}Y$.

Proof: Note that the d_1 -cycle $(\bar{b}_{s+2} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i)\kappa \in \pi_{tq+1}KG_{s+2} \wedge M$ represents an element $i_*(a_0\sigma') = i_*p_*(\sigma') = 0 \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+1}(H^*M, Z_p)$ so that it is a d_1 -boundary. That is, $(\bar{b}_{s+2} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i)\kappa = (\bar{b}_{s+2}\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)g$ for some $g \in \pi_{tq+1}KG_{s+1} \wedge M$. Then, by $Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2}(H^*M, Z_p) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.2.8) we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i)\kappa = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)g + (\bar{a}_{s+2}\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_M)f$ for some $f \in \pi_{tq+3}E_{s+4} \wedge M$. This shows (A). For (B), by Prop. 9.2.3(1) we have $\phi \cdot p = i''j\alpha^2i$ (up to nonzero scalar), then $\bar{h}\phi \cdot p = \bar{h}i''j\alpha^2i = 0$ and so $\bar{h}\phi = (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M}i$ for some $\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{2q+1}M, Y \wedge M]$. Then, by composing $1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M}$ on the equation (A) we have

$$(9.2.22) \quad (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \bar{h}\phi)\kappa = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M}i)\kappa \\ = (\bar{a}_{s+2}\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})f$$

where $(1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M}$ induces zero homomorphism in Z_p -cohomology so that $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_Y)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})g = 0$.

By composing $(\alpha_1)_L$ on (9.2.22) we have $(\bar{a}_{s+2}\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})f \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \bar{h})(\kappa \wedge 1_L)\phi \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = 0$, this is because $\phi \cdot (\alpha_1)_L \in [\Sigma^{3q-2}L, L] = 0$ which is obtained by $\pi_{rq-2}S = 0$ ($r = 2, 3, 4$). Then we have

$$(\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})f \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = (\bar{c}_{s+2} \wedge 1_Y)g_1 = 0$$

where the d_1 -cycle $g_1 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+1}L, KG_{s+2} \wedge Y]$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+3q+1}(H^*Y, H^*L)$ and this group is zero (cf. Prop. 9.2.6(1)) so that it is a d_1 -boundary and we have $(\bar{c}_{s+2} \wedge 1_Y)g_1 = 0$. Briefly write $(1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} = \omega$ and let V be the cofibre of $(1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L) = \omega \cdot (1_M \wedge (\alpha_1)_L) : \Sigma^{3q-1}M \wedge L \rightarrow Y$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.2.23) \quad \Sigma^{3q-1}M \wedge L \xrightarrow{(1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L)} Y \xrightarrow{w_4} V \xrightarrow{u_4} \Sigma^{3q}M \wedge L$$

It follows that $(\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge 1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L)(f \wedge 1_L) = (\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})f \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = 0$, then by (9.2.23) we have $(\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})(f \wedge 1_L) = (1_{E_{s+3}} \wedge u_4)f_2$ for some $f_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+2}L, E_{s+3} \wedge V]$. Consequently, $(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})(1_{E_{s+3}} \wedge u_4)f_2 = 0$ so that we have

$$(9.2.24) \quad (\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V)f_2 = (1_{KG_{s+3}} \wedge w_4)g_2$$

with $g_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+2}L, KG_{s+3} \wedge Y]$. Then, $(\bar{b}_{s+4}\bar{c}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V)(1_{KG_{s+3}} \wedge w_4)g_2 = 0$ and so $(\bar{b}_{s+4}\bar{c}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)g_2 \in (1_{KG_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L))_*[\Sigma^*L, KG_{s+4} \wedge M \wedge L] = 0$. That is, g_2 is a d_1 -cycle which represents an element $[g_2] \in Ext_A^{s+3, tq+3q+2}(H^*Y$

, $H^*L)$ and this group has two generators as shown in Prop. 9.2.5(2)), then we have

$$(9.2.25) \quad [g_2] = \bar{h}_*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\lambda_1[\sigma'_1 \wedge 1_L] + \lambda_2[\sigma'_2 \wedge 1_L])$$

with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in Z_p$. By (9.2.24) we know that

$$(w_4)_*[g_2] \in E_2^{s+3, tq+3q+2}(V) = Ext_A^{s+3, tq+3q+2}(H^*V, H^*L)$$

is a permanent cycle in the ASS. However, $(1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L)$ is a map of filtration 2, then the cofibration (9.2.23) induces an exact sequence in Z_p -cohomology which is split as A -module. That is, it induces a split exact sequence in the E_1 -term of the ASS : $E_1^{s+3,*}(Y) \xrightarrow{(w_4)^*} E_1^{s+3,*}(V) \xrightarrow{(u_4)^*} E_1^{s+3,*-3q}(M \wedge L)$. It follows that it induces a split exact sequence in the E_r -term of the ASS for all $(r \geq 2)$

$$(9.2.26) \quad E_r^{s+3,*}(Y) \xrightarrow{(w_4)^*} E_r^{s+3,*}(V) \xrightarrow{(u_4)^*} E_r^{s+3,*-3q}(M \wedge L)$$

Then, $d_r((w_4)_*[g_2]) = 0$ implies that $d_r([g_2]) = 0$ ($r \geq 2$). That is, (9.2.24) implies that $[g_2]$ also is a permanent cycle in the ASS. Since the secondary differential $d_2[g_2] = 0$ and $d_2(\sigma) = a_0\sigma'$ in which σ' is the linear combination of σ'_1, σ'_2 , then λ_1, λ_2 linearly dependent. That is, (9.2.25) becomes $[g_2] = \lambda_1\bar{h}_*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_L]$.

Now we consider the case λ_1 is nonzero or zero respectively.

If $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, (9.2.24) implies $[g_2]$ and so $\bar{h}_*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_L] \in E_2^{s+3, tq+3q+2}(Y) = Ext_A^{s+3, tq+3q+2}(H^*Y, H^*L)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS. Moreover, by $(\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})f \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = 0$ we have

$$(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})f \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = (\bar{c}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)g_3$$

for some d_1 -cycle $g_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+2}L, KG_{s+3} \wedge Y]$ and it represents an element $[g_3] \in Ext_A^{s+3, tq+3q+2}(H^*Y, H^*L)$ so that we have $[g_3] = \bar{h}_*\tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*(\lambda_3[\sigma'_1 \wedge 1_L] + \lambda_4[\sigma'_2 \wedge 1_L])$ for some $\lambda_3, \lambda_4 \in Z_p$. By the above equa-

tion and $(1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L)$ has filtration 2 we know that the secondary differential $d_2([g_3]) = 0$ so that by the similar reason as above, λ_3, λ_4 is linearly dependent. That is, $[g_3] = \lambda_3 \bar{h}_* \tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_L]$ so that we have $(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L))(f \wedge 1_L) = (\bar{c}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)g_3 = 0$ and the result follows.

If $\lambda_1 = 0$, then $g_2 = (\bar{b}_{s+3} \bar{c}_{s+2} \wedge 1_Y)g_4$ for some $g_4 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+2}L, KG_{s+2} \wedge Y]$ and (9.2.24) becomes $(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V)f_2 = (\bar{b}_{s+3} \bar{c}_{s+2} \wedge 1_V)(1_{KG_{s+2}} \wedge w_4)g_4$. Consequently we have $f_2 = (\bar{c}_{s+2} \wedge 1_V)(1_{KG_{s+2}} \wedge w_4)g_4 + (\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V)f_3$ with $f_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+3}L, E_{s+4} \wedge V]$ and so $(\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})(f \wedge 1_L) = (1_{E_{s+3}} \wedge u_4)f_2 = (\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_4)f_3$. Hence, $(f \wedge 1_L) = (1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_4)f_3 + (\bar{c}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})g_5$ for some $g_5 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+3}L, KG_{s+3} \wedge M \wedge L]$ and so by (9.2.23) we have $(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L))(f \wedge 1_L) = (\bar{c}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y)(1_{KG_{s+3}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(\omega \wedge 1_L))g_5 = 0$ (this is because $(\alpha_1)_L$ induces zero homomorphism in Z_p -cohomology). Q.E.D.

Proof of the main Theorem A: We will continue the argument in Lemma 9.2.21. Note that the spectrum V in (9.2.23) also is the cofibre of $(1_M \wedge wi'')\tilde{\psi} : X \rightarrow \Sigma^{2q}M \wedge W$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.2.27) \quad X \xrightarrow{(1_M \wedge wi'')\tilde{\psi}} \Sigma^{2q}M \wedge W \xrightarrow{w_5} V \xrightarrow{u_5} \Sigma X$$

this can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$(9.2.28) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^{3q-1}M \wedge L & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}w_2} & \Sigma X & & \\ & \searrow 1_M \wedge (\alpha_1)_L & \nearrow \omega & \searrow w_4 & \nearrow u_5 & \searrow \tilde{\psi} & \\ & \Sigma^{2q}M & & V & & \Sigma^{2q+1}M & \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\psi} & \searrow 1_M \wedge wi'' & \nearrow w_5 & \searrow u_4 & \nearrow 1_M \wedge (\alpha_1)_L & \\ X & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{2q}M \wedge W & \xrightarrow{1_M \wedge u} & \Sigma^{3q}M \wedge L & & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 9.2.21(B) and (9.2.23), $f \wedge 1_L = (1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_4)f_5$ for some $f_5 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+3}L$

, $E_{s+4} \wedge V]$ and so by Lemma 9.2.21(A) we have

$$(9.2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_4)f_5 &= (\bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})(f \wedge 1_L) \\ &= (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i \wedge 1_L)(\kappa \wedge 1_L) - (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})(g \wedge 1_L). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(\bar{a}_s \bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{M \wedge L})(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_4)f_5 = 0$ and so $(\bar{a}_s \bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V)f_5 = (1_{E_s} \wedge w_4)f_6$ with $f_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q-1}L, E_s \wedge Y]$. Clearly we have $(\bar{b}_s \wedge 1_V)(1_{E_s} \wedge w_4)f_6 = 0$, then $(\bar{b}_s \wedge 1_Y)f_6 = 0$ and by $Ext_A^{s+1+r, tq+3q+r}(H^*Y,$

$H^*L) = 0$ ($r = 0, 1$, cf. Prop. 9.2.6) we have $(\bar{a}_s \bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V) f_5 = (\bar{a}_s \bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \wedge 1_V)(1_{E_{s+3}} \wedge w_4) f_7$, with $f_7 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+2}L, E_{s+3} \wedge Y]$. Consequently we have

$$(9.2.30) \quad (\bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V) f_5 = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \wedge 1_V)(1_{E_{s+3}} \wedge w_4) f_7 + (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_V) g_6$$

with d_1 -cycle $g_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q}L, KG_s \wedge V]$ which represents an element $[g_6] \in Ext_A^{s,tq+3q}(H^*V, H^*L)$. Note that the d_1 -cycle $(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+2}L, KG_{s+3} \wedge Y]$ represents an element $[(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7] \in Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+2}(H^*Y, H^*L)$ which has two generators (cf. Prop. 9.2.5)(2)), then $[(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7] = \lambda' \bar{h}_* \tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*[\sigma'_1 \wedge 1_L] + \lambda'' \bar{h}_* \tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*[\sigma'_2 \wedge 1_L]$ for some $\lambda', \lambda'' \in Z_p$. By the vanishes of the secondary differential : $0 = d_2[(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7]$ we know that λ', λ'' is linearly dependent . Then we have

$$(9.2.31) \quad [(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7] = \lambda' \bar{h}_* \tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_L] \in Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+2}(H^*Y, H^*L)$$

We claim that the scalar λ' in (9.2.31) is zero. This can be proved as follows.

The equation (9.2.30) means that the secondary differential of the ASS $d_2[g_6] = 0 \in E_2^{s+2,tq+3q+1}(L, V) = Ext_A^{s+2,tq+3q+1}(H^*V, H^*L)$, then $[g_6] \in E_3^{s,tq+3q}(L, V)$ and

The third differential $d_3[g_6] = (w_4)_*[(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7] \in E_3^{s+3,tq+3q+2}(L, V)$ Note that $(\omega \wedge 1_L)(1_M \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(i \wedge 1_L)\pi = (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} i(\alpha_1)_L \pi = \bar{h}\phi(\alpha_1)_L \pi = 0$, this is because $\phi(\alpha_1)_L \in [\Sigma^{3q-2}L, L] = 0$ which is obtained by $\pi_{rq-2}S = 0$ ($r = 2, 3, 4$). Hence, by (9.2.23), $(i \wedge 1_L)\pi = u_4\tau$ with $\tau \in [\Sigma^{4q}S, V]$ which has filtration 1. Moreover, $u_4\tau \cdot p = (i \wedge 1_L)\pi \cdot p = 0$, then, by Prop. 9.2.3(4), $\tau \cdot p = \tilde{\lambda} w_4 \bar{h}\tilde{\phi}(\pi \wedge 1_L)\pi$ for some $\tilde{\lambda} \in Z_{(p)}$. This scalar $\tilde{\lambda}$ must be zero (mod p), this is because the left hand side of the equation has filtration 2 and the right hand side has filtration 3 (cf. Remark 9.2.20 and $Ext_A^{0,4q+1}(H^*V, Z_p) = 0$ which is obtained by $Ext_A^{0,4q+1}(H^*Y, Z_p) = 0 = Ext_A^{0,q+1}(H^*M \wedge L, Z_p)$). Consequently, by Prop. 9.2.3(4) we have $\tau \cdot p = 0$ and so $\tau = \bar{\tau}i$ with $\bar{\tau} \in [\Sigma^{4q}M, V]$. Since $(u_4)_*(\pi)^*[g_6] \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*M \wedge L, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{(i \wedge 1_L)_*(\pi)_*(\sigma)\}$ (cf. Prop. 9.2.8), then $(u_4)_*\pi^*[g_6] = \lambda_0(i \wedge 1_L)_*\pi_*(\sigma) = \lambda_0(u_4)_*(\bar{\tau}i)_*(\sigma)$ for some $\lambda_0 \in Z_p$ and so by (9.2.23) we have $\pi^*[g_6] = \lambda_0 \bar{\tau}_* i_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+3q+1}(H^*V, Z_p)$, this is because $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+3q+1}(H^*Y, H^*L) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.2.6). By the supposition on $d_2(\sigma) = a_0\sigma' =$

$p_*(\sigma') \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$, we have $d_2 i_*(\sigma) = 0$ so that $i_*(\sigma) \in E_3^{s+2,tq+1}(S, M)$. Moreover, $E_2^{s+3,tq+2}(S, M) = Ext_A^{s+3,tq+2}(H^*M, Z_p) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.2.8) then $E_3^{s+3,tq+2}(S, M) = 0$ so that the third differential $d_3 i_*(\sigma) \in E_3^{s+3,tq+2}(S, M) = 0$. Since $\pi^*[g_6] = \lambda_0(\bar{\tau})_* i_*(\sigma) \in E_2^{s+1,tq+4q+1}(S, V)$, then $\pi^*[g_6] = \lambda_0 \bar{\tau}_*(i_*(\sigma)) \in E_3^{s+1,tq+4q+1}(S, V)$ and so

$$d_3 \pi^*[g_6] = \lambda_0 d_3(\bar{\tau})_*(i_*(\sigma)) = \lambda_0(\bar{\tau})_* d_3(i_*(\sigma)) = 0 \in E_3^{s+4,tq+4q+3}(S, V)$$

Hence, $(w_4)_* \pi^*[(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7] = d_3 \pi^*[g_6] = 0 \in E_3^{s+4,tq+4q+2}(S, V)$. In addition, by the split exact sequence (9.2.26) we have $\pi^*[(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7] = 0 \in E_3^{s+4,tq+4q+3}(S, Y)$. Then, in the E_2 -term, $\pi^*[(\bar{b}_5 \wedge 1_Y) f_7]$ must be a d_2 -boundary, that is

$\pi^*[(\bar{b}_{s+3} \wedge 1_Y) f_7] \in d_2 E_2^{s+2,tq+4q+2}(S, Y) = d_2 Ext_A^{s+2,tq+4q+2}(H^*Y, Z_p) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.2.6(1)). Hence, by (9.2.31), $\lambda' \tilde{h}_* \tilde{\phi}_*(\pi \wedge 1_L)_* \pi_*(\sigma') = 0$. This implies that the scalar λ' is zero (cf. Prop. 9.2.9(3)) which shows the above claim.

So, (9.2.30) becomes $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V) f_5 = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_V)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_4) f_8 + (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_V) g_6$ for some $f_8 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+3} L, E_{s+4} \wedge Y]$. By composing $1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge u_5$ on the above equation we have $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_5) f_5 = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge \tilde{u} w_2) f_8$ (cf. (9.2.28)), this is because $(1_{KG_s} \wedge u_5) g_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q-1} L, KG_s \wedge X]$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{s,tq+3q-1}(H^*X, H^*L) = 0$ (cf. Lemma 9.2.18(2)) so that it is a d_1 -boundary and $(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_X)(1_{KG_s} \wedge u_5) g_6 = 0$. Consequently we have

$$(9.2.32) \quad (\bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_5) f_5 = (\bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge \tilde{u} w_2) f_8 + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_X) g_7$$

for some d_1 -cycle $g_7 \in [\Sigma^{tq+3q+1} L, KG_{s+1} \wedge X]$ such that $[g_7] \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+3q}(H^*X, H^*L)$.

Now we prove $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_X) g_7 = 0$ as follows. By Lemma 9.2.18(2) and Prop. 9.2.7(1), $[g_7] = \lambda_3(\tilde{u} w_3)_*(\bar{\phi}_W)_*[\sigma \wedge 1_L]$ and the equation (9.2.32) means that the secondary differential $d_2[g_7] = 0$. Since $d_2(\sigma) = a_0 \sigma' = p_*(\sigma') \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$, then $\lambda_3(\tilde{u} w_3)_*(\bar{\phi}_W)_*(p \wedge 1_L)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_L] = d_2[g_7] = 0 \in Ext_A^{s+3,tq+3q+1}(H^*X, H^*L)$. By Lemma 9.2.18(1), this implies that $\lambda_3 = 0$ so that g_7 is a d_1 -boundary and $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_X) g_7 = 0$.

Hence, (9.2.32) becomes $(\bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge W})(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge u_5) f_5 = (\bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge \tilde{u} w_2) f_8$ and so by (9.2.28)(9.2.12) we have, $(\bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_M \wedge (\alpha_1)_L) u_4) f_5 = (\bar{a}_{s+2} \bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge \tilde{\psi} u_5) f_5 = 0$. On the other

hand, by composing $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_M \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)$ on the equation (9.2.29) we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i)\kappa \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_M \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i \wedge 1_L)(\kappa \wedge 1_L) = (\bar{a}_{s+2}\bar{a}_{s+3} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+4}} \wedge (1_M \wedge (\alpha_1)_L)u_4)f_5 = 0$.

It follows that

$$(9.2.33) \quad \kappa \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge p)f_9$$

for some $f_9 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}L, E_{s+2}]$. Since $\bar{b}_{s+2} \cdot \kappa = a_0\sigma' = p_*(\sigma') \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$, then $\kappa \cdot (\alpha_1)_L$ lifts to a map $\tilde{f} \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}L, E_{s+3}]$ such that $\bar{b}_{s+3} \cdot \tilde{f}$ represents $p_*((\alpha_1)_L)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_L] \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+3, tq+q+1}(Z_p, H^*L)$ (cf. Prop. 9.2.3(1)). Then, by (9.2.33), $p_*[\bar{b}_{s+2} \cdot f_9] = p_*((\alpha_1)_L)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_L]$ so that $[\bar{b}_{s+2} \cdot f_9] \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+q}(Z_p, H^*L)$ must equal to $((\alpha_1)_L)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_L]$, this is because the group has two generators $((\alpha_1)_L)_*[\sigma'_1 \wedge 1_L], ((\alpha_1)_L)_*[\sigma'_2 \wedge 1_L]$. Write $\xi_{n, s+2} = f_9 i''$, then

$$(9.2.34) \quad \kappa \cdot \alpha_1 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge p)\xi_{n, s+2}$$

such that $\bar{b}_{s+2} \cdot \xi_{n, s+2} = h_0\sigma' \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and by Lemma 9.2.10 we have $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i)h_0\sigma = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i)\kappa \cdot \alpha_1 = 0$. This shows the second result of the main Theorem.

In addition, by (9.2.34) and Lemma 9.2.10(2), $\bar{a}_0\bar{a}_1 \cdots \bar{a}_{s+1}(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge p)\xi_{n, s+2} = 0$, this shows that $\xi_n = \bar{a}_0\bar{a}_1 \cdots \bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot \xi_{n, s+2} \in \pi_{tq+q-s-2}S$ is an element of order p and it is represented by $h_0\sigma' \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ in the ASS. Q.E.D.

Remark 9.2.35 In the proof of the main Theorem A, we obtain a stronger result. By (9.2.33), $\kappa \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge p)f_9$, then $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i)\kappa \cdot (\alpha_1)_L = 0$, and so $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_L \wedge i)(\kappa \wedge 1_L)\phi = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_L \wedge i)(\kappa \wedge 1_L)((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_L)\tilde{i}'' = 0$, where $\tilde{i}'' \in \pi_q L \wedge L$ such that $((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_L)\tilde{i}'' = \phi$. It can be easily proved that $(\kappa \wedge 1_L)\phi = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_L)\sigma\phi$, where $\sigma\phi \in \pi_{tq+2q}(KG_{s+1} \wedge L)$ is a d_1 -cycle which represents $(\phi)_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q}(H^*L, Z_p)$. Then we obtain that $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge M})(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i)\sigma\phi = 0$. That is to say, $(1_L \wedge i)_*(\phi)_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q}(H^*L \wedge M, Z_p)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS. Moreover, by (9.2.34) we have $\xi_{n, s+2} = f_9 i''$, then $(1_{KG_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1)\xi_{n, s+2} = (1_{KG_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1)f_9 i'' = f_9 i'' \cdot \alpha_1 = 0$ and so $\xi_{n, s+2} = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'')\tilde{f}_9$ with $\tilde{f}_9 \in \pi_{tq+2q}E_{s+2} \wedge L$. Since $\xi_{n, s+2}$ is represented by $h_0\sigma' = (j'')_*\phi_*(\sigma')$ in the ASS, then $\tilde{f}_9$ is represented by $(\phi)_*(\sigma') \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+2q}(H^*L, Z_p)$ in the ASS. That is to say $(\phi)_*(\sigma') \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+2q}(H^*L, Z_p)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS. This is a

stronger result obtained in the main Theorem A.

§3. A general result on convergence in the spectrum $V(1)$

In this section we will prove, under some suppositions, a general result on the convergence of $i'_* i' * (h_0 \sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^* V(1), Z_p)$ to the homotopy groups of the spectrum $V(1)$ can implies the convergence of $i'_* i'_*(g_0 \sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+pq+2q}(H^* V(1), Z_p)$ in the ASS. We have the following main Theorem.

The main Thoerem B (generalization of [7] Theorem II) Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4, Ext_A^{s, tq}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\sigma\}, Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0 \sigma\}, Ext_A^{s+2, tq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\alpha}_2 \sigma\}$ and suppose that

- (I) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+rq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$, for $r = 1, u = -1, 1, 2, 3$ or $r = 2, u = -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$.

$Ext_A^{s+1, tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is zero or has (one or two) generator σ' satisfying $a_0 \sigma' \neq 0$.

$Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = -2, -1, 2, 3$ and has unique generator $a_0 \sigma$ for $r = 1$ satisfying $a_0^2 \sigma \neq 0$.

$Ext_A^{s, tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ or $= Z_p\{h_0 \tau'\}, Ext_A^{s, tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ or $Z_p\{a_0 \tau'\}$.

$Ext_A^{s, tq+rq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$, $r = 1, u = 1, 2, r = -1, u = -1, 0$.

(II) $i'_* i'_*(h_0 \sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^* K, Z_p)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS, then $i'_* i'_*(g_0 \sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+pq+2q}(H^* K, Z_p)$ also is a permanent cycle in the ASS and it conveges to a nontrivial element in $\pi_{tq+pq+2q-s-2} K$.

To prove the main Theorem B, we need some knowledge on derivation of maps between M - module spectra and some lower dimensional Ext groups. These preminilaries will be used in the proof of the main Theorem B and especially in the proof of Theorem 9.3.9 below.

Prop. 9.3.0 Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem B we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(H^* M, H^* M) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2$.
- (2) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(Z_p, H^* M) = 0$ for $r = 0, 1$,
 $Ext_A^{s, tq+r}(H^* M, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2$,

Proof (1) Consider the following exact sequence ($r = 1, 2, 3$)

$$Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{i_*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{j_*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r-1}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p_*}$$
induced by (9.1.1). By the supposition, the left group is zero for $r = 2, 3$ and has unique generator $a_0\sigma = p_*(\sigma)$ for $r = 1$ so that $\text{im } i_* = 0$. By the supposition, the right group is zero for $r = 3$ and has unique generator $a_0\sigma$ for $r = 2$ which satisfies $p_*(a_0\sigma) = a_0^2\sigma \neq 0$. By the supposition, the right group is zero for $r = 1$ and has (one or two) generator σ' for $r = 2$ (both) satisfying $p_*(\sigma') = a_0\sigma' \neq 0$. Then, the above p_* is monic so that $\text{im } j_* = 0$. This shows that the middle group is zero which shows the first result. The second result can be obtained immediately by the first result.

(2) Consider the following exact sequence ($r = 0, 1$)

$$Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{j^*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(Z_p, H^*M) \xrightarrow{i^*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p^*}$$

induced by (9.1.1). By the supposition, the left group is zero for $r = 1$ and has unique generator $a_0\sigma = p^*(\sigma)$ for $r = 0$ so that $\text{im } j^* = 0$. The right group has unique generator $a_0\sigma$ for $r = 1$ which satisfies $p^*(a_0\sigma) = a_0^2\sigma \neq 0$. The right group is zero for $r = 0$ or has (one or two) generator σ' satisfying $p^*(\sigma') = a_0\sigma' \neq 0$. Then $\text{im } i^* = 0$ so that the middle group is zero as desired. The proof of the second result is similar. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.3.1 Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem B we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s, tq}(H^*M, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\sigma}\}$ satisfying $i^*(\tilde{\sigma}) = i_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s, tq}(H^*M, Z_p)$, $j_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = j^*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s, tq-1}(Z_p, H^*M)$.
 - (2) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^*M, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}), \alpha_*(ij)^*(\tilde{\sigma})\}$,
 - (3) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = \alpha^*(\tilde{\sigma})\}$,
 - (4) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^*K, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{i'_*(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = i'_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma})\}$,
- where $\alpha_1 = j\alpha i : \Sigma^{q-1}S \rightarrow S$ and $\alpha_* : Ext_A^{s, tq}(H^*M, H^*M) \rightarrow Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M)$ is the connecting (or boundary) homomorphism induced by $\alpha : \Sigma^q M \rightarrow M$.

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 = Ext_A^{s, tq+1}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{j^*} Ext_A^{s, tq}(H^*M, H^*M) \xrightarrow{i^*} Ext_A^{s, tq}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p^*}$$

induced by (9.1.1). The right group has unique generator $i_*(\sigma)$, this is because $Ext_A^{s, tq-r}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1$ and has unique generator σ for $r = 0$. Moreover, $p^*i_*(\sigma) = i_*p^*(\sigma) = i_*(a_0\sigma) = i_*p_*(\sigma) = 0$, then the

middle has unique generator $\tilde{\sigma}$ such that $i^*(\tilde{\sigma}) = i_*(\sigma)$. This shows the result and the second relation can be similarly proved.

(2) By the supposition, $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ has unique generator $h_0\sigma = j_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma) = j_*\alpha_*i^*(\tilde{\sigma})$, Then the result follows by the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & \xrightarrow{p^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{j^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*M, H^*M) \\ & \xrightarrow{i^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.1), where the right group has unique generator $i^*(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = (ij)_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma)$ satisfying $p^*(ij)_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma) = (ij)_*\alpha_*i_*p_*(\sigma) = 0$ and the left group has unique generator $\alpha_*i_*(\sigma) = i^*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$.

(3) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+2}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{j^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M) \\ & \xrightarrow{i^*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.1). The left group is zero, this is because by the supposition, $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+r}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, 3$. The right group has unique generator $(\alpha i)_*(\sigma) = i^*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$, this is because $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+r}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is zero for $r = 1$ and has unique generator $h_0\sigma = j_*(\alpha i)_*(\sigma)$ for $r = 0$. Since $p^*(\alpha i)_*(\sigma) = (\alpha i)_*p_*(\sigma) = 0$, then the middle group has unique generator $\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ as desired. Moreover we have $\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = \alpha^*(\tilde{\sigma})$, this is because $i^*j_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = j_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma) = h_0\sigma = (j\alpha i)^*(\sigma) = i^*j_*\alpha^*(\tilde{\sigma})$.

(4) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*M, H^*M) \xrightarrow{i'_*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*M) \\ & \xrightarrow{j'_*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq-1}(H^*M, H^*M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.2). By the supposition, $Ext_A^{s+1,tq-r}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2$ and has unique generator σ' for $r = 0$, then the right group has unique generator $(ij)^*(\tilde{\sigma}')$ satisfying $\alpha_*(ij)^*(\tilde{\sigma}') = j^*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma') \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+q}(H^*M, H^*M)$. Hence, $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*M) = i'_*Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*M, H^*M)$ has unique generator $(i')_*(ij)_*\alpha_*\tilde{\sigma} = i'_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma})$, this is because $(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = (ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) - \alpha_*(ij)_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ which is obtained by $\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M = ij\alpha - \alpha ij$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.3.2 Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem B we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q+r}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0, r = 0, 1, 2,$

- $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q+1}(H^*K, Z_p) = 0.$
- (2) $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+r}(H^*K, Z_p) = 0$, $r = 1, 2, 3,$
 $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+r}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$, $r = 1, 2.$
- (3) $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*K) \cong Z_p\{(h_0\sigma)'\}$ with $(i')^*(h_0\sigma)' = (i'ij\alpha)_*(\tilde{\sigma}).$

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q+r}(H^*M, H^*M) \xrightarrow{i'_*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q+r}(H^*K, H^*M) \\ \xrightarrow{j'_*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+r-1}(H^*M, H^*M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero by the supposition on $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $u = -1, 0, 1, 2$. The right group has unique generator $(ij)^*(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ for $r = 0$ and is generated by two generators $(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$, $(ij)^*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ for $r = 1$. Moreovre, the right group has unique generator $\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ for $r = 2$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.1(3)). We claim that (i) $\alpha_*(ij)^*(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$. (ii) $\alpha_*[\lambda_1(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) + \lambda_2\alpha_*(ij)^*(\tilde{\sigma})] \neq 0$. (iii) $\alpha_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$. Then the above α_* is monic and so $im j'_* = 0$. This shows $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q+r}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ with $r = 0, 1, 2$ and consequently we have $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q+1}(H^*K, Z_p) = 0$.

To prove the claim, recall from the supposition that $\tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma = j_*\alpha_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$, then $i_*(\tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+2q+1}(H^*M, Z_p)$, this is because $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ from the supposition. In addition, we also have $j^*i_*(\alpha_2\sigma) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+2q}(H^*M, H^*M)$, this is because $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(H^*M, Z_p) = 0$. Hence, by $2\alpha ij\alpha = ij\alpha^2 + \alpha^2ij$ we have

$$(9.3.3) \quad \alpha_*(ij)^*(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = j^*\alpha_*(ij)_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma) \\ = \frac{1}{2}j^*(ij)_*\alpha_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}j^*i_*(\alpha_2\sigma) \neq 0$$

This shows the claim (i). For the claim (ii),

$$\alpha_*[\lambda_1(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) + \lambda_2\alpha_*(ij)^*(\tilde{\sigma})] \\ = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1(ij)_*\alpha_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) + (\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\alpha_*\alpha_*(ij)^*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$$

, this is because this two terms is linearly independent which can be obtained from $(ij)_*\alpha_*\alpha_*(ij)^*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$ (cf. (9.3.3)). The claim (iii) is immediate , this is because $i^*j_*\alpha_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = j_*\alpha_*\alpha_*i_*(\sigma) = \tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma \neq 0$.

(2) Consider the following exact sequence ($r = 1, 2, 3$)

$$Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+r}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{i'_*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+r}(H^*K, Z_p) \\ \xrightarrow{j'_*} Ext_A^{s+1,tq+r-1}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero for $r = 2, 3$ which can be obtained from the supposition of $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($u = 1, 2, 3$). The left group has unique generator $\alpha_*i_*(\sigma)$ for $r = 1$, then in any case we

have $\text{im } i'_* = 0$. The right group is zero for $r = 2, 3$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.0) and has unique generator $i_*(\sigma')$ for $r = 1$ which satisfies $\alpha_* i_*(\sigma') \neq 0 \in \text{Ext}_A^{s+2, tq+q+1}(H^*M, Z_p)$ so that $j'_* = 0$ and the result follows.

(3) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+2q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) &\xrightarrow{(j')^*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^*K, H^*K) \\ &\xrightarrow{(i')^*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^*K, H^*M) \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero by (1) and the right group has unique generator $i'_*(ij)_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.1(4)) which satisfies $\alpha^* i'_*(ij)_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = i'_*(ij)_* \alpha_* \alpha^*(\tilde{\sigma}) = i'_*(ij)_* \alpha_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = 0$, this is because $i'ij\alpha^2 = 2i'\alpha ij\alpha - i'\alpha^2 ij = 0 \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}M, K]$. Then the result follows. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.3.4 Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem B we have

$$\text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K) \cong Z_p\{(h_0\sigma)''\}$$

satisfying $(i')^*(h_0\sigma)'' = i'_*(ij)_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma})$.

Proof: Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+2q}(H^*K, H^*M) &\xrightarrow{(j')^*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K) \\ &\xrightarrow{(i')^*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*M) \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero by Prop. 9.3.2(1) and similar to that in Prop. 9.3.1, the right group has unique generator $(ij)^* i'_*(ij)_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = i'_*(ij)_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ which satisfies $\alpha^* i'_*(ij)_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = i'_*(ij)_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = 0 \in \text{Ext}_A^{s+2, tq+2q}(H^*K, H^*M)$, this is because $i'ij(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)\alpha = 0 \in [\Sigma^{2q-2}M, K]$. Then the result follows. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.3.5 Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem B we have

$$\text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*K' \wedge M, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{\psi_*(ij)_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}), \psi_*(ij)^* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})\}.$$

where $\psi : \Sigma M \rightarrow K' \wedge M$ is the map in (9.1.17).

Proof: Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^*M, H^*M) &\xrightarrow{\psi_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*K' \wedge M, H^*M) \\ &\xrightarrow{\rho_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.17). The result follows immediately from Prop. 9.3.1(2) and Prop. 9.3.2. (Note: By the supposition, similar to that given in Prop. 9.3.2(2), we can prove that $\text{Ext}_A^{s, tq+q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ so that the above ψ_* is monic). Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.3.6 Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem B we have

$$Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*K) \cong Z_p\{(\sigma)'\} \text{ satisfying } (i')^*(\sigma)' = (i')_*(\tilde{\sigma}).$$

Proof: Consider the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*K) \xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*M)$$

induced by (9.1.2). Since $j'_*Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) \subset Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*M, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\sigma}\}$ and $\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M)$, then $\text{im } (j')_* = 0$ and so $Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) = i'_*Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M)$. Moreover, by the supposition on $Ext_A^{s,tq+q+r}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$, $r = 1, 2$, and $Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is zero or $\cong Z_p\{h_0\sigma''\}$ we have $Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})\}$, then $Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) = (i')_*Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that $Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*M)$ has unique generator $i'_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ which satisfies $\alpha_*i'_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = i'_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = 0$. Then the result follows. Q.E.D.

By (6.5.5), there is $\alpha'' \in [\Sigma^{q-2}K, K]$ such that $\alpha''i' = i'ij\alpha ij$. Let X be the cofibre of $\alpha'' : \Sigma^{q-2}K \rightarrow K$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.3.7) \quad \Sigma^{q-2}K \xrightarrow{\alpha''} K \xrightarrow{w} X \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma^{q-1}K,$$

Then, α'' induces a boundary homomorphism (or connecting homomorphism) $(\alpha'')^* : Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*K) \rightarrow Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$. Since $\alpha''i' = i'ij\alpha ij = i'ij(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)$, then $(i')^*(\alpha'')^*(\sigma)' = (\alpha''i')^*(\sigma)' = (i'ij(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M))^*(\sigma)' = (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)^*(ij)^*(i')^*(\sigma)' = (i'ij)_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = (i')^*(h_0\sigma)''$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.4). Then we have

$$(9.3.8) \quad (h_0\sigma)'' = (\alpha'')^*(\sigma)' \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$$

this is because the above $(i')^*$ is monic which can be obtained by $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.2).

After finishing the above preliminaries, we now turn to prove the following Theorem 9.3.9. It is proved by some argument processing in the Adams resolution (9.2.9) of some spectra related to the sphere spectrum S .

Theorem 9.3.9 Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem B we have $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma)'' = 0$, where $(h_0\sigma)'' \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}K, KG_{s+1} \wedge K]$ is a d_1 -cycle which represents the unique generator $(h_0\sigma)''$ of $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.4).

Before proving Theorem 9.3.9, we first prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 9.3.10 Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, then under the supposition of the main Theorem B we have

- (1) $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma)'' = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)$,
- (2) $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_K) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)(\kappa \wedge 1_K)$

where $\kappa \in \pi_{tq+1}E_{s+2}$ such that $\bar{a}_{s+1}\kappa = \bar{c}_s\sigma$ with $\sigma \in \pi_{tq}KG_s$
 $\cong Ext_A^{s,tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$.

Proof: Recall that X is the cofibre of $\alpha'' : \Sigma^{q-2}K \rightarrow K$ given by the cofibration (9.3.7). Since $(h_0\sigma)'' \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}K, KG_{s+1} \wedge K]$ represents $(h_0\sigma)'' = (\alpha'')^*(\sigma)' \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$, then $(h_0\sigma)''u \in [\Sigma^{tq}X, KG_{s+1} \wedge K]$ is a d_1 -boundary so that $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma)''u = 0$ and $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma)'' = f'\alpha''$ for some $f' \in \Sigma^{tq+1}K, E_{s+2} \wedge K$. It follows that $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'\alpha'' = 0$ and so $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f' = f'_2w$ with $f'_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq}X, E_{s+1} \wedge K]$. Then, $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_2w = 0$ and $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_2 = g' \cdot u$ for some $g' \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}K, KG_{s+1} \wedge K]$. g' is a d_1 -cycle, this is because $(\bar{b}_{s+2}\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)g' = g'_2\alpha''$ (with $g'_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}K, KG_{s+2} \wedge K]$) = 0 since α'' induces zero homomorphism in Z_p -cohomology. Then, by Prop. 9.3.4 and (9.3.8), g' represents $(h_0\sigma)'' = (\alpha'')^*(\sigma)' \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$ and so $g' \cdot u$ is a d_1 -boundary, that is $g' \cdot u = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)g'_3$ with $g'_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq}X, KG_s \wedge K]$. Then $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_2 = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)g'_3$ and so $f'_2 = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)g'_3 + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_3$ for some $f'_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}X, E_{s+2} \wedge K]$ and we have $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f' = f'_2w = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)g'_3w + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_3w$. Clearly, $g'_3w \in [\Sigma^{tq}K, KG_s \wedge K]$ is a d_1 -cycle which represents $Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*K) \cong Z_p\{(\sigma)'\}$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.6). Then $g'_3w = \sigma \wedge 1_K$ (up to scalar and modulo d_1 -boundary), where $\sigma \in \pi_{tq}KG_s \cong Ext_A^{s,tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$. So we have $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f' = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)(\sigma \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_3w = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_3w$, where $\kappa \in \pi_{tq+1}E_{s+2}$ satisfying $\bar{a}_{s+1}\kappa = \bar{c}_s\sigma$. It follows that $f' = \kappa \wedge 1_K + f'_3w + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)g'_4$ for some $g'_4 \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}K, KG_{s+1} \wedge K]$ and we have $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma)'' = f'\alpha'' = (\kappa \wedge 1_K)\alpha'' = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)$. This shows (1). The proof of (2) is similar. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 9.3.9 At first, by the supposition of the main Theorem B on $i'_*i_*(h_0\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K, Z_p)$ in a permanent cycle in the ASS we have $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_K) = 0$. Then there exists $\eta'_{n,s+1} \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}K, E_{s+1} \wedge K]$ such that $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)\eta'_{n,s+1} = (h_0\sigma \wedge 1_K)$.

By Lemma 9.3.10, it suffices to prove $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = 0$. Note that, by $\bar{a}_{s+1}\kappa = \bar{c}_s\sigma$ we have $\bar{a}_{s+1}(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1)\kappa = \bar{c}_s(1_{KG_s} \wedge \alpha_1)\sigma = 0$ and

so $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1)\kappa = \bar{c}_{s+1}(h_0\sigma)$ (up to scalar), this is because $\pi_{tq+q}KG_{s+1} \cong Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0\sigma\}$. Then, by Lemma 9.3.10 we have

$$(9.3.11) \quad (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_K) = 0.$$

Moreover, by (9.1.20) we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \rho\alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)i' = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)i' = 0$. Then, by (9.1.17), $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)i' = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (v \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M)f$ for some $f \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}M, E_{s+2} \wedge K' \wedge M]$ and so $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i')f = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \rho(1_{K'} \wedge ij)\alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)i' = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)i' = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)i'ij = 0$. Hence $f = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha)f_2$ for some $f_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq-1}M, E_{s+2} \wedge M]$ and we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (x \wedge 1_M)\alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)i' = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (i' \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M\alpha)f_2 = 0$ and $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (x \wedge 1_M)\alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)\rho(v \wedge 1_M) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (x \wedge 1_M)\alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)\rho(vi \wedge 1_M)m_M + (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (x \wedge 1_M)\alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)\rho(v \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M(j \wedge 1_M) = 0$, this is because $\rho(v \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M = 0$, $\rho(vi \wedge 1_M) = i'$. Then we have

$$(9.3.12) \quad (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (x \wedge 1_M)\alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)\rho = f_3(y \wedge 1_M)$$

with $f_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+2q+1}M, E_{s+2} \wedge K \wedge M] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. (9.1.15) and Cor. 6.4.15).

It follows that

$$(9.3.13) \quad (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})f_3 = f_4(\alpha i \wedge 1_M)$$

with $f_4 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}M \wedge M, E_{s+1} \wedge K \wedge M] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. (9.1.15) and Cor. 6.4.15). Note that the d_1 -cycle $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge jj' \wedge 1_M)f_4 \in [\Sigma^{tq-2}M \wedge M, KG_{s+1} \wedge M] \cong Z_p\{(\sigma' \wedge 1_M)ij(j \wedge 1_M)\}$, then $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge jj' \wedge 1_M)f_4 = \lambda \cdot (\sigma' \wedge 1_M)ij(j \wedge 1_M)$ and by applying the derivation d we have $\lambda \cdot (\sigma' \wedge 1_M)(j \wedge 1_M) = 0$ and this implies that $\lambda = 0$. That is to say $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge jj' \wedge 1_M)f_4 = 0$, then $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})f_4 = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge x \wedge 1_M)g$ with d_1 -cycle $g \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}M \wedge M, E_{s+1} \wedge K' \wedge M] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Cor. 6.4.15).

By Theorem 6.4.3, $g = g(i \wedge 1_M)m_M + g\overline{m}_M(j \wedge 1_M)$. Now we claim that $g(i \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)$ and $g\overline{m}_M = \lambda_2(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge (v \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M) \pmod{d_1\text{-boundary}}$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in Z_p$.

To prove the claim, note that the d_1 -cycle $g(i \wedge 1_M)$ represents an element $[g(i \wedge 1_M)] \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K' \wedge M, H^*M)$ and $[(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \rho)g(i \wedge 1_M)] \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{[(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i')(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)]\}$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.2(4)). Then $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \rho)g(i \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \rho(vi \wedge 1_M))(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M) + (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)g_2$ for some $g_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}M, KG_s \wedge K]$. Since $(1_{KG_s} \wedge j'\alpha')g_2 = 0$, then $g_2 = (1_{KG_s} \wedge \rho)g_3$ with $g_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}M, KG_s \wedge K' \wedge M]$. Then $g(i \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M) + (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{K' \wedge M})g_3 + (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \psi)g_4$ with $g_4 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}M, KG_{s+1} \wedge M] \cong Z_p\{(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)ij\}$ and so $g_4 = \lambda'(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)ij$

for some $\lambda' \in Z_p$. However, $d(i \wedge 1_M) = 0$ and $d(g) = 0$ this implies that $d(g(i \wedge 1_M)) = 0$, then, by applying the derivation d to the above equation we have $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \psi)d(g_4) + (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{K' \wedge M})d(g_3) = 0$, that is $\lambda'(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \psi)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{K' \wedge M})d(g_3)$ and this means that the scalar $\lambda' = 0$, this is because $\psi_*[h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M] \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*K' \wedge M, H^*M)$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.5). This shows that $g(i \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)$ (mod d_1 -boundary). In addition, by $d(\bar{m}_M) \in [\Sigma^2 M, M \wedge M] \cong [\Sigma^2 M, M] + [\Sigma M, M] = 0$, then similarly we have $g\bar{m}_M = \lambda_2(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \psi)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)$ (mod d_1 -boundary). This proves the above claim.

Then, modulo d_1 -boundary we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9.3.14) \quad g &= g(i \wedge 1_M)m_M + g\bar{m}_M(j \wedge 1_M) \\ &= \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)m_M + \lambda_2(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \psi)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)(j \wedge 1_M) \\ &= \lambda_1(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_{K' \wedge M})(vi \wedge 1_M)m_M + \lambda_2(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_{K' \wedge M})(v \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_M(j \wedge 1_M) \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(9.3.15) \quad \text{The scalar in (9.3.14) } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2.$$

This will be proved in the last. Then, $g = \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge v \wedge 1_M)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M \wedge 1_M)$ and so we have $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})f_4 = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge x \wedge 1_M)g = \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i' \wedge 1_M)(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_1(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_K \wedge 1_M)(i' \wedge 1_M) + (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})g_5 = \lambda_1(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})(\eta'_{n,s+1} \wedge 1_M)(i' \wedge 1_M) + (\bar{b}_2\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})g_5$ and $f_4 = \lambda_1(\eta'_{n,s+1}i' \wedge 1_M) + (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})g_5 + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})f_5$ with $f_5 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}M \wedge M, E_{s+2} \wedge K \wedge M]$. It follows that $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})f_3 = f_4(\alpha i \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})f_5(\alpha i \wedge 1_M)$ and so $f_3 = f_5(\alpha i \wedge 1_M) + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})g_6$ for some $g_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+2q+1}M, E_{s+2} \wedge K \wedge M]$. So $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)\rho = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_K \wedge j)(x \wedge 1_M)\alpha'_{K' \wedge M})(\kappa \wedge 1_K)\rho = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_K \wedge j)f_3(y \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge 1_K \wedge j)g_6(y \wedge 1_M) = 0$, this is because the d_1 -cycle $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge 1_K \wedge j)g_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+2q}M, KG_{s+1} \wedge K]$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.2(1)).

It follows from (9.1.11) that $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = f_6\alpha i j j'$ for some $f_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}M, E_{s+2} \wedge K]$ and $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f_6\alpha i j j' = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = (\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_K)(1_{KG_s} \wedge \alpha'')(\sigma \wedge 1_K) = 0$. Then, by (9.1.14) we have $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f_6\alpha i = f_7z$ with $f_7 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}K', E_{s+1} \wedge K]$. Moreover, by Prop. 9.1.21, $f_7z = 0$, then $f_6\alpha i = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)g_7$ for some $g_7 \in \pi_{tq+2q+1}(KG_{s+1} \wedge K)$ and so $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = f_6\alpha i j j' = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)g_7 j j' = 0$, this is because the d_1 -cycle $g_7 \in \pi_{tq+2q+1}(KG_{s+1} \wedge K)$ represents an element in

$Ext_A^{s+1, p^n q + 2q+1}(H^*K, Z_p) = 0$. This shows the result of the Theorem and the remaining work is to prove the claim (9.3.15).

To prove (9.3.15), Note that by Theorem 6.4.3 and (9.1.15) we have $(v \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_M(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) = (v \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_M(j \wedge 1_M)(\alpha i \wedge 1_M) = -(v \wedge 1_M)(i \wedge 1_M) m_M(\alpha i \wedge 1_M) = -(vi \wedge 1_M) \alpha$. Similarly we have $\alpha(j \overline{u} \wedge 1_M) = -(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M) m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M)$, where $\overline{u} : Y \rightarrow \Sigma^{q+1} M$ and $v : \Sigma M \rightarrow K'$ are the map (9.1.5)(9.1.15).

Then, modulo d_1 -boundary we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M)(\widetilde{h_0 \sigma}) &= -(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge v \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_M(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_M) \\ (\widetilde{h_0 \sigma})(j \overline{u} \wedge 1_M) &= -(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_M) m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{h_0 \sigma} \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1} M, KG_{s+1} \wedge M]$ is a d_1 -cycle which represents $\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M)$. So, by (9.3.14), modulo d_1 -boundary we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) &= \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge v \wedge 1_M)(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_M \wedge 1_M)(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \\ &\quad (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge v \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_M(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_M)(j \overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M)(\widetilde{h_0 \sigma})(j \overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \end{aligned}$$

this is because $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge v)(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_M) \overline{u} = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge v)[\widetilde{h_0 \sigma}] i j + (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i j)(\widetilde{h_0 \sigma}) \overline{u} = 0 \pmod{d_1\text{-boundary}}$. On the other hand, modulo d_1 -boundary we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) &= \lambda_2(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge v \wedge 1_M)(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_M \wedge 1_M)(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) + (\lambda_1 - \\ &\quad \lambda_2)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M)(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_M) m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M) \\ &= (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M) \widetilde{h_0 \sigma}(j \overline{u} \wedge 1_M). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M) \widetilde{h_0 \sigma}(j \overline{u} \wedge 1_M)$ represents an nonzero element in the Exr group, this is because $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge (1_K \wedge i)(x \wedge 1_M))(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge vi \wedge 1_M) \widetilde{h_0 \sigma}(j \overline{u} \wedge 1_M)(\overline{r} \wedge 1_M)(1_K \wedge i) = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i' i j) \widetilde{h_0 \sigma} i j j' = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i')(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_M) i j j'$ represents a nonzero element in the Ext group. Then, by comparison to the above two equations we have $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ so that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. This shows the claim (9.3.15). Q.E.D.

Remark In the last of section 4, we will also give another proof of Theorem 9.3.9.

Proof of the main Theorem B By Theorem 9.3.9, there exists $(\eta_{n,s+1})'' \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1} K, E_{s+1} \wedge K]$ such that $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(\eta_{n,s+1})'' = (h_0 \sigma)'' \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1} K, KG_{s+1} \wedge K]$. Let $(\eta_n)'' = (\bar{a}_0 \cdots \bar{a}_s \wedge 1_K)(\eta_{n,s+1})'' \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-s-2} K, K]$ and consider the map

$$(\eta_n)'' \beta i' i \in \pi_{tq+pq+2q-s-2} K$$

where $\beta \in [\Sigma^{(p+1)q}K, K]$ is the known second periodicity element which has filtration 1. Since $(\eta_n)''$ is represented by $(h_0\sigma)'' \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$ in the ASS, then $(\eta_n)''\beta i'i \in \pi_{tq+pq+2q-s-2}K$ is represented by $(\beta i'i)^*(h_0\sigma)'' = (\beta i'i)^*(\alpha'')^*(\sigma)' = \alpha''_*\beta_*(i'i)_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+pq+2q}(H^*K, Z_p)$. By [14] Theorem 3.2 and [15] Theorem 5.2 we know that $\alpha''\beta i'i \in \pi_{pq+2q-2}K$ is represented by $\alpha''_*\beta_*(i'i)_*(1) = (i'i)_*(g_0) \in Ext_A^{2, pq+2q}(H^*K, Z_p)$ (up to nonzero scalar) in the ASS so that $(\eta_n)''\beta i'i$ is represented by $\alpha''_*\beta_*(i'i)_*(\sigma) = (i'i)_*(g_0\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+pq+2q}(H^*K, Z_p)$. Q.E.D.

Using the stronger result of the main Theorem A which is stated in the Remark 9.2.35, the result of the main Theorem B also can be obtained by the following main Theorem B'.

The main Theorem B' Let $\sigma \in Ext_A^{s, tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$, $\sigma' \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$ be a pair of a_0 -related elements, that is, there is a secondary differential $d_2(\sigma) = a_0\sigma'$. Suppose that all the supposition of the main Theorem A hold, then $(i'i)_*(g_0\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+pq+2q}(H^*K, Z_p)$, $(i'i)_*(g_0\sigma') \in Ext_A^{s+3, tq+pq+2q}(H^*K, Z_p)$ are permanent cycles in the ASS.

Proof Let $\phi\sigma \in \pi_{tq+2q}KG_{s+1}$, $\phi\sigma' \in \pi_{tq+2q}KG_{s+2}$ be d_1 -cycles which represent $\phi_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q}(H^*L, Z_p)$, $\phi_*(\sigma') \in Ext_A^{s+2, tq+2q}(H^*L, Z_p)$ respectively. By the stronger result of the main Theorem A (cf. Remark 9.2.35) we have $(\bar{c}_{s+2} \wedge 1_L)\phi\sigma' = 0$, $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge M})(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge 1_L \wedge i)\phi\sigma = 0$. Then $(\bar{c}_{s+2} \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})(\phi\sigma' \wedge 1_K) = 0$ and by using the multiplication of the ring spectrum K we have $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})(\phi\sigma \wedge 1_K) = 0$. In addition, $(h_0\sigma)'' = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \overline{\Delta})(\phi\sigma \wedge 1_K)$, $(h_0\sigma')'' = (1_{KG_{s+2}} \wedge \overline{\Delta})(\phi\sigma' \wedge 1_K)$, this is because $\overline{\Delta}(\phi \wedge 1_K) = \alpha'' \in [\Sigma^{q-2}K, K]$. Then we have $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma)'' = 0$, $(\bar{c}_{s+2} \wedge 1_K)(h_0\sigma')'' = 0$. The remaining steps is similiar to that given in the proof of the main Theorem B. Q.E.D.

§4. A general result on pull back convergence of $h_0\sigma$

In this section, we will prove that, under some suppositions, the convergence of the element $(1_L \wedge i)_*\phi_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q}(H^*L \wedge M, Z_p)$ can be pull backed to obtain the convergence of $h_0\sigma \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ in the stable homotopy groups of spheres. We have the following main Theorem.

The main Theorem C (generalization of [24] Theorem A) Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$ and suppose that

- (I)(a) $Ext_A^{s,tq}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\sigma\}$, $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0\sigma\}$
 $Ext_A^{s+2,tq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\alpha}_2\sigma\}$ satisfying $a_0^2\sigma \neq 0$.
(b) $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{a_0\sigma\}$ for $u = 1$ and is zero for $u = 2, 3$.
 $Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is zero or has (one or two) generator σ' such

that (both) satisfies

$$h_0\sigma' \neq 0, a_0\sigma' \neq 0,$$

$$Ext_A^{s+1,tq+rq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0 \text{ for } r = -1, 2, 3, u = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$$

or

$$\text{for } r = 1, u = -2, -1, 1, 2, 3$$

$$(c) Ext_A^{s,tq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0 \text{ for } u = -1, 1, 2, 3$$

$$Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0 \text{ for } r = -2, -1, 1, 2, u = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$$

(II) $(1_L \wedge i)_*(\phi)_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(H^*L \wedge M, Z_p)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS, then $(\alpha i)_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q+1}(H^*M, Z_p)$ also is a permanent cycle in the ASS so that $h_0\sigma = j_*(\alpha i)_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ converges to an element in $\pi_{tq+q-s-1}S$ of order p .

Note that the supposition (I) of the main Theorem C contains the supposition I of the main Theorem B, then some results on Ext groups in §3 also hold under the supposition of the main Theorem C. Before proving the main Theorem C, we first recall the properties of some spectra related to K and M and prove some results on low dimensional Ext groups.

By (9.1.27), $((1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_M = \alpha_{Y \wedge M}$, (9.2.12) and the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$(9.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X \wedge M & \xrightarrow{m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)} & \Sigma^{2q}M & \xrightarrow{0} & \Sigma^{2q+2}M \\ & \searrow \tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M & \nearrow m_M & \searrow (\phi \wedge 1_K)i' & \nearrow j'(j'' \wedge 1_K) & \searrow \overline{m}_M \\ & & \Sigma^{2q}M & & \Sigma L \wedge K & & \Sigma^{2q+2}M \wedge M \\ & \nearrow \overline{m}_M & \searrow (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \wedge 1_M & \nearrow & \searrow u' & \nearrow \tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M \\ \Sigma^{2q+1}M & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{Y \wedge M}} & Y \wedge M & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}w_2 \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma X \wedge M \end{array}$$

we know that the cofibre of $m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) : X \wedge M \rightarrow \Sigma^{2q}M$ is $\Sigma L \wedge K$ given by the following cofibration

$$(9.4.2) \quad X \wedge M \xrightarrow{m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)} \Sigma^{2q}M \xrightarrow{(\phi \wedge 1_K)i'} \Sigma L \wedge K \xrightarrow{u'} \Sigma X \wedge M$$

Since $(1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = 0$, then by $[\Sigma^{-q-1}X \wedge M, L \wedge M] \cap$

$(kerd) \cong Z_p\{u'' \wedge 1_M\}$ and (9.1.2) we have $(\phi \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = (1_L \wedge \alpha)(u'' \wedge 1_M)$ (up to nonzero scalar). Since $(\phi \wedge 1_K)i'\alpha = 0$, then by (9.4.2), there exists $\alpha_{X \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{3q}M, X \wedge M]$ such that $m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)\alpha_{X \wedge M} = \alpha$. In addition, $m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)\alpha_{X \wedge M}m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = \alpha m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge \alpha)$ so that by (9.4.2) we have $\alpha_{X \wedge M}m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = 1_X \wedge \alpha$ modulo $(u')_*[\Sigma^q X \wedge M, L \wedge K] = 0$, this is because $[\Sigma^q L \wedge K, L \wedge K] = 0$ and $[\Sigma^{3q}M, L \wedge K] = 0$. Concludingly we have

$$(9.4.3) \quad (\phi \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = (1_L \wedge \alpha)(u'' \wedge 1_M), \\ \alpha_{X \wedge M}m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = 1_X \wedge \alpha$$

The cofibre of the map $\alpha_{X \wedge M} : \Sigma^{3q}M \rightarrow X \wedge M$ is $W \wedge K$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.4.4) \quad \Sigma^{3q}M \xrightarrow{\alpha_{X \wedge M}} X \wedge M \xrightarrow{\mu_{X \wedge M}} W \wedge K \xrightarrow{j'(j''u \wedge 1_K)} \Sigma^{3q+1}M$$

This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$(9.4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^{3q}M & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \Sigma^{2q}M & \xrightarrow{(\phi \wedge 1_K)i'} & \Sigma L \wedge K \\ & \searrow \alpha_{X \wedge M} \nearrow & m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) \searrow & i' \nearrow & (\phi \wedge 1_K) \\ & X \wedge M & \Sigma^{2q}K & & \\ & \nearrow u' \searrow & \mu_{X \wedge M} \nearrow & j''u \wedge 1_K \searrow & j' \\ L \wedge K & \xrightarrow{w \wedge 1_K} & W \wedge K & \xrightarrow{j'(j''u \wedge 1_K)} & \Sigma^{3q+1}M \end{array}$$

By (9.2.13), $ijm_M(\tilde{\psi} \cdot \tilde{u} \wedge 1_M) = ij(u_2 \wedge 1_M) = (u_2 \wedge 1_M)(1_U \wedge ij) = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \cdot \tilde{u} \wedge 1_M)(1_U \wedge ij) = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge ij)(\tilde{u} \wedge 1_M)$, then we have $ijm_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge ij) + \lambda(j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)$ for some $\lambda \in Z_p$. It follows that $\lambda j(j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = -jm_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge ij) = -j\tilde{\psi}(1_X \wedge j) = j(j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)$ and so $\lambda = 1$. In addition, $i'(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = (j'' \wedge 1_K)(1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = 0$, then by (9.1.23) we have $m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = m_M(\tilde{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M}$, where $\psi_{X \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{-q+1}X \wedge M, Y \wedge M]$. In addition, $[\Sigma^{-q+1}X \wedge M, Y \wedge M] \cong Z_p\{\psi_{X \wedge M}\}$, this can be obtained from $[\Sigma^{-2q}X \wedge M, M] \cong Z_p\{m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)\}$, (9.1.23) and $[\Sigma^{-q}X \wedge M, K] = 0$. Then, by $j'(j''u \wedge 1_K) \cdot \mu_{X \wedge M} = 0$ and (9.1.27) we have $(u \wedge 1_K)\mu_{X \wedge M} = \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i')\psi_{X \wedge M}$ (up to nonzero scalar). Concludingly we have

$$(9.4.6) \quad j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M = ijm_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) - m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge ij), \\ (u \wedge 1_K)\mu_{X \wedge M} = \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i')\psi_{X \wedge M} \quad (\text{up to nonzero scalar}) \\ [\Sigma^{-q+1}X \wedge M, Y \wedge M] \cong Z_p\{\psi_{X \wedge M}\}, \\ m_M(\tilde{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M} = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M),$$

By the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
L \wedge K & \xrightarrow{(1_X \wedge j)u'} & \Sigma X & \xrightarrow{1_X \wedge p} & \Sigma X \\
\searrow u' & \nearrow 1_X \wedge j & & \searrow \omega & \nearrow \tilde{u}w_2 \\
& X \wedge M & & Y & \\
& \nearrow 1_X \wedge i & \searrow m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) & \nearrow (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} & \searrow \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i'i) \\
X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & \Sigma^{2q} M & \xrightarrow{(\phi \wedge 1_K)i'} & \Sigma L \wedge K
\end{array}$$

we know that the cofibre of $(1_X \wedge j)u' : L \wedge K \rightarrow \Sigma X$ is Y given by the cofibration

$$(9.4.7) \quad L \wedge K \xrightarrow{(1_X \wedge j)u'} \Sigma X \xrightarrow{\omega} Y \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i'i)} \Sigma L \wedge K$$

In addition, by the commutativity of the above rectangle we have

$$(9.4.8) \quad \omega \wedge 1_M = \alpha_{Y \wedge M} m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M).$$

Proposition 9.4.9 Under the supposition (I) of the main Theorem C we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2$,
- (2) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+rq+1}(H^*K, H^*K) = 0$ for $r = -1, 0, 1, 2$.

Proof: (1) By the supposition, $Ext_A^{s+1, tq-q+r}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = -1, 0, 1$,
 $2, 3$, then $(j')_* Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(H^*K, Z_p) \subset Ext_A^{s+1, tq-q-r-1}(H^*M, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, 3$ and so $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(H^*K, Z_p) = (i')_* Ext_A^{s+1, tq+r}(H^*M, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, 3$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.0(1)) and the result follows.

- (2) Consider the following exact sequence ($r = -1, 0, 1, 2$)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= Ext_A^{s+1, tq+(r+1)q+2}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+rq+1}(H^*K, H^*K) \\
&\xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+rq+1}(H^*K, H^*M)
\end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The right group is zero for $r = 0, 1, 2$ (cf. (1) and Prop. 9.3.2(1)(2)) and also is zero for $r = -1$ which is obtained by the supposition on $Ext_A^{s+1, tq-q+r}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = -1, 0, 1, 2$. The left group is zero for $r = -1, 0, 1$ (cf. (1) and Prop. 9.3.2). The left group also is zero for $r = 2$, this is because $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+rq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2, 3$ by the supposition. Then the middle group is zero as desired. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.4.10 Under the supposition (I) of the main Theorem C we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad & Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q+1}(H^*Y, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{((1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})_*(\tilde{\sigma})\}, \\
& Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^*Y, H^*Y) \cong Z_p\{(\bar{u})^*((1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})_*(\tilde{\sigma})\}, \\
(3) \quad & Ext_A^{s+1, tq+3q}(H^*X, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{((1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M})_*(\tilde{\sigma})\}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 = Ext_A^{s+1, tq+3q+1}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) \xrightarrow{(u')^*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*L \wedge K)$$

induced by (9.4.2). The right group is zero by Prop. 9.4.9(2) and (9.1.12)(9.1.3).

The left group also is zero by $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+rq+1}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ (for $r = 1, 2, 3$) (cf. the proof of Prop. 9.4.9(2)). Then the middle group is zero as desired.

(2) Since $\bar{u}(1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{q-1}M, M] \cong Z_p\{ij\alpha, \alpha ij\}$, then $\bar{u}(1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} = \lambda_1 ij\alpha + \lambda_2 \alpha ij$ where the scalar $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in Z_p$ satisfy $\lambda_1 ij\alpha + \lambda_2 j\alpha^2 ij = 0$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q}(Z_p, H^*M) & \xrightarrow{(\bar{w})_*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q+1}(H^*Y, H^*M) \\
& \xrightarrow{(\bar{u})_*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(H^*M, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j\alpha)_*}
\end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.5). The left group is zero which can be obtained by the supposition on $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q+k}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ (for $k = 0, 1$). By Prop. 9.3.1(2), the right group has two generators $(ij)_*\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ and $\alpha_*(ij)_*(\tilde{\sigma})$. Then $(\bar{u})_* Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q+1}(H^*Y, H^*M)$ has unique generator $(\bar{u})_*((1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ so that the first result follows. For the second result, consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) & \xrightarrow{(\bar{w})_*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*Y, Z_p) \\
& \xrightarrow{(\bar{u})_*} Ext_A^{s+1, tq}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(j\alpha)_*}
\end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.5). By the supposition, the left group has unique generator $h_0\sigma = (j\alpha i)_*(\sigma)$ so that $\text{im } (\bar{w})_* = 0$. The right group is zero or has (one or two) generator $i_*(\sigma')$ such that $(j\alpha)_*i_*(\sigma') = h_0\sigma' \neq 0$. Then the middle group is zero and so the second result follows.

(3) Since $\tilde{\psi}(1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{q-1}M, M] \cong Z_p\{ij\alpha, \alpha ij\}$, then $\tilde{\psi}(1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M} = \lambda_3 ij\alpha + \lambda_4 \alpha ij$, where the scalar $\lambda_3, \lambda_4 \in Z_p$ satisfy $\lambda_3(1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M}ij\alpha + \lambda_4(1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M}\alpha ij = 0$. Then, similar to that in (2), $(\tilde{\psi})_* Ext_A^{s+1, tq+3q}(H^*X, H^*M)$ has unique generator $(\tilde{\psi})_*((1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M})_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ so that $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+3q}(H^*X, H^*M)$ has unique generator $((1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M})_*(\tilde{\sigma})$, this is because $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+3q+1}(H^*Y, H^*M) = 0$ which can be obtained by the

supposition (I)(b) on $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+rq+k}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, k = -1, 0, 1, 2$.
Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.4.11 Under the supposition (I) of the main Theorem C we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s,tq-2q}(H^*M, H^*X \wedge M) \cong Z_p\{m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*(\tilde{\sigma})\}.$
- (2) $Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ for $r = -1, 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$
 $Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*K) \cong Z_p\{\sigma_K\}$ satisfying $(i')^*(\sigma_K) = (i')_*(\tilde{\sigma}),$
- (3) $Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*L \wedge K) \cong Z_p\{\sigma_{L \wedge K}\}$
satisfying $(j'' \wedge 1_K)_*(\sigma_{L \wedge K}) = (j'' \wedge 1_K)^*(\sigma_K)$
 $Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*M) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2,$
- (4) $Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*M) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2,$
 $Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) = 0$

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*M, H^*M \wedge M) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq-2q}(H^*M, H^*X \wedge M) \\ \xrightarrow{(\tilde{u}w_2 \wedge 1_M)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq-2q}(H^*M, H^*Y \wedge M)$$

induced by (9.2.12). By the supposition on $Ext_A^{s,tq-rq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ with $(r = 1, 2, u = 0, 1, 2)$ and the degree of the top cell of $Y \wedge M$ is $q + 3$ we know that the right group is zero. Since $(\overline{m}_M)^*Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*M, H^*M \wedge M) \subset Ext_A^{s,tq+1}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.0(2)), then the left group has unique generator $(m_M)^*(\tilde{\sigma})$ and so the result follows.

(2) Consider the following exact sequence $(r = -1, 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2)$

$$Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*M, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*K, H^*M) \\ \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+(r-1)q+u-1}(H^*M, H^*M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero for $r = -1, 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$, this is obtained from the supposition I(c) on $Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+k}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ (for $r = -1, 1, 2, 3, k = -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$). By the supposition and Prop. 9.3.0(2), the right group is zero except for $r = 1, u = 0, 1$ it has unique generator $(ij)_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ or $\tilde{\sigma}$ respectively. However, it satisfies $\alpha_*(ij)_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0, \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$ then, the middle group is zero as desired. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 = Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*K) \\ \xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero as shown above. The right group has unique generator $(i')_*(\tilde{\sigma})$, this is because $(j')_*Ext_A^{s,tq}$

$(H^*K, H^*M) \subset Ext_A^{s,tq-q-1}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*M, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\sigma}\}$. Then the middle has unique generator σ_K as desired.

(3) Consider the following exact sequence ($r = -1, 0$)

$$Ext_A^{s,tq+(r+1)q}(H^*K, H^*K) \xrightarrow{(j'' \wedge 1_K)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+rq}(H^*K, H^*L \wedge K) \\ \xrightarrow{(i'' \wedge 1_K)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+rq}(H^*K, H^*K) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.3). The left group is zero for $r = 0$, this is because by (2) $(i')^* Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*K) \subset Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{s,tq+2q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$. Moreover, by (2), the left group has unique generator σ_K for $r = -1$. The right group is zero $r = -1$, this is because by (2) $(i')^* Ext_A^{s,tq-q}(H^*K, H^*K) \subset Ext_A^{s,tq-q}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{s,tq+1}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$. The right group has unique generator σ_K for $r = 0$ which satisfies $(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)^*(\sigma_K) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*K)$, this is because $(i')^*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)^*(\sigma_K) = (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)^*(i')^*(\sigma_K) = (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)^*(i')_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = (i')_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*M)$. Then the middle group is zero for $r = 0$ and has unique generator $(j'' \wedge 1_K)^*(\sigma_K)$ for $r = -1$ so that the first result can be obtained by the following exact sequence

$$0 = Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, H^*L \wedge K) \xrightarrow{(i'' \wedge 1_K)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*L \wedge K) \\ \xrightarrow{(j'' \wedge 1_K)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq-q}(H^*K, H^*L \wedge K) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.3). For the second result, look at the following exact sequence ($r = 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$)

$$0 = Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(i'' \wedge 1_K)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*M) \\ \xrightarrow{(j'' \wedge 1_K)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+(r-1)q+u}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.3). By (2), the left group is zero for $r = 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$ and the right group also is zero for $r = 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$. By Prop. 9.3.0 and the supposition, the right group also is zero for $r = 1, u = 1, 2$. For $r = 1, u = 0$, The right group has unique generator $(i')_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ which satisfies $(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)_*(i')_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$. Then the middle group is zero for $r = 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$.

(4) Consider the following exact sequence ($r = 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$)

$$0 = Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(w \wedge 1_K)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+rq+u}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*M) \\ \xrightarrow{(j'' \wedge 1_K)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+(r-2)q+u}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(\phi \wedge 1_K)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.12). By (3), the left group is zero for $r = 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$. By (2), the right group is zero for $r = 1, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$ and by Prop. 9.3.0

and the supposition, it also is zero for $r = 2, u = 1$. For $r = 2, u = 0$, the right group has unique generator $(i')_*(\tilde{\sigma})$ which satisfies $(\phi \wedge 1_K)_*(i')_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+2q}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*M)$. Then the middle group is zero for $r = 1, 2, 3, u = 0, 1, 2$ as desired.

Since $(\tilde{u}w_2\bar{w} \wedge 1_M)^*Ext_A^{s, tq+q}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) \subset Ext_A^{s, tq+q}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*M) = 0$, then, by (9.1.5), $(\tilde{u}w_2 \wedge 1_M)^*Ext_A^{s, tq+q}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) = (\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)^*Ext_A^{s, tq+2q+1}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*M \wedge M) = 0$. and by using (9.2.12) we know that $Ext_A^{s, tq+q}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) = (\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*Ext_A^{tq+3q}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*M \wedge M) = 0$. Q.E.D.

The proof of the main Theorem C will be done by some argument processing in the Adams resolution (cf. 9.2.9) of some spectra related to the sphere spectrum S . Before proving the main Theorem C, we first prove the following Lemmas.

Lemma 9.4.12 Under the supposition (I)(II) of the main Theorem C we have

(1) Let $\widetilde{h_0\sigma} \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}M, KG_{s+1} \wedge M]$ be a d_1 -cycle which represents $\alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*M, H^*M)$, then $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)\widetilde{h_0\sigma} = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_M)$ (up to scalar), where $\kappa \in \pi_{tq+1}E_{s+2}$ such that $\bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot \kappa = \bar{c}_s \cdot \sigma$ and $\sigma \in \pi_{tq}KG_s \cong Ext_A^{s, tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$.

(2) $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \phi \wedge 1_M)(\kappa \wedge 1_M) = 0$, $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)(\kappa \wedge 1_M) = 0$.

Proof: (1) Since $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i')\widetilde{h_0\sigma}$ is a d_1 -boundary, then $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge i')(\widetilde{h_0\sigma}) = 0$ so that $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)\widetilde{h_0\sigma} = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha)f'$ for some $f' \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}M, E_{s+2} \wedge M]$. It follows that $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha)f' = 0$ and so $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)f' = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge j')f'_2$ with $f'_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}M, E_{s+1} \wedge K]$. The d_1 -cycle $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_2$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*K, H^*M)$ and this group is zero by Prop. 9.3.2(2), then $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_2 = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)g'_0$ for some $g'_0 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}M, KG_s \wedge K]$. Consequently we have, $f'_2 = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)g'_0 + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)f'_3$ for some $f'_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+2}M, E_{s+2} \wedge K]$ and so $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)f' = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j')f'_3 + (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_M)(1_{KG_s} \wedge j')g'_0 = (\bar{a}_2 \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j')f'_3 + (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_M)(\sigma \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j')f'_3 + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(\kappa \wedge 1_M)$, where the d_1 -cycle $(1_{KG_s} \wedge j')g'_0 \in [\Sigma^{tq}M, KG_s \wedge M]$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{s, tq}(H^*M, H^*M)$ and this group has unique generator $\tilde{\sigma}$ so that it equals to $\sigma \wedge 1_M$ (mod d_1 -boundary). Hence we have $f' = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge j')f'_3 + (\kappa \wedge 1_M) +$

$(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M) \tilde{g}_1$ for some $\tilde{g}_1 \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}M, KG_{s+1} \wedge M]$ and so $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M) \widetilde{h_0\sigma} = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha) f' = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_M)$ which shows the result.

(2) Since $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+rq}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is zero for $r = 2$ and has unique generator $h_0\sigma = (j'')_*(\phi)_*(\sigma)$ for $r = 1$, then $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(H^*L, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{(\phi)_*(\sigma)\}$ and $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(H^*W, Z_p) = 0$. By this and a similar proof as given in (1) we know that $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \phi)\kappa = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_L)\sigma\phi$ (up to scalar), where $\sigma\phi \in \pi_{tq+2q}(KG_{s+1} \wedge L)$ is a d_1 -cycle which represents $(\phi)_*(\sigma) \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(H^*L, Z_p)$. Then, by the supposition (II) of the main Theorem C we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \phi \wedge 1_M)(\kappa \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge M})(\sigma\phi \wedge 1_M) = 0$ so that the result follows. Q.E.D.

Lemma 9.4.13 Under the supposition (I) of the main Theorem C we have

$$(1) \quad Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*X \wedge M, H^*X \wedge M) \cong Z_p\{[\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}]\}.$$

(2) For any d_1 -cycle $g_0 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}X, KG_{s+1} \wedge X]$, $g_0 = \lambda'(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_X)$ (mod d_1 -boundary) with $\lambda' \in Z_p$ and $(\psi_{X \wedge M})_*[h_0\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}] \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+1}(H^*Y \wedge M, H^*X \wedge M)$.

Proof (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s,tq+2q}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) \xrightarrow{(u')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*L \wedge K) \xrightarrow{((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))^*}$$

induced by (9.4.2). By Prop. 9.4.11(3), the left group is zero and the right group has unique generator $\sigma_{L \wedge K}$ which satisfies $((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))^*(\sigma_{L \wedge K}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+2q}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*M)$, this is because $(j'' \wedge 1_K)_*((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))^*(\sigma_{L \wedge K}) = ((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))^*(j'' \wedge 1_K)_*(\sigma_{L \wedge K}) = ((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))^*(j'' \wedge 1_K)_*(\sigma_K) = ((\alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)i')^*(\sigma_K) = (\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)^*(i')_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = (i'(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M))^*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*K, H^*M)$. Then the middle group is zero. Look at the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) \xrightarrow{(u')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*X \wedge M, H^*X \wedge M) \xrightarrow{m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*} Ext_A^{s,tq-2q}(H^*M, H^*X \wedge M) \xrightarrow{((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))^*}$$

induced by (9.4.2). As shown above, the left group is zero. By Prop. 9.4.11(1), the right group has unique generator $m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*(\tilde{\sigma}) = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*[\sigma \wedge 1_M] = [(\sigma \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)] = [(1_{KG_s} \wedge m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M))(\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})] = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)_*[\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}]$ and it satisfies $((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))^*m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*(\tilde{\sigma}) = ((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))^*m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)_*[\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}] = 0$.

Then the middle group has unique generator $[\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}]$ as desired.

(2) Note that $(\tilde{\psi})_*(\tilde{u}w_2)^*Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*X, H^*X) \subset Ext_A^{s+1,tq-q-1}(H^*M, H^*Y)$. Similar to that in Prop. 9.3.0(1), by the supposition we know that $Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(H^*M, H^*M)$ is zero or has (one or two) generator $\tilde{\sigma}'$, then $Ext_A^{s+1,tq-q-1}(H^*M, H^*Y)$ is zero or has (one or two) generator $(\bar{u})^*(\tilde{\sigma}')$ and it satisfies $((1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})_*(\bar{u})^*(\tilde{\sigma}') = ((1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})_*(\bar{u})_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_Y] = (1_Y \wedge \alpha_1)_*[\sigma' \wedge 1_Y] = [h_0\sigma' \wedge 1_Y] \neq 0$, then $(\tilde{\psi})_*(\tilde{u}w_2)^*Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*X, H^*X) = 0$ and so we have $(\tilde{u}w_2)^*Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*X, H^*X) = (\tilde{u}w_2)_*Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*Y, H^*Y) = 0$, this is because $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*Y, H^*Y) \cong Z_p\{((1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M})_*(\bar{u})^*(\tilde{\sigma})\}$ (cf. Prop. 9.4.10(2)). Then $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*X, H^*X) = (\tilde{\psi})^*Ext_A^{s+1,tq+3q}(H^*X, H^*M)$ and it has unique generator $(\tilde{\psi})^*((1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M})_*(\tilde{\sigma}) = ((1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M})_*[(\sigma \wedge 1_M)\tilde{\psi}] = ((1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M})_*[(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \tilde{\psi})(\sigma \wedge 1_X)] = ((1_X \wedge j)\alpha_{X \wedge M})_*m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)_*(1_X \wedge i)_*[\sigma \wedge 1_X] = (1_X \wedge j\alpha i)_*[\sigma \wedge 1_X] = [h_0\sigma \wedge 1_X]$ (cf. Prop. 9.4.10(3)) Then the first result follows. For the second result, by (9.4.6), the d_1 -cycle $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M})(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M))(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = (h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)$ and it represents an element $m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*[h_0\sigma \wedge 1_M] = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$ so that the second result follows. Q.E.D.

Proof the main Theorem C By Lemma 9.4.12(1), it suffices to prove $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)\widetilde{h_0\sigma} = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_M) = 0$. The proof is divided into the following two steps.

Step 1 To prove $(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_X \wedge \alpha) = 0$.

By (9.4.3), $(\phi \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = (u'' \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge \alpha)$, then by Lemma 9.4.12(2) we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u'' \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \phi \wedge 1_M)(\kappa \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = 0$. Moreover, by (9.2.16) we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \tilde{u}w_3 \wedge 1_M)f$ for some $f \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}X \wedge M, E_{s+2} \wedge W \wedge M] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Cor. 6.4.15). By composing $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge i'i \wedge 1_M)$ on the above equation we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \tilde{u}w_3 \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge i'i \wedge 1_M)f = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_X \wedge (i'i \wedge 1_M)\alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \bar{m}_K i'(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M))(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = 0$, where we use the result on $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)(\kappa \wedge 1_M) = 0$ in Lemma 9.4.12(2). Consequently, by (9.2.16), $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge i'i \wedge 1_M)f = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge w'(\pi \wedge 1_L) \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})f_2 = 0$ (for some $f_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}X \wedge M, E_{s+2} \wedge L \wedge K \wedge M]$), this is because $\pi \wedge 1_K = 0$. Then by (9.1.4) we have $f = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge \epsilon \wedge 1_M)f_3 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge \alpha m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))f_3$ for some

$f_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+2}X \wedge M, E_{s+2} \wedge W \wedge Y \wedge M] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Cor. 6.4.15) and so

$$(9.4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) \\ &= (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \tilde{u}w_3 \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge \alpha m_M(1_M \wedge \bar{u}))f_3 \\ &= (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_{X \wedge M}(j''u \wedge 1_M))(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge m_M(1_M \wedge \bar{u}))f_3 \text{ (cf. (9.4.3))} \end{aligned}$$

By (9.4.14), $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \tilde{u}w_3 \wedge 1_M)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_W \wedge \alpha m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)))f_3 = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha)(\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = 0$, this is because α induces zero homomorphism in Z_p -cohomology. Then, by (9.2.16) and $w'(\pi \wedge 1_L) \wedge 1_M = (w \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge \alpha)$ we have

$$(9.4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge \alpha m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))f_3 \\ &= (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge (1_W \wedge \alpha)(w \wedge 1_M))f_5 \end{aligned}$$

with $f_5 \in [\Sigma^{tq}X \wedge M, E_{s+1} \wedge L \wedge M] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Cor. 6.4.15).

By (9.4.15)(9.1.2) , $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))f_3 = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge w \wedge 1_M)f_5 + (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge j')f_6$ for some $f_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}X \wedge M, E_{s+1} \wedge W \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Prop. 6.5.26). Since $(1_W \wedge \alpha_1)w = w(1_L \wedge \alpha_1) = w \cdot \phi j'' = 0$, then $w = (1_W \wedge j'')\psi_W$, where $\psi_W \in [\Sigma^q L, W \wedge L]$. So we have $w \wedge 1_M = (1_W \wedge j'')\psi_W \wedge 1_M = (1_W \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))((1_W \wedge \bar{h})\psi_W \wedge 1_M)$. Hence, $-(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge Y \wedge M})f_3 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_W \wedge h)\psi_W \wedge 1_M)f_5 + (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)f_6 + (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K)f_7$ and by Prop. 6.5.26, $f_7 = f_8(1_X \wedge i') + f_9(1_X \wedge i'ij)$, where $f_8 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}X \wedge K, E_{s+1} \wedge W \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$ and $f_9 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}X \wedge K, E_{s+1} \wedge W \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$. Since $d((1_Y \wedge i)r) = ((r \wedge 1_M)d(1_K \wedge i) = (r \wedge 1_M)(1_K \wedge m_M)(T_{K,M} \wedge 1_M)(1_M \wedge 1_K \wedge i)\bar{m}_K = (r \wedge 1_M)(1_K \wedge m_M(1_M \wedge i)\bar{m}_K = (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K$, by applying the derivation d using Theorem 6.4.8(1) we have $-(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K)f_6 - (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K)f_9(1_X \wedge i') = 0$ (Note : f_6 has odd degree) and so

$$(9.4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & -(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge Y \wedge M})f_3 = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge (1_W \wedge \bar{h})\psi_W \wedge 1_M)f_5 \\ & + (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)f_6 + (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K)f_8(1_X \wedge i') \\ & - (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K)f_6(1_X \wedge ij) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the d_1 -cycle $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})f_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}X \wedge M, KG_{s+1} \wedge W \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{s+1, tq+q+1}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M)$ and by Prop. 9.4.10(1) this group is zero, then $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})f_6 = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})g$ for some $g \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}X \wedge M, KG_s \wedge W \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Prop. 6.5.26) and so $f_6 = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})g + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})f'$ with $f' \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+2}X \wedge M, E_{s+2} \wedge W \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Prop. 6.5.26). Then we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.4.17) \quad & -(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge Y \wedge M})f_3 = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge (1_W \wedge \bar{h})\psi_W \wedge 1_M)f_5 \\
& +(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)f' \\
& +(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{W \wedge Y \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge 1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)g \\
& +(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)f'(1_X \wedge ij) \\
& -(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{W \wedge Y \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)g(1_X \wedge ij) \\
& +(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)f_8(1_X \wedge i')
\end{aligned}$$

Let P be the cofibre of $(1_W \wedge \bar{h})\psi_W : \Sigma^{q+1}L \rightarrow W \wedge Y$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.4.18) \quad \Sigma^{q+1}L \xrightarrow{(1_W \wedge \bar{h})\psi_W} W \wedge Y \xrightarrow{w_5} P \xrightarrow{u_5} \Sigma^{q+2}L$$

Then the cofibre of $w_5(1_W \wedge r) : W \wedge K \rightarrow P$ is ΣX given by the cofibration

$$(9.4.19) \quad W \wedge K \xrightarrow{w_5(1_W \wedge r)} P \xrightarrow{w_6} \Sigma X \xrightarrow{u_6} \Sigma W \wedge K$$

This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
W \wedge K & \xrightarrow{w_5(1_W \wedge r)} & P & \xrightarrow{u_5} & \Sigma^{q+2}L \\
\searrow 1_W \wedge r & \nearrow w_5 & \searrow w_6 & \nearrow u'' & \\
& W \wedge Y & & \Sigma X & \\
\nearrow (1_W \wedge \bar{h})\psi_W & \searrow 1_W \wedge \epsilon & \nearrow \tilde{u}w_3 & \searrow u_6 & \\
\Sigma^{q+1}L & \xrightarrow{w'(\pi \wedge 1_L)} & \Sigma W & \xrightarrow{1_W \wedge i'i} & \Sigma W \wedge K
\end{array}$$

Note that $u_6 = \mu_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge i)$, then by composing $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_P)(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge w_5 \wedge j)$ on the left hand side of (9.4.17) and composing $(1_X \wedge i)$ on the right hand side we have $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_P)(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge w_5(1_W \wedge r))f_8(1_X \wedge i'i) = 0$ and so $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})f_8(1_X \wedge i'i) = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge u_6)g_0 = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \mu_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge i))g_0 = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \mu_{X \wedge M})(g_0 \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge i)$ with d_1 -cycle $g_0 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}X, KG_{s+1} \wedge X]$. Moreover, by Lemma 9.4.13(2), $g_0 = \lambda_1(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_X) \pmod{d_1\text{-boundary}}$, where $\lambda_1 \in Z_p$. On the other hand, by applying the derivation d to $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})f_8(1_X \wedge i'ij) = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \mu_{X \wedge M})(g_0 \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge ij)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.4.20) \quad & (\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})f_8(1_X \wedge i') = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \mu_{X \wedge M})(g_0 \wedge 1_M), \\
& g_0 = \lambda_1(h_0\sigma \wedge 1_X) \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}X, KG_{s+1} \wedge X] \pmod{d_1\text{-boundary}}
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the following commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Sigma^{q+1}L \wedge M & \xrightarrow{w \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma^{q+1}W \wedge M & \xrightarrow{j''u \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma^{3q+1}M & \xrightarrow{\phi \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma^{q+2}L \wedge M \\
\uparrow 1_{L \wedge M} & & \uparrow 1_W \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M) & & \uparrow u_7 & & \uparrow 1_{L \wedge M} \\
\Sigma^{q+1}L \wedge M & \xrightarrow{(1_W \wedge \bar{h})\psi_W \wedge 1_M} & W \wedge Y \wedge M & \xrightarrow{w_5 \wedge 1_M} & P \wedge M & \xrightarrow{u_5 \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma^{q+2}L \wedge M
\end{array}$$

of the cofibrations (9.1.12)(9.4.18). Since the left rectangle homotopy commutes then there exists $u_7 \in [\Sigma^{-3q-1}P \wedge M, M]$ such that all the above rectangle homotopy commute. That is we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.4.21) \quad u_7(w_5 \wedge 1_M) &= (j''u \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)), \\
(\phi \wedge 1_M)u_7 &= \pm u_5 \wedge 1_M
\end{aligned}$$

where $u_7 \in [\Sigma^{-3q-1}P \wedge M, M]$. By the above two equations, we have the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma in which we use the cofibrations (9.2.12)(9.4.18)(9.1.23)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
P \wedge M & \xrightarrow{u_5 \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma^{q+2}L \wedge M & \xrightarrow{w \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma^{q+2}W \wedge M \\
\searrow u_7 & \nearrow \phi \wedge 1_M & \searrow ((1_W \wedge \bar{h})\psi_W \wedge 1_M) & \nearrow 1_W \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M) & \searrow j''u \wedge 1_M \\
(9.4.22) \quad & \Sigma^{3q+1}M & & \Sigma W \wedge Y \wedge M & \Sigma^{3q+2}M \\
& \nearrow j''u \wedge 1_M & \searrow (\phi_W \wedge 1_K)i' & \nearrow 1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K & \searrow w_5 \wedge 1_M & \nearrow u_7 \\
\Sigma^{q+1}W \wedge M & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}(1_W \wedge \alpha' i')} & \Sigma^2W \wedge K & \longrightarrow & \Sigma P \wedge M
\end{array}$$

Then there is a cofibration

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.4.23) \quad \Sigma^{3q-1}M &\xrightarrow{(\phi_W \wedge 1_K)i'} W \wedge K \xrightarrow{(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K)} \\
&\Sigma^{-1}P \wedge M \xrightarrow{u_7} \Sigma^{3q}M
\end{aligned}$$

in which $\phi_W \in [\Sigma^{3q-1}S, W]$ such that $u \cdot \phi_W = \phi \in [\Sigma^{2q-1}S, L]$. Since $(\phi \wedge 1_K)i' \cdot u_7 = (u \cdot \phi_W \wedge 1_K)i' \cdot u_7 = 0$, then by (9.4.2) we have

$$(9.4.24) \quad u_7 = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8$$

where $u_8 \in [\Sigma^{-q-1}P \wedge M, X \wedge M]$. On the other hand, by (9.4.8), $(\omega \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5(1_W \wedge r) \wedge 1_M) = \alpha_{Y \wedge M}m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5(1_W \wedge r) \wedge 1_M) = \alpha_{Y \wedge M}u_7(w_5(1_W \wedge r) \wedge 1_M) = \alpha_{Y \wedge M}j'(j''u \wedge 1_K)(1_W \wedge m_K) = 0$ (cf. (9.1.27)). Then, by (9.4.7), $u_8(w_5(1_W \wedge r) \wedge 1_M) = ((1_X \wedge j)u' \wedge 1_M)\Delta_1$ with $\Delta_1 \in [\Sigma^{-q}W \wedge K \wedge M, L \wedge K \wedge M] \cap (kerd)$. By composing $\mu_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge i) \wedge 1_M$ on the above equation and using (9.4.19) we have $((1_X \wedge j)u' \wedge 1_M)\Delta_1(\mu_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge i) \wedge 1_M) = 0$ and so by (9.4.7)(9.4.6), $\Delta_1(\mu_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge i) \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i'i) \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M}$. Then $(j'' \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})\Delta_1(\mu_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge i) \wedge 1_M) = ((j'' \wedge 1_K)\bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i'i) \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M} = (i'\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M} = (i'i \wedge 1_M)m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M} + (i' \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_M(j\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M}$ and so $(j'' \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})\Delta_1(\mu_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge i) \wedge 1_M) \cdot \tilde{u}w_2 \wedge 1_M$

= 0. Consequently we have $(j'' \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})\Delta_1(\mu_{X \wedge M}(\tilde{u}w_2 \wedge 1_M) \wedge 1_M) \in (1_Y \wedge j \wedge 1_M)^*[\Sigma^{-2q}Y \wedge M, K \wedge M] = 0$, this is because the degree of the top cell of $Y \wedge M$ is $q+3$. Then $(j'' \wedge 1_{K \wedge M})\Delta_1(\mu_{X \wedge M} \wedge 1_M) \in (\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_{M \wedge M})^*[M \wedge M, K \wedge M]$ and so $(j'(j'' \wedge 1_K) \wedge 1_M)\Delta_1(\mu_{X \wedge M} \wedge 1_M) = 0$ and by (9.4.4) we have $(j'(j'' \wedge 1_K) \wedge 1_M)\Delta_1 = \Delta_2(j'(j''u \wedge 1_K) \wedge 1_M) = \lambda(j'(j''u \wedge 1_K) \wedge 1_M)$ with $\lambda \in Z_p$, this is because $\Delta_2 \in [M \wedge M, M \wedge M] \cap (kerd) \cong Z_p\{1_{M \wedge M}\}$. Hence, $(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5(1_W \wedge r) \wedge 1_M) = (\tilde{\psi}(1_X \wedge j)u' \wedge 1_M)\Delta_1 = (j'(j'' \wedge 1_K) \wedge 1_M)\Delta_1 = \lambda(j'(j''u \wedge 1_K) \wedge 1_M)$ and by (9.4.21)(9.4.24) we know that $\lambda = 1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} (9.4.25) \quad m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r) &= j'(j''u \wedge 1_K) \\ &= (j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K), \\ (j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r) &= ij j'(j''u \wedge 1_K) \end{aligned}$$

where we use $(jj' \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K = j'$ in the above equation. By composing $(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M))$ (it has odd degree) on (9.4.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9.4.26) \quad (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M))f_3 \\ = -(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)f' \\ - \bar{\lambda}(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u'(u \wedge 1_K))f'(1_X \wedge ij) \\ + (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)g \\ - (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K))g(1_X \wedge ij) \\ + \bar{\lambda}(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge u'(u \wedge 1_K))f_8(1_X \wedge i')) \end{aligned}$$

where we use $u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K) = \bar{\lambda}u'(u \wedge 1_K)$, for some nonzero $\bar{\lambda} \in Z_p$. Moreover, by (9.4.20)(9.4.6), $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge u \wedge 1_K)f_8(1_X \wedge i') = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge (u \wedge 1_K)\mu_{X \wedge M})(g_0 \wedge 1_M) = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i')\psi_{X \wedge M})(g_0 \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_1(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i')\psi_{X \wedge M})(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = \lambda_1(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})\bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i')\psi_{X \wedge M} \pmod{d_1\text{-boundary}}$. Then $[(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge u \wedge 1_K)f_8(1_X \wedge i')] = \lambda_1(\phi \wedge 1_K)_*(j'' \wedge 1_K)_*[(\sigma \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})\bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i')\psi_{X \wedge M}] = \lambda_1(\phi \wedge 1_K)_*(j'' \wedge 1_K)_*(\bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i'))_*(\psi_{X \wedge M})_*[\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}] = \lambda_1(\phi \wedge 1_K)_*(i')_*(m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))_*(\psi_{X \wedge M})_*[\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}] = \lambda_1((1_L \wedge i')(\phi \wedge 1_M))_*(m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M))_*[\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}] = 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(H^*L \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M)$. That is we have $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge u \wedge 1_K)f_8(1_X \wedge i') = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})g_3$ with $g_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq}X \wedge M, KG_s \wedge L \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Prop. 9.5.26) and so $(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge u \wedge 1_K)f_8(1_X \wedge i') = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})g_3 + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})f'_2$ with $f'_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}X \wedge M, E_{s+2} \wedge L \wedge K] \cap (kerd)$ (cf. Prop. 6.5.26). Hence, (9.4.26) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (9.4.27) \quad (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M))f_3 \\ = -(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r))f' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\bar{\lambda}(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u'(u \wedge 1_K))f'(1_X \wedge ij) \\
& +(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r))g \\
& -(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K))g(1_X \wedge ij) \\
& +\bar{\lambda}(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge u')g_3 + \bar{\lambda}(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u')f'_2
\end{aligned}$$

By (9.4.27), $(1_{KG_s} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)g - (1_{KG_s} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K))g(1_X \wedge ij) + \bar{\lambda}(1_{KG_s} \wedge u')g_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq}X \wedge M, KG_s \wedge X \wedge M]$ is a d_1 -cycle which represents an element in $Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*X \wedge M, H^*X \wedge M) \cong Z_p\{[\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}]\}$ (cf. Lemma 9.4.13). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.4.28) \quad & (1_{KG_s} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)g + \bar{\lambda}(1_{KG_s} \wedge u')g_3 \\
& - (1_{KG_s} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K))g(1_X \wedge ij) \\
& = \bar{\lambda}_0(\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) \pmod{d_1\text{-boundary}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the cases of $\bar{\lambda}_0 \neq 1$ or $\bar{\lambda}_0 = 1$ separately .

If $\bar{\lambda}_0 \neq 1$, then by (9.4.27) and $\bar{c}_s \cdot \sigma = \bar{a}_{s+1} \cdot \kappa$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M))f_3 = -(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)f' \\
& - \bar{\lambda}(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u'(u \wedge 1_K))f'(1_X \wedge ij) + \bar{\lambda}(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge u')f'_2 \\
& + \bar{\lambda}_0(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})g_4
\end{aligned}$$

with $g_4 \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}X \wedge M, KG_{s+1} \wedge X \wedge M]$ and by composing $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_{X \wedge M} m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M))$ we obtain that $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_{X \wedge M}(j''u \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))f_3 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_{X \wedge M} \cdot m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M))f_3 = \bar{\lambda}_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})$ so that the result of the step 1 follows.

If $\bar{\lambda}_0 = 1$, then by composing $(1_{KG_s} \wedge m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M))$ on (9.4.28) and using (9.4.25) we have $(1_{KG_s} \wedge j'(j''u \wedge 1_K))g = (1_{KG_s} \wedge m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)g = (\sigma \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) \pmod{d_1\text{-boundary}}$. Moreover, by composing $(1_{KG_s} \wedge j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)$ on (9.4.28) and using (9.4.25) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1_{KG_s} \wedge j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) \\
& = (1_{KG_s} \wedge (j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)g \\
& - (1_{KG_s} \wedge (j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u_8(w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\bar{m}_K))g(1_X \wedge ij) \\
& + \bar{\lambda}(1_{KG_s} \wedge (j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u')g_3 \\
& = (1_{KG_s} \wedge ij(j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)(u \wedge 1_K))g \\
& - (1_{KG_s} \wedge j'(j''u \wedge 1_K))g(1_X \wedge ij) + \bar{\lambda}(1_{KG_s} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K))g_3 \quad \text{by (9.4.25)} \\
& = (1_{KG_s} \wedge ij)(\sigma \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) - (\sigma \wedge 1_M)m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge ij) \\
& + \bar{\lambda}(1_{KG_s} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K))g_3 \\
& = (1_{KG_s} \wedge j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) + \bar{\lambda}(1_{KG_s} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K))g_3 \quad \text{by (9.4.6)}
\end{aligned}$$

(mod d_1 -boundary), then $(1_{KG_s} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K))g_3 = 0$ and so $g_3 = (1_{KG_s} \wedge \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i'))g_5$ (mod d_1 -boundary) for some $g_5 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}X \wedge M, KG_s \wedge Y \wedge M]$. So, by (9.4.6)(9.4.20) $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i'))\psi_{X \wedge M}(g_0 \wedge 1_M) = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge (u \wedge 1_K)\mu_{X \wedge M})(g_0 \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge u \wedge 1_K)f_8(1_X \wedge i') = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})g_3 = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{L \wedge K})(1_{KG_s} \wedge \bar{\mu}_2(1_Y \wedge i'))g_5$ so that $(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})(g_0 \wedge 1_M) = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})g_5$, this shows $\lambda_1(\psi_{X \wedge M})_*[h_0\sigma \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}] = (\psi_{X \wedge M})_*[g_0 \wedge 1_M] = 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+1}(H^*Y \wedge M, H^*X \wedge M)$ and by Lemma 9.4.13(2) we have $\lambda_1 = 0$. Then $[g_0 \wedge 1_M] = 0$ and so $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})f_8(1_X \wedge i') = (\bar{b}_{s+1}\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})g_6$ for some $g_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q}X \wedge M, KG_s \wedge W \wedge K]$ and $f_8(1_X \wedge i') = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})g_6 + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{W \wedge K})f'_3$ with $f'_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}X \wedge M, E_{s+2} \wedge W \wedge K]$. Then, by composing $(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge w_5 \wedge 1_M)$ on (9.4.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{P \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge w_5 \wedge 1_M)f_3 \\ & = (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{P \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)f' \\ & \quad + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{P \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)f'(1_X \wedge ij) \\ & \quad + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{P \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)f'_3 + (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{P \wedge M})g_7 \end{aligned}$$

where the d_1 -cycle $g_7 = (1_{KG_s} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)g - (1_{KG_s} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)g(1_X \wedge ij) + (1_{KG_s} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)g_6 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}X \wedge M, KG_s \wedge P \wedge M]$ which represents an element in $Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*P \wedge M, H^*X \wedge M)$. However, this group is zero, this can be obtained by the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & = Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M) \xrightarrow{((w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K))_*} \\ & Ext_A^{s,tq+q+1}(H^*P \wedge M, H^*X \wedge M) \xrightarrow{(u_7)_*} \\ & Ext_A^{s,tq-2q}(H^*M, H^*X \wedge M) \xrightarrow{((1_W \wedge i')(\phi_W \wedge M))_*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.4.23), where the left group is zero by Prop. 9.4.11(4) and by Prop. 9.4.11(1) the right group has unique generator $m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*(\tilde{\sigma})$, which satisfies $((1_W \wedge i')(\phi_W \wedge 1_M))_*m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^*W \wedge K, H^*X \wedge M)$.

Then, $(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{P \wedge M})g_7 = 0$ and so $-(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge w_5 \wedge 1_M)f_3 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)u_8(1_W \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)f' - (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)f'(1_X \wedge ij) + (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (w_5 \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K))f'_3 + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{P \wedge M})g_8$ for some $g_8 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+2}X \wedge M, KG_{s+1} \wedge P \wedge M]$. By composing $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_{X \wedge M} \cdot u_7)$ we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_X \wedge \alpha)(\kappa \wedge 1_{X \wedge M}) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_{X \wedge M}(j''u \wedge 1_M)(1_W \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge$

$1_M))f_3 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_{X \wedge M} \cdot u_7(w_5 \wedge 1_M))f_3 = 0$. This shows the result of step 1.

Step 2 To prove $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)\widetilde{h_0\sigma} = (\kappa \wedge 1_M)\alpha = 0$.

By (9.4.3)(9.4.4), $\mu_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge \alpha i) = \mu_{X \wedge M}\alpha_{X \wedge M}\widetilde{\psi} = 0$ and so by (9.1.15) $\mu_{X \wedge M} = \mu_{X \wedge K'}(1_X \wedge v)$, where $\mu_{X \wedge K'} \in [X \wedge K', W \wedge K]$. We claim that $X \wedge K'$ splits into $W \wedge K \vee \Sigma^q Y$, that is, there is a split cofibration $\Sigma^q Y \rightarrow X \wedge K' \rightarrow W \wedge K$, this can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma and using $(1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M}j' = r(1_K \wedge \alpha_1)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \wedge M & \xrightarrow{\mu_{X \wedge M}} & W \wedge K & \xrightarrow{0} & \Sigma^{q+1}Y \\ & \searrow 1_X \wedge v \nearrow & \mu_{X \wedge K'} \searrow & j'(j''u \wedge 1_K) \nearrow & (1_Y \wedge j)\alpha_{Y \wedge M} \\ & & X \wedge K' & & \Sigma^{3q+1}M \\ & \nearrow \widetilde{\tau}_{X \wedge K'} \searrow & 1_X \wedge & \nearrow \widetilde{\psi} \searrow & \alpha_{X \wedge M} \\ \Sigma^q Y & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{u}w_2} & \Sigma^{q+1}X & \xrightarrow{1_X \wedge \alpha i} & \Sigma X \wedge M \end{array}$$

Hence, there is a split cofibration $\Sigma^q Y \xrightarrow{\tau_{X \wedge K'}} X \wedge K' \xrightarrow{\mu_{X \wedge K'}} W \wedge K$ and so there are $\nu_{X \wedge K'} : X \wedge K' \rightarrow \Sigma^q Y$ and $\widetilde{\nu}_{X \wedge K'} : W \wedge K \rightarrow X \wedge K'$ such that $\nu_{X \wedge K'} \cdot \tau_{X \wedge K'} = 1_Y$, $\mu_{X \wedge K'} \cdot \widetilde{\nu}_{X \wedge K'} = 1_{W \wedge K}$, $\widetilde{\tau}_{X \wedge K'} \cdot \nu_{X \wedge K'} + \widetilde{\nu}_{X \wedge K'} \cdot \mu_{X \wedge K'} = 1_{X \wedge K'}$.

By the result of step 1 we have $(\kappa \wedge 1_{M \wedge X \wedge K'}) (\alpha \wedge 1_{X \wedge K'}) = 0$, then $(\kappa \wedge 1_{M \wedge Y}) (\alpha \wedge 1_Y) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_M \wedge \nu_{X \wedge K'}) (\kappa \wedge 1_{M \wedge X \wedge K'}) (\alpha \wedge 1_{X \wedge K'}) (1_M \wedge \tau_{X \wedge K'}) = 0$. Moreover, by using the splitness in (9.1.32) we have $(\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)\widetilde{h_0\sigma} = (\kappa \wedge 1_M)\alpha = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_M \wedge \widetilde{\nu})(\kappa \wedge 1_{M \wedge Y \wedge K'}) (\alpha \wedge 1_{Y \wedge K'}) (1_M \wedge \widetilde{\tau}) = 0$ which shows the main Theorem C. Q.E.D.

Remark. In the proof of the main Theorem C, We only use the supposition (II) for our geometric input to obtain that $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \phi \wedge 1_M)(\kappa \wedge 1_M)m_M(\widetilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M) = 0$. Then , the geometric supposition (II) of the main Theorem C can be weakened to be the supposition on $m_M(\widetilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)^*(\phi \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \in Ext_A^{s+1, tq}(H^*L \wedge M, H^*X \wedge M)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS.

Using some new cofibrations in this section , we also can give an alternative proof of Theorem 9.3.9(and so the main Theorem B). We first do

some premineralaries.

Since $\alpha' \alpha' i' = 0$, then by (9.1.23), there exists $\alpha''_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{q-2} Y \wedge M, K]$ such that $\alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K = \alpha'$. By applying the derivation d , $d(\alpha''_{Y \wedge M})(r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K = -d(\alpha') = 0$ and so $d(\alpha''_{Y \wedge M}) \in (m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M))^*[\Sigma^{2q} M, K] = 0$. $\alpha_{Y \wedge M}(1_Y \wedge i)r \in [\Sigma^{q-2} K, K] \cong Z_p\{\alpha''\}$ and so $\alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(1_Y \wedge i)r = \lambda \alpha''$ for some $\lambda \in Z_p$. Note that $d((1_Y \wedge i)r) = (r \wedge 1_M)d(1_K \wedge i) = (r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K$, then by applying the derivation d , we have $\alpha' = \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(r \wedge M) \overline{m}_K = \lambda d(\alpha'') = -\lambda \alpha'$ and so $\lambda = -1$. By (9.1.8), $\bar{h}i'' = \bar{w}$, $ri' = \bar{w} \cdot j$ (up to sign), then $(r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K i' = -(ri' \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_M = \pm(\bar{w} \wedge 1_M) = \pm(\bar{h}i'' \wedge 1_M)$ and so $\alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(\bar{h}i'' \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_0 \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K i' = \lambda_0 \alpha' i' = \lambda_0 i'((\alpha_1)_L i'' \wedge 1_M)$ and we have $\alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_0 i'((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_M)$, where $\lambda_0 = \pm 1$. On the other hand, $i'((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j')(i'' \wedge 1_K) = i'(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)j' = i'(ij\alpha - \alpha ij)j' = 0$, then $i'((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j') = \lambda' \alpha''(j'' \wedge 1_K)$ with $\lambda' \in Z_p$. By composing the map $\tilde{\Delta}$ in Theorem 6.5.18 we have $\lambda' \alpha' i' i j j' = \lambda' \alpha'' i' j' = \lambda' \alpha''(j'' \wedge 1_K) \tilde{\Delta} = i'((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j') \tilde{\Delta} = -i'((\alpha_1)_L i'' \wedge 1_M) i j j' = -\alpha' i' i j j'$ so that $\lambda' = -1$. Concludingly, there is $\alpha''_{Y \wedge M} \in [\Sigma^{q-2} Y \wedge M, K]$ such that

$$(9.4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K &= \alpha', & \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(1_Y \wedge i)r &= -\alpha'', \\ d(\alpha''_{Y \wedge M}) &= 0, & \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M) &= \lambda_0 i'((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_M), \\ i'((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j') &= -\alpha''(j'' \wedge 1_K) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_0 = \pm 1$.

Note that the cofibre of $\alpha''_{Y \wedge M} : \Sigma^{q-2} Y \wedge M \rightarrow K$ is $X \wedge M$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.4.30) \quad \Sigma^{q-2} Y \wedge M \xrightarrow{\alpha''_{Y \wedge M}} K \xrightarrow{u'(i'' \wedge 1_K)} X \wedge M \xrightarrow{\psi_{X \wedge M}} \Sigma^{q-1} Y \wedge M$$

and the above map $\psi_{X \wedge M} \in [X \wedge M, \Sigma^{q-1} Y \wedge M]$ and $u' \in [L \wedge K, X \wedge M]$ is just the map in (9.4.2) and (9.4.6). This can be seen by the equation $m_M(\tilde{u} \wedge 1_M) \psi_{X \wedge M} = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)$ in (9.4.6), (9.4.2) and the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$(9.4.31) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X \wedge M & \xrightarrow{m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)} & \Sigma^{2q} M & \xrightarrow{\alpha' i'} & \Sigma^{q+1} K \\ & \searrow \psi_{X \wedge M} & \nearrow m_M(\tilde{u} \wedge 1_M) & \searrow (\phi \wedge 1_K) i' & \nearrow j'' \wedge 1_K \searrow (r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K \\ \Sigma^{q-1} Y \wedge M & & \Sigma L \wedge K & & \Sigma^q Y \wedge M \\ & \nearrow (r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K & \searrow \alpha''_{Y \wedge M} & \nearrow i'' \wedge 1_K & \searrow u' & \nearrow \psi_{X \wedge M} \\ \Sigma^q K & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \Sigma K & \xrightarrow{u'(i'' \wedge 1_K)} & \Sigma X \wedge M \end{array}$$

and by this we have the following relation

$$(9.4.32) \quad \psi_{X \wedge M} u' = (r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K (j'' \wedge 1_K).$$

Proposition 9.4.33 Let $p \geq 5$ and V be any spectrum, then for any map $f \in [\Sigma^* K, V \wedge K]$ we have $(1_V \wedge \alpha')d(f) = d(f)\alpha' = 0$.

Proof: By (6.5.12), $\alpha \wedge 1_K = \overline{m}'_K \alpha' m'_K$, where $m'_K = m_K T : M \wedge K \rightarrow K$, $\overline{m}'_K = T \overline{m}_K : \Sigma K \rightarrow M \wedge K$. $d(f)\alpha' m'_K = (1_V \wedge m'_K)(T' \wedge 1_K)(1_M \wedge f)\overline{m}'_K \alpha' m'_K = (1_V \wedge m'_K)(T' \wedge 1_K)(1_M \wedge f)(\alpha \wedge 1_K) = (1_V \wedge m'_K)(T' \wedge 1_K)(\alpha \wedge 1_V \wedge 1_K)(1_M \wedge f) = (1_V \wedge m'_K(\alpha \wedge 1_K))(T' \wedge 1_K)(1_M \wedge f) = 0$, where $T' : M \wedge V \rightarrow V \wedge M$ is the switching map. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.4.34 Under the supposition (I) of the main Theorem B we have

- (1) $Ext_A^{s,tq-1}(H^* K, H^* K) = 0$.
- (2) $Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^* Y \wedge M, H^* K)$ has unique generator $(1_Y \wedge i)_* r_* [\sigma \wedge 1_K]$.

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^* M, H^* M) \xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^* K, H^* M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq-1}(H^* M, H^* M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*}$$

induced by (9.1.2). By the supposition (I), the right group has unique generator $j^* i_*(\sigma)$ which satisfies $\alpha_* j^* i_*(\sigma) = (ij)_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0$. Then $\text{im } (j')^* = 0$. By the supposition (I), the left group is zero or has two generators $(ij)_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\tau}')$, $(ij)^* \alpha_*(\tilde{\tau}')$ (this can be obtained by a similar proof as given in Prop. 9.3.1(2)), then $Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^* K, H^* M) = (i')_* Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^* M, H^* M)$ is zero or has unique generator $(i')_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\tau}')$. Look at the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{s,tq+q}(H^* K, H^* M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq-1}(H^* K, H^* K) \xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{s,tq-1}(H^* K, H^* M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*}$$

induced by (9.1.2). By the supposition (I), the right group has unique generator $j^*(i'i)_*(\sigma)$ which satisfies $\alpha^* j^*(i'i)_*(\sigma) = (i')_*(ij)_* \alpha_*(\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(H^* K, H^* M)$ so that $\text{im } (i')^* = 0$. The left group is zero or has unique generator $(i')_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{\tau}')$ and so $\text{im } (j')^* = 0$ and the middle group is zero as desired.

(2) For any $g \in Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^* Y \wedge M, H^* K), m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M)_*(g) \in Ext_A^{s,tq-q-1}(H^* M, H^* K) \cong Z_p\{(j')^*(\tilde{\sigma})\}$, this can be obtained from $Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^* M, H^* M) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\sigma}\}$ in Prop. 9.3.0(2) and $Ext_A^{s,tq-q-1}(H^* M, H^* M) = 0$, where the last is obtained by the supposition (I) on $Ext_A^{s,tq-q+u}$ ($Z_p, Z_p = 0$ for $u = 0, -1, 1$). Then $(m_M(\overline{u} \wedge 1_M))_*(g) = \lambda'(j')^*[\sigma \wedge 1_M] = \lambda'[(\sigma \wedge 1_M)j'] = \lambda'[(1_{KG_s} \wedge j')(\sigma \wedge 1_K)] = \lambda'(j')_*[\sigma \wedge 1_K] = \lambda'(m_M(\overline{u} \wedge$

$1_M))_*(1_Y \wedge i)_* r_* [\sigma \wedge 1_K]$ and so $g = \lambda'(1_Y \wedge i)_* r_* [\sigma \wedge 1_K]$ (with $\lambda' \in Z_p$) modulo $((r \wedge 1_M) \overline{m}_K)_* Ext_A^{s,tq-1}(H^*K, H^*K) = 0$. Q.E.D.

An alternative proof of Theorem 9.3.9: By the supposition (II) of the main Theorem B we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(h_0 \sigma \wedge 1_K) = 0$, then $(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'' \wedge 1_K)f$ and we have $d((1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'' \wedge 1_K)f) = 0$. That is we have

$$(9.4.35) \quad \kappa \wedge 1_K = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'' \wedge 1_K)f \quad d(f) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i'' \wedge 1_K)f'$$

for some $f \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}K, E_{s+2} \wedge L \wedge K], f' \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+2}K, E_{s+2} \wedge K]$.

By (9.4.29)(9.4.35), $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge i'((\alpha_1)_L \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = -\lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha''(j'' \wedge 1_K))f = -\lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)$, where $\lambda_0 = \pm 1$. That is we have

$$(9.4.36) \quad (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = -\lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)$$

where $\lambda_0 = \pm 1$

It follows that $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = -\lambda_0(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_K)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = -\lambda_0(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_K)(1_{KG_s} \wedge \alpha'')(\sigma \wedge 1_K) = 0$ since α'' induces zero homomorphism in Z_p -cohomology. Then, by (9.4.30), $(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = (1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})f_2$ with $f_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}K, E_{s+1} \wedge X \wedge M]$. Consequently, $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+1}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})f_2 = 0$ and so by (9.4.30) we have $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})f_2 = (1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge u'(i'' \wedge 1_K))g$, with d_1 -cycle $g \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}K, KG_{s+1} \wedge K]$ and this d_1 -cycle represents an element in $Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K) \cong Z_p\{(h_0\sigma)''\}$. Then $[g] = \lambda'(h_0\sigma)'' = \lambda'(\alpha'')_*[\sigma \wedge 1_K]$ for some $\lambda' \in Z_p$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} [(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})f_2] &= (u'(i'' \wedge 1_K))_*[g] = \lambda'(u'(i'' \wedge 1_K))_*(\alpha'')_*[\sigma \wedge 1_K] \\ &= \lambda'(u'(i'' \wedge 1_K))_*(\alpha''_{Y \wedge M})_*((1_Y \wedge i)r)_*[\sigma \wedge 1_K] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})f_2 = (\bar{b}_{s+1} \wedge \bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})g_2$ for some $g_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}K, KG_s \wedge X \wedge M]$ and so $f_2 = (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})g_2 + (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{X \wedge M})f_3$ with $f_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q-1}K, E_{s+2} \wedge X \wedge M]$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f \\ &= (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})f_3 + (\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})g_2 \\ &= (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})f_3 + \lambda(\bar{c}_s \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{KG_s} \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)(\sigma \wedge 1_K) \\ &= (\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})f_3 \\ &\quad + \lambda(\bar{a}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda \in Z_p$, where the d_1 -cycle $(1_{KG_s} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})g_2 \in [\Sigma^{tq}K, KG_s \wedge Y \wedge M]$

represents an element $\lambda((1_Y \wedge i)r)_*[\sigma \wedge 1_K] \in Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*Y \wedge M, H^*K)$ (cf. Prop. 9.4.34(2)) and so it equals to $\lambda(1_{KG_s} \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)(\sigma \wedge 1_K)$ (mod d_1 -boundary). Then we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M})f_3 + \lambda(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})g_3$ for some $g_3 \in [\Sigma^{tq+1}K, KG_{s+1} \wedge Y \wedge M]$. By composing $1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_Y \wedge \alpha$ and using (9.4.8) we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \omega \wedge 1_M)f_3 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha_{Y \wedge M}m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M))f_3 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge \alpha)\psi_{X \wedge M})f_3 = -\lambda(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge \alpha i)r)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = -\lambda(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K\alpha')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = 0$ and by (9.4.7) we have $f_3 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_X \wedge j)u' \wedge 1_M)f_4$ with $f_4 \in [\Sigma^{tq+q+1}K, E_{s+2} \wedge L \wedge K \wedge M]$. That is we have

$$(9.4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M}((1_X \wedge j)u' \wedge 1_M))f_4 \\ & + \lambda(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})g_3 \\ & = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M}u')f_5 + (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge ij)u'(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 \\ & + \lambda(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_Y \wedge i)r)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})g_3 \end{aligned}$$

where we use $f_4 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_L \wedge \overline{m}_K)(1_{L \wedge K} \wedge j))f_4 + (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_{L \wedge K} \wedge i)(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4$ and write $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_{L \wedge K} \wedge j)f_4 = f_5$.

By composing $1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}$ on (9.4.37) and using (9.4.36)(9.4.30) we have $-\lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = \lambda(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha''_{Y \wedge M}(1_Y \wedge i)r)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = -\lambda(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)$. If $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$, then $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = 0$ and the Theorem follows. So, we suppose that $\lambda = \lambda_0$.

By (9.1.8) we have $\bar{u}\bar{h} = i \cdot j''$ so that $m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M) = j'' \wedge 1_M$ (up to sign). Then, what happen is either $m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_0(j'' \wedge 1_M)$ or $m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M) = -\lambda_0(j'' \wedge 1_M)$. Now we consider this two cases separately.

Case 1 $m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M) = \lambda_0(j'' \wedge 1_M)$.

In this case, by composing $1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)$ on (9.4.37) we have

$$(9.4.38) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K))f \\ & = \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (j'' \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j'))f \\ & = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge ij)u'(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 \\ & + \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))g_3 \\ & = -(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 \\ & + \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))g_3 \end{aligned}$$

and so $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))g_3$

$1_M))g_3$, where we use $m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge ij)u' = m_M(\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)(1_X \wedge ij)u' = -(j\tilde{\psi} \wedge 1_M)u' = -j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)$ which is obtained by (9.4.6) and the right rectangle of the diagram (9.4.1). Moreover, by applying the derivation d to the equation (9.4.37) we have

$$(9.4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\bar{h} \wedge 1_M)(1_L \wedge j')(i'' \wedge 1_K))f' &= (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M}u')d(f_5) \\ &+ (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M}(1_X \wedge ij)u')d((1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_L \wedge m_K)f_4) \\ &+ (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \psi_{X \wedge M}u'(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 - \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) \\ &+ (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{Y \wedge M})d(g_3) \end{aligned}$$

By (9.4.32) we have $\psi_{X \wedge M}u' = (r \wedge 1_M)\overline{m}_K(j'' \wedge 1_K)$ so that $(j\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M}u' = j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)$. Then, by composing $1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \phi \cdot j\bar{u} \wedge 1_M$ on (9.4.39), it becomes

$$(9.4.40) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)j')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) &= (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)j'(j'' \wedge 1_K))d(f_5) \\ &+ (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)ijj'(j'' \wedge 1_K))d((1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_L \wedge m_K)f_4) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

here we use $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \cdot j\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)\psi_{X \wedge M}u'(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 = (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_{L \wedge M})(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))g_3 = 0$ and by $1_L \wedge \alpha_1 = \phi \cdot j''$ (up to nonzero scalar) we obtain that $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)j'(j'' \wedge 1_K))d(f_5) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_L \wedge j'\alpha'))d(f_5) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.4.33) and so the first term of the right hand side of (9.4.40) is zero. the second term of the right hand side of (9.4.40) is zero by the same reason.

It follows from (9.4.40) that $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \phi \wedge 1_K)(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (1_L \wedge \mu(i'i \wedge 1_K))(\phi \wedge 1_K)(\kappa \wedge 1_K)(jj' \wedge 1_K)\nu = 0$ and so we have $(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \alpha'')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \overline{\Delta}(\phi \wedge 1_K))(\kappa \wedge 1_K) = 0$ and the Theorem follows.

Case 2 $m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M)(\bar{h} \wedge 1_M) = -\lambda_0(j'' \wedge 1_M)$.

In this case, the left hand side of (9.4.38) changes sign, then $-(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 + (\bar{c}_{s+1} \wedge 1_M)(1_{KG_{s+1}} \wedge m_M(\bar{u} \wedge 1_M))g_3 = -2\lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge j')(\kappa \wedge 1_K)$ and by composing $1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge \phi \cdot j\bar{u} \wedge 1_M$ on (9.4.39) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_0 - 2\lambda_0)(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)j')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) \\ &= \lambda_0(1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)j')(\kappa \wedge 1_K) - (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)j'(j'' \wedge 1_K)(1_L \wedge m_K))f_4 \\ &= (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)j'(j'' \wedge 1_K))d(f_5) \\ &\quad + (1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge (\phi \wedge 1_M)ijj'(j'' \wedge 1_K))d((1_{E_{s+2}} \wedge 1_L \wedge m_K)f_4) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that the Theorem follows by the same reason. Q.E.D.

§5. A sequence of $h_0\sigma$ new families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres

In this section, the convergence of a sequence of $h_0\sigma$ and $h_0\sigma'$ new families will be derived by the main Theorem A in §2 and the main Theorem C in §4, where σ and σ' is a pair of a_0 -related elements.

Theorem 9.5.1 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq 2$, then

$$h_0h_n \in Ext_A^{2,p^nq+q}(Z_p, Z_p), \quad h_0b_{n-1} \in Ext_A^{3,p^nq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge in the ASS to homotopy elements of order p in $\pi_{p^nq+q-2}S, \pi_{p^nq+q-3}S$ respectively.

Proof : By [12] Theorem 1.2.14 we have $d_2(h_n) = a_0b_{n-1} \in Ext_A^{3,p^nq+1}(Z_p, Z_p), n \geq 1$, where $d_2 : Ext_A^{1,p^nq}(Z_p, Z_p) \rightarrow Ext_A^{3,p^nq+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is a secondary differential in the ASS. That is, h_n and b_{n-1} is a pair of a_0 -related elements so that the main Theorem A can apply to $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n, b_{n-1}), (s, tq) = (1, p^nq)$. We only need to check the supposition (I)(II)(III) in the main Theorem A hold. By knowledge on the Z_p -base of $Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $(s \leq 3)$ we know that the the supposition (I)(II) of the main Theorem A hold for $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n, b_{n-1}), (s, tq) = (1, p^nq)$. On the other hand, from some results on $Ext_A^{4,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ in [17] we know that the following hold.

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{4,p^nq+rq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) &= 0(r = 1, 3, 4), \\ Ext_A^{4,p^nq+rq}(Z_p, Z_p) &= 0(r = 2, 3), \\ Ext_A^{4,p^nq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) &\cong Z_p\{\tilde{\alpha}_2b_{n-1}\}, \\ Ext_A^{4,p^nq+2}(Z_p, Z_p) &\cong Z_p\{a_0^2b_{n-1}\}, Ext_A^{4,p^nq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, the supposition)III) of the main Theorem A hold for $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n, b_{n-1}), (s, tq) = (1, p^nq)$. Then, by the main Theorem A we obtain that $h_0b_{n-1} \in Ext_A^{3,p^nq+q}(Z_p, Z_p), i_*(h_0h_n) \in Ext_A^{2,p^nq+q}(H^*M, Z_p)$ are permanent cycles in the ASS. By Remark 9.2.35, the main Theorem A also obtains that $(1_L \wedge i)_*\phi_*(h_n) \in Ext_A^{2,p^nq+2q}(H^*L, Z_p)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS so that the main Theorem C can apply to obtain the result of the Theorem, this is because by knowledge on the A_p -base of $Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$ we can easy to see that the supposition (I) of the main Theorem C hold for $(\sigma, \sigma', s, tq) = (h_n, b_{n-1}, 1, p^nq)$. Q.E.D.

Now we apply the main Theorem A and the main Theorem C to $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_nh_m, h_nb_{m-1} - h_mb_{n-1}), (s, tq) = (2, p^nq + p^mq)$ to obtain another sequence of $h_0\sigma$ families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres. For checking the supposition (I)(II)(III) of the main Theorem A, we first

prove the following Proposition.

Proposition 9.5.2 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq m + 2 \geq 4, tq = p^n q + p^m q$, then

- (1) $Ext_A^{4,tq+rq+u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 2, 3, 4, u = -1, 0$ or $r = 3, 4, u = 1$,
 $Ext_A^{4,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0 h_n b_{m-1}, h_0 h_m b_{n-1}\}$,
 $Ext_A^{4,tq}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{b_{n-1} b_{m-1}\}$,
 $Ext_A^{4,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{a_0 h_n b_{m-1}, a_0 h_m b_{n-1}\}$
- (2) $Ext_A^{5,tq+rq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 3, 4$,
 $Ext_A^{5,tq+rq}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 2, 3$,
 $Ext_A^{5,tq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\alpha}_2 h_n b_{m-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_2 h_m b_{n-1}\}$,
 $Ext_A^{5,tq+2}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{a_0^2 h_n b_{m-1}, a_0^2 h_m b_{n-1}\}$,
 $Ext_A^{5,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{a_0 b_{n-1} b_{m-1}\}$,
 $a_0^2 b_{n-1} b_{m-1} \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{6,tq+2}(Z_p, Z_p)$

Proof : By Theorem 5.5.3, there is a May spectral sequence (MSS) $\{E_r^{s,t,*}, d_r\}$ which converges to $Ext_A^{s,t}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and whose E_1 -term is

$$E_1^{*,*,*} = E(h_{i,j} \mid i > 0, j \geq 0) \otimes P(b_{i,j} \mid i > 0, j \geq 0) \otimes P(a_i \mid i \geq 0),$$

where E denotes the exterior algebra and P denotes a polynomial algebra,
 $h_{i,j} \in E_1^{1,2(p^i-1)p^j,2i-1}$, $b_{i,j} \in E_1^{2,2(p^i-1)p^{j+1},p(2i-1)}$, $a_i \in E_1^{1,2p^i-1,2i+1}$. Consider the following second degrees (mod $p^n q$) of the generators in the $E_1^{*,*,*}$ -term, where $0 \leq i \leq n, n \geq m + 2 \geq 4$

$$\begin{aligned} |h_{s,i}| &= (p^{s+i-1} + \cdots + p^i)q \pmod{p^n q}, \quad 0 \leq i < s+i-1 < n \\ &= (p^{n-1} + \cdots + p^i)q \pmod{p^n q}, \quad 0 \leq i < s+i-1 = n, \\ |b_{s,i-1}| &= (p^{s+i-1} + \cdots + p^i)q \pmod{p^n q}, \quad 1 \leq i < s+i-1 < n, \\ &= (p^{n-1} + \cdots + p^i)q \pmod{p^n q}, \quad 1 \leq i < s+i-1 = n. \\ |a_{i+1}| &= (p^i + \cdots + 1)q + 1 \pmod{p^n q}, \quad 1 \leq i < n \\ |a_{i+1}| &= (p^{n-1} + \cdots + 1)q + 1 \pmod{p^n q}, \quad i = n. \end{aligned}$$

For degree $k = tq + rq + u$ such that $0 \leq r \leq 4, -1 \leq u \leq 2$ we have $k \equiv p^m q + rq + u \pmod{p^n q}$. Then, for $3 \leq w \leq 5$, $E_1^{w,tq+rq+u,*}$ has no such generators which have one of the above elements as a factor, this is because such a generator will have second degree $(c_n p^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1 p + c_0)q + d \pmod{p^n q}$, where $c_i \neq 0 (1 \leq i \leq m-1 \text{ or } m < i < n)$, $0 \leq c_l < p, l = 0, \dots, n, 0 \leq d \leq 5$. In addition, the second degree $|b_{1,i-1}| = p^i q \pmod{p^n q} (1 \leq i \leq n), |h_{1,i}| = p^i q \pmod{p^n q} (0 \leq i \leq n)$. Then, exclude the above factor and the factor which has second degree $\geq tq + pq$, we know that the

only possibility of the factor of the generators in $E_1^{w,tq+rq+u,*}$ are $a_1, a_0, h_{1,0}, h_{1,n}, h_{1,m}, b_{1,n-1}, b_{1,m-1}$.

Then, by degree reasons we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{4,tq+rq+1,*} &= 0 \text{ for } r = 3, 4, & E_1^{4,tq+rq+u,*} &= 0 \text{ for } r = 2, 3, 4, u = -1, 0 \\ E_1^{4,tq,*} &= Z_p\{b_{1,n-1}b_{1,m-1}\}, & E_1^{4,tq+1,*} &\cong Z_p\{a_0h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}, a_0h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1}\}, \\ E_1^{4,tq+2,*} &= Z_p\{a_0^2h_{1,n}h_{1,m}\}, \\ E_1^{4,tq+2q+1,*} &= Z_p\{h_{1,0}a_1h_{1,n}h_{1,m}\}, \\ E_1^{4,tq+q,*} &= Z_p\{h_{1,0}h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}, h_{1,0}h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1}\}, \\ E_1^{3,tq+1,*} &= Z_p\{a_0h_{1,n}h_{1,m}\}, & E_1^{3,tq,*} &= Z_p\{h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}, h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1}\}, \\ E_1^{3,tq+q,*} &= Z_p\{h_{1,0}h_{1,n}h_{1,m}\}, & E_1^{3,tq+2q+1,*} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the differentials in the MSS is derivative, that is, $d_r(xy) = d_r(x)y + (-1)^s x d_r(y)$ for $x \in E_1^{s,t,*}, y \in E_1^{s',t',*}$. In addition, $a_0, h_{1,n}, b_{1,n-1}, h_{1,0}a_1$ are permanent cycles in the MSS which converge to $a_0, h_n, b_{n-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_2 \in Ext_A^{*,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ respectively.

Then, the differential $d_r E_r^{3,tq+sq+u,*} = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$ and $s = u = 0$ or $s = 1, u = 0$ or $s = 0, u = 1$ or $s = 2, u = 1$ so that $b_{1,n-1}b_{1,m-1}, a_0h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}, a_0h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1}, h_{1,0}h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}, h_{1,0}h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1} \in E_r^{4,*,*}$ are not d_r -boundary in the MSS and so $b_{n-1}b_{m-1}, a_0h_nb_{m-1}, a_0h_mb_{n-1}, h_0h_nb_{m-1}, h_0h_mb_{n-1}$ are all nontrivial in $Ext_A^{4,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$. This shows (1).

Similarly, by degree reasons we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{5,tq+q+1,*} &\cong Z_p\{a_0h_{1,0}h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}, a_0h_{1,0}h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1}, a_1b_{1,n-1}b_{1,m-1}\} \\ E_1^{5,tq+rq+1,*} &= 0 \text{ for } r = 3, 4, & E_1^{5,tq+rq,*} &= 0 \text{ for } r = 2, 3 \\ E_1^{5,tq+2q+1,*} &\cong Z_p\{h_{1,0}a_1h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}, h_{1,0}a_1h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1}\} \\ E_1^{5,tq+1,*} &= Z_p\{a_0b_{1,n-1}b_{1,m-1}\}, & E_1^{4,tq+2q+1,*} &\cong Z_p\{h_{1,0}a_1h_{1,n}h_{1,m}\} \\ E_1^{5,tq+2,*} &= Z_p\{a_0^2h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1}, a_0^2h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

All the generators of $E_1^{5,tq+q+1,*}$ dy in the MSS, this is because $a_0h_{1,0}h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1} = -d_1(a_1h_{1,n}b_{1,m-1}), a_0h_{1,0}h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1} = -d_1(a_1h_{1,m}b_{1,n-1})$ and

$$d_1(a_1b_{1,n-1}b_{1,m-1}) = -a_0h_{1,0}b_{1,n-1}b_{1,m-1} \neq 0 \in E_1^{5,tq+q+1,*}$$

Then, $Ext_A^{5,tq+q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$. In addition, similar to that given in (1) we have, $d_r E_r^{4,tq+u,*} = 0, d_r E_r^{4,tq+2q+1,*} = 0$ for all $r \geq 1, u = 1, 2$. Then, the generators in $E_1^{5,*,*}$ converge in the MSS to $\tilde{\alpha}_2h_nb_{m-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_2h_mb_{n-1}, a_0b_{n-1}b_{m-1}, a_0^2h_mb_{n-1}, a_0^2h_nb_{m-1}$ respectively. For the last result, note that $d_r E_r^{5,tq+2,*} = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$, then $a_0^2b_{n-1}b_{m-1} \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{6,tq+2}(Z_p, Z_p)$. This shows (2). Q.E.D.

Theorem 9.5.3 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq m + 2 \geq 4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 h_n h_m &\in Ext_A^{3,p^n q + p^m q + q}(Z_p, Z_p), \\ h_0(h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1}) &\in Ext_A^{4,p^n q + p^m q + q}(Z_p, Z_p) \end{aligned}$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to homotopy elements of order p in $\pi_{p^n q + p^m q + q - 3} S$ and $\pi_{p^n q + p^m q + q - 4} S$ respectively.

Proof : By [12]p.11 Theorem 1.2.14, there is a nontrivial secondary differential $d_2(h_n) = a_0 b_{n-1} (n \geq 1)$ and it follows that $d_2(h_n h_m) = d_1(h_n) h_m + (-1)^{1+p^n q} h_n d_2(h_m) = a_0 h_m b_{n-1} - a_0 h_n b_{m-1}$. That is, $(h_n h_m, h_m b_{n-1} - h_n b_{m-1})$ is a pair of a_0 -related elements. By applying the main Theorem A to $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n h_m, h_m b_{n-1} - h_n b_{m-1})$, $(s, tq) = (2, p^n q + p^m q)$ we have $h_0(h_m b_{n-1} - h_n b_{m-1}) \in Ext_A^{4,p^n q + p^m q + q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and $i_*(h_0 h_n h_m) \in Ext_A^{3,p^n q + p^m q + q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ are permanent cycles in the ASS, this is because by knowledge of Z_p -base of $Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $s \leq 3$ we know that the supposition (I)(II)(III) of the main Theorem A hold. By Remark 9.2.35, the main Theorem A also obtains that $(1_L \wedge i)_* \phi_*(h_n h_m) \in Ext_A^{3,p^n q + p^m q + 2q}(H^* L, Z_p)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS so that by the main Theorem C, the result of the Theorem follows. This is because the supposition (I) of the main Theorem C hold by the knowledge of the Z_p -base of $Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$. Q.E.D.

From Theorem 9.5.1 and Theorem 9.5.3, we obtain four families of $h_0 \sigma$ new families. In fact, there are many pairs of a_0 -related elements so that we can expect to obtain some other sequence of $h_0 \sigma$ new families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres. We have the following conjectures.

Conjecture 9.5.4 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq 3$, then there is a secondary differential $d_2(g_n) = a_0 l_n \in Ext_A^{4,p^{n+1} q + 2p^n q + 1}(Z_p, Z_p)$, $n \geq 3$ (up to nonzero scalar) and

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 g_n &\in Ext_A^{3,p^{n+1} q + 2p^n q + q}(Z_p, Z_p) \\ h_0 l_n &\in Ext_A^{4,p^{n+1} q + 2p^n q + q}(Z_p, Z_p) \end{aligned}$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to homotopy elements of order p in $\pi_{p^{n+1} q + 2p^n q + q - 3} S$ and $\pi_{p^{n+1} q + 2p^n q + q - 4} S$ respectively, where $g_n \in Ext_A^{2,p^{n+1} q + 2p^n q}(Z_p, Z_p)$, $l_n \in Ext_A^{3,p^{n+1} q + 2p^n q}(Z_p, Z_p)$.

Conjecture 9.5.5 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq 3$, then there is a secondary dif-

ferential $d_2(k_n) = a_0 l'_n \in Ext_A^{4,2p^{n+1}q+p^nq+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ (up to nonzero scalar), $n \geq 3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 k_n &\in Ext_A^{3,2p^{n+1}q+p^nq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \\ h_0 l'_n &\in Ext_A^{4,2p^{n+1}q+p^nq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \end{aligned}$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to homotopy elements of order p in $\pi_{2p^{n+1}+p^nq+q-3}S$ and $\pi_{2p^{n+1}q+p^nq+q-4}S$, where $k_n \in Ext_A^{2,2p^{n+1}q+p^nq}(Z_p, Z_p)$, $l'_n \in Ext_A^{3,2p^{n+1}q+p^nq}(Z_p, Z_p)$.

Remark 9.5.6 By [10][25], there is Thom map $\Phi : Ext_{BP_*BP}^{s,*}(BP_*, BP_*) \rightarrow Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ ($s = 2, 3$) such that $\Phi(\beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}-1}) = h_0 h_n$, $\Phi(\beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}}) = b_{n-1}$, $\Phi(\beta_{p^{m-1}/p^{m-1}-1} \beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}} - \beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}-1} \beta_{p^{m-1}/p^{m-1}}) = h_0(h_m b_{n-1} - h_n b_{m-1})$, $\Phi(\gamma_{p^{n-2}/p^{n-2}-p^{m-1}, p^{m-1}-1}) = h_0 h_n h_m$. Then, the $h_0 h_n$, $h_0 b_{n-1}$, $h_0(h_m b_{n-1} - h_n b_{m-1})$, $h_0 h_n h_m$ -map obtained by Theorem 9.5.1 and Theorem 9.5.3 are represented by $\beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}-1} + \text{other terms} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,p^nq+q}(BP_*, BP_*)$, $\alpha_1 \beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}} + \text{other terms} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{3,p^nq+q}(BP_*, BP_*)$, $\beta_{p^{m-1}/p^{m-1}-1} \beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}} - \beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}-1} \cdot \beta_{p^{m-1}/p^{m-1}} + \text{other terms} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{4,p^nq+p^m q+q}(BP_*, BP_*)$, $\gamma_{p^{n-2}/p^{n-2}-p^{m-1}, p^{m-1}-1} + \text{other terms} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{3,p^nq+p^m q+q}(BP_*, BP_*)$ respectively in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence.

§6. A sequence of $h_0 \sigma \tilde{\gamma}_s$, $g_0 \sigma \tilde{\gamma}_s$ new families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres

In this section, we use the main Theorem B to obtain $i'_* i_*(g_0 h_n)$, $i'_* i_*(g_0 b_{n-1})$, $i'_* i_*(g_0 h_n h_m)$, $i'_* i_*(g_0(h_n b_{m-1} - b_m b_{n-1}))$ et al converge to the corresponding nontrivial homotopy elements in the homotopy groups of Smith-Toda spectrum $V(1)$. In base of these results, we obtain a sequence of $g_0 \sigma \tilde{\gamma}_s$, $h_0 \sigma \tilde{\gamma}_s$ new families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

Theorem 9.6.1 Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} i'_* i_*(g_0 h_n) &\in Ext_A^{3,p^nq+pq+2q}(H^*K, Z_p) \\ i'_* i_*(g_0 b_{n-1}) &\in Ext_A^{4,p^nq+pq+2q}(H^*K, Z_p) \end{aligned}$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to the corresponding homotopy element in $\pi_{p^nq+pq+2q-3}K$, $\pi_{p^nq+pq+2q-4}K$ respectively.

Proof : We first apply the main Theorem B to $(\sigma, s, tq) = (h_n, 1, p^n q)$. By Theorem 9.5.1, the supposition (II) of the main Theorem B holds. More-

over, by knowledge on the Z_p -base of $Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$ we know that the supposition (I) of the main Theorem B holds, then the first result of the Theorem follows by the main Theorem B.

For the second result, we apply the main Theorem B to $(\sigma, s, tq) = (b_{n-1}, 2, p^n q)$. Similarly by Theorem 9.5.1, the supposition (II) of the main Theorem B holds. Moreover, by knowledge on the Z_p -base of $Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $s = 2, 3$ and some result in [17] on $Ext_A^{4,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ we know that the supposition (I) of the main Theorem B holds. Then, the second result also follows by the main Theorem B. Q.E.D.

alternative Proof : It is known from the proof of Theorem 9.5.1 that the supposition (I)(II)(III) if the main Theorem A hold for $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n, b_{n-1}), (s, tq) = (1, p^n q)$. Then, applying the main Theorem B' in §3 to $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n, b_{n-1}), (s, tq) = (1, p^n q)$ we obtain the two results of the Theorem. Q.E.D.

Theorem 9.6.2 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq m + 2 \geq 4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} i'_* i_*(g_0 h_n h_m) &\in Ext_A^{4, p^n q + p^m q + pq + 2q}(H^* K, Z_p) \\ i'_* i_*(g_0(h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1})) &\in Ext_A^{5, p^n q + p^m q + pq + 2q}(H^* K, Z_p) \end{aligned}$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to nontrivial homotopy elements in $\pi_{p^n q + p^m q + pq + 2q - 4} K, \pi_{p^n q + p^m q + pq + 2q - 5} K$ respectively.

Proof : We first apply the main Theorem B to $(\sigma, s, tq) = (h_n h_m, 2, p^n q + p^m q)$. By Theorem 9.5.3, the supposition (II) of the main Theorem B holds. By knowledge on the Z_p -base of $Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $s = 2, 3$ and some result in [17] on $Ext_A^{4,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ we know that the supposition (I) of the main Theorem B also holds. Then the first result follows by the main Theorem B. Moreover, we apply the main Theorem B to $(\sigma, s, tq) = (h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1}, 3, p^n q + p^m q)$. Similarly by Theorem 9.5.3, the supposition (II) of the main Theorem B holds. By knowledge on the Z_p -base of $Ext_A^{3,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $s = 3, 4$ and the result on $Ext_A^{5, p^n q + p^m q + 2q + 1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\alpha}_2 h_n b_{m-1}, \tilde{\alpha}_2 h_m b_{n-1}\}$ in Prop. 9.5.2 we know that the supposition (I) of the main Theorem B holds. Then, the second result follows immediately by the main Theorem B. Q.E.D.

alternative Proof : It is known from the proof of Theorem 9.5.2 that the supposition (I)(II)(III) of the main Theorem A hold for $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n h_m, h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1}), (s, tq) = (2, p^n q + p^m q)$. Then by applying the main Theorem B' in §3 to $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n h_m, h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1}), (s, tq) =$

$(2, p^n q + p^m q)$, we obtain the two result of the Theorem. Q.E.D.

Using the notation in the cofibration (6.2.7)–(6.2.10), we know that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_s = ((j_1 j_2 j_3)_*(\gamma)_*(i_3 i_2 i_1)_*(1) \in Ext_A^{s, sp^2 q + (s-1)pq + (s-2)q + s-3}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

converges to the following third periodicity element in the ASS

$$\gamma_s = j_1 j_2 j_3 \gamma^s i_3 i_2 i_1 \in \pi_{sp^2 q + (s-1)pq + (s-2)q - 3} S$$

where $3 \leq s < p$ and $1 \in Ext_A^{0,0}(Z_p, Z_p)$. Now we consider the products $g_0 \sigma \tilde{\gamma}_s, h_0 \sigma \tilde{\gamma}_s$, in $Ext_A^{*,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and we will prove that they converge to the corresponding homotopy element of order p in the stable homotopy groups of spheres, where $\sigma = h_n, b_{n-1}, h_n h_m$, or $h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1}$.

Theorem 9.6.3 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq 3, 3 \leq s < p$, then the products

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 h_n \tilde{\gamma}_s &\neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+3, p^n q + sp^2 q + spq + sq + s-3}(Z_p, Z_p) \\ g_0 b_{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_s &\neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+4, p^n q + sp^2 q + spq + sq + s-3}(Z_p, Z_p) \end{aligned}$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to the corresponding homotopy elements of order p in the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

Proof: By Theorem 9.6.1, there is a nontrivial $f \in \pi_{p^n q + pq + 2q - 3} K$ such that it is represented by $i'_* i_*(g_0 h_n) \in Ext_A^{3, p^n q + pq + 2q}(H^* K, Z_p)$ in the ASS. Let $\tilde{f} = j_1 j_2 j_3 \gamma^s i_3 f$ be the following composition ($tq = p^n q + pq + 2q - 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : \Sigma^{tq} S &\xrightarrow{f} V(1) \xrightarrow{i_3} V(2) \xrightarrow{\gamma^s} \Sigma^{-(p^2 q + pq + q)} V(2) \\ &\xrightarrow{j_1 j_2 j_3} \Sigma^{-(p^2 + p + 1)q + (p+2)q + q + 3} S \end{aligned}$$

Since f is represented by $(i_2)_*(i_1)_*(g_0 h_n) \in Ext_A^{3, p^n q + pq + 2q}(H^* K, Z_p)$ in the ASS, then the above $\tilde{f}$ is represented by

$$c = (j_1 j_2 j_3)_*(\gamma_*)^s(i_3 i_2 i_1)_*(g_0 h_n) \in Ext_A^{s+3, p^n q + s(p^2 + p + 1)q + s-3}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

By knowledge of Yoneda products we know that the above element c is just the products $g_0 h_n \tilde{\gamma}_s \in Ext_A^{s+3, p^n q + s(p^2 + p + 1)q + s-3}(Z_p, Z_p)$. Then, to obtain the first result, it suffices to prove the product $g_0 h_n \tilde{\gamma}_s$ is nonzero in the Ext group and it is not a d_r -boundary in the ASS, that is, we still need to prove $Ext_A^{s+3-r, p^n q + s(p^2 + p + 1)q + s-2-r}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is zero for $r \geq 2$. We may prove this two facts by an argument in the May spectral sequence. By degree reasons, $h_n, g_0, \tilde{\gamma}_s$ is represented by $h_{1,n}, h_{2,0} h_{1,0}, h_{2,1} h_{1,2} h_{3,0} a_3^{s-3} \in E_1^{*,*,*}$ in the MSS respectively. Then, the products $g_0 h_n \tilde{\gamma}_s$ is represented by

$$h_{1,n} h_{2,0} h_{1,0} h_{2,1} h_{1,2} h_{3,0} a_3^{s-3} \in E_1^{s+3, p^n q + s(p^2 + p + 1)q + s-3, *}$$

in the MSS and so we can do some computation in the degree to prove $E_1^{s+2, p^n q + s(p^2 + p + 1)q + s-3, *} = 0$ and $E_1^{s+3-r, p^n q + s(p^2 + p + 1)q + s-2-r, *} = 0 (r \geq 2)$

so that the first result follows. We leave this computation to the reader. The proof and computation for the second result is similar. Q.E.D.

By using Theorem 9.6.2, Theorem 9.5.1 and Theorem 9.5.3, similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 9.6.3, we can obtain the following Theorem 9.6.4–9.6.6.

Theorem 9.6.4 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq m + 2 \geq 5, 3 \leq s < p$, then

$$g_0 h_n h_m \tilde{\gamma}_s \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+4, p^n q + p^m q + s(p^2 + p + 1)q + s - 3}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

$$g_0(h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1}) \tilde{\gamma}_s \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+5, p^n q + p^m q + s(p^2 + p + 1)q + s - 3}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to the corresponding homotopy elements of order p in the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

Theorem 9.6.5 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq 3, 3 \leq s < p$, then the products

$$h_0 h_n \tilde{\gamma}_s \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+2, p^n q + s p^2 q + (s-1)(p+1)q + s - 3}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

$$h_0 b_{n-1} \tilde{\gamma}_s \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+3, p^n q + s p^2 q + (s-1)(p+1)q + s - 3}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to the corresponding elements of order p in the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

Theorem 9.6.6 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq m + 2 \geq 5, 3 \leq s < p$, then the products

$$h_0 h_n h_m \tilde{\gamma}_s \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+3, p^n q + p^m q + s p^2 q + (s-1)(p+1)q + s - 3}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

$$h_0(h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1}) \tilde{\gamma}_s \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{s+4, p^n q + p^m q + s p^2 q + (s-1)(p+1)q + s - 3}(Z_p, Z_p)$$

are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to the corresponding homotopy elements of order p in the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

Remark 9.6.7 The new families obtained in Theorem 9.6.5 and Theorem 9.6.6

are the composition products of $h_0 h_n$ -element, $h_0 b_{n-1}$ -element in Theorem 9.5.1, $h_0 h_n h_m$ -element, $h_0(h_n b_{m-1} - h_m b_{n-1})$ -element in Theorem 9.5.3 and $\gamma_s = j_1 j_2 j_3 \gamma^s i_3 i_2 i_1 \in \pi_{s p^2 q + (s-1)p q + (s-2)q - 3} S$. However, the new families obtained in Theorem 9.6.3 and Theorem 9.6.4 are indecomposable elements in the stable homotopy groups of spheres, that is, they are not compositions of some other elements of lower degrees in the stable homotopy groups of spheres. This is because $g_0 \in Ext_A^{2, p q + 2q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ dies in the ASS, that is, it support a nontrivial differential in the Adams spectral sequence : $d_2(g_0) = b_0 \tilde{\alpha}_2$ (up to nonzero scalar) $\in Ext_A^{4, p q + 2q + 1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ which can be

easily proved as follows. Since $\tilde{\alpha}_2, b_0$ converge in the ASS to $\alpha_2 = j\alpha^2 i, \beta_1 = jj'\beta i' i \in \pi_* S$, then the composition products of $\beta_1 \alpha_2 \in \pi_{pq+2q-3} S$ must be represented by $b_0 \tilde{\alpha}_2 \in Ext_A^{4,pq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ in the ASS. However, it is easily seen that $\beta_1 \alpha_2 = jj'\beta i' i j \alpha^2 i = 0$ and $b_0 \tilde{\alpha}_2 \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{4,pq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$, then $b_0 \tilde{\alpha}_2$ must be a d_r -boundary. By degree reason, the only possibility is $b_0 \tilde{\alpha}_2 = d_2(g_0)$ (up to nonzero scalar).

Conjecture 9.6.8 By the conjecture 9.5.4–9.5.5, we can conjecture that, for $p \geq 7, n \geq 3, 3 \leq s < p$, the products $h_0 g_n \tilde{\gamma}_s, h_0 l_n \tilde{\gamma}_s, g_0 g_n \tilde{\gamma}_s, g_0 l_n \tilde{\gamma}_s, h_0 k_n \tilde{\gamma}_s, h_0 l'_n \tilde{\gamma}_s, g_0 k_n \tilde{\gamma}_s, g_0 l'_n \tilde{\gamma}_s$ are permanent cycles in the ASS and they converge to the corresponding homotopy elements of order p in the stable homotopy groups of spheres. In addition, all results or conjectures in this section also hold when we replace the products with $\tilde{\gamma}_s$ to be the products with $\tilde{\beta}_s (2 \leq s < p)$. That is, we can obtain a sequence of $h_0 \sigma \tilde{\beta}_s, g_0 \sigma \tilde{\beta}_s$ -elements, where $\tilde{\beta}_s = (j_1 j_2)_* \beta_*^s (i_1 i_1)_*(1) \in Ext_A^{s, spq+(s-1)q+s-2}(Z_p, Z_p), 2 \leq s < p$.

§7. Third periodicity families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres

In this section, we will first prove the convergence of h_n -elements in the homotopy groups of Smith-Toda spectrum $V(1)$ and in base of this we obtain the convergence of third periodicity $\gamma_{p^n/s}$ families ($1 \leq s \leq p^n - 1$) in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence.

Theorem 9.7.1 ([9] Theorem II) Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 0$,

$$h_n \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$$

be the element represented by $[t_1^{p^n}]$ in the cobar complex. Then this h_n is a permanent cycle in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and it converges to a nontrivial homotopy element in $\pi_{p^n q-1} K$.

The proof of the above h_n -Theorem will be the main content of this section. By Theorem 8.1.6(b)(ii), there is a relation

$$(9.7.2) \quad h_n = c_2(p^{n-2}) + v_2^{p^{n-2}} h_{n-2} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*BP_*K)$$

By [10].p.502 Cor. 7.8, the image of $v_2^s c_2(p^{n-2}) (p^{n-2} > s \geq 1)$ under the boundary homomorphism (or connecting homomorphism)

$$j'_* : Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*K) \rightarrow Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,*}(BP_*, BP_*M)$$

and

$$j_* : Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,*}(BP_*, BP_*M) \rightarrow Ext_{BP_*BP}^{3,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$$

is just the third periodicity family $\gamma_{p^{n-2}/p^{n-2}-s} \neq 0 \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{3,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$. Then, by Theorem 9.7.1, the relation (9.7.2) and Theorem 7.3.2, we can obtain the following convergence Theorem of third periodicity families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres immediately.

Theorem 9.7.3 ([9] Theorem I) Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq p^n - 1$, then the following third periodicity family

$$\gamma_{p^n/s} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{3,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$$

is a permanent cycle in the ASS and it converge to an element of order p in π_*S which has degree $p^{n+2}q + (p^n - s)(p + 1)q - q - 3$.

To prove Theorem 9.7.1, we first prove the following weaker Theorem.

Theorem 9.7.4 ([9] Theorem 4.1) Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 0, h_n \in Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ be the element represented by ξ^{p^n} in the cobar complex, then $i'_*i_*(h_n) \in Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, Z_p)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS and it converge to a nontrivial homotopy element in $\pi_{p^n q - 1}K$.

The proof of Theorem 9.7.4 will be the main content of the rest of this section. The proof need some preliminaries on low dimensional Ext groups and an argument processing in the Adams resolution of some spectra related to S . We first prove some results on Ext groups.

Theorem 9.7.5 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$, then

- (1) $Ext_A^{s,p^n q+r}(H^*K, Z_p) = 0$ for $s = 2, 3, r = 1, 2$,
 $Ext_A^{s,p^n q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$,
 $Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(H^*K, H^*K) \cong Z_p\{(h_0 b_{n-1})'\}$.
- (2) $Ext_A^{s-1,p^n q+q+s-3}(H^*Y, Z_p) = 0$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$.
- (3) $Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, H^*Y) = 0$,

where Y is the spectrum in the cofibration (9.1.4).

Proof : (1) Consider the following exact sequence ($s = 1, 2, 3, r = 1, 2$)

$$Ext_A^{s,p^n q+r}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{i_*} Ext_A^{s,p^n q+r}(H^*M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{j_*} Ext_A^{s,p^n q+r-1}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p_*}$$

induced by (9.1.1). By knowledge of Z_p -base of $Ext_A^{s,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$ we know that the right group is zero except for $(s, r) = (1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 2)$ it has unique generator $h_n, b_{n-1}, a_0 h_n, a_0 b_{n-1}$. However, $p_*(h_n) = a_0 h_n \neq$

$0, p_*(b_{n-1}) = a_0 b_{n-1} \neq 0, p_*(a_0 h_n) = a_0^2 h_n \neq 0, p_*(a_0 b_{n-1}) = a_0^2 b_{n-1} \neq 0$, then the above p_* is monic and so $\text{im } j_* = 0$. In addition, the left group is zero except for $(s, r) = (2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2)$ it has unique generator $a_0 h_n = p_*(h_n), a_0 b_{n-1} = p_*(b_{n-1}), a_0^2 h_n = p_*(a_0 h_n)$ respectively. Then we have $\text{im } i_* = 0$ and obtain that $\text{Ext}_A^{s, p^n q+r}(H^* M, Z_p) = 0$ for $s = 1, 2, 3, r = 1, 2$.

Look at the following exact sequence ($s = 2, 3, r = 1, 2$)

$$0 = \text{Ext}_A^{s, p^n q+r}(H^* M, Z_p) \xrightarrow{i'_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s, p^n q+r}(H^* K, Z_p) \xrightarrow{j'_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s, p^n q-q+r-1}(H^* M, Z_p)$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero as shown above. The right group also is zero, this is because $\text{Ext}_A^{s, p^n q-q+r-1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $s = 2, 3, r = 1, 2, 3$ (cf. Chap. 5). Then, the middle group is zero for $s = 2, 3, r = 1, 2$ and so $\text{Ext}_A^{s, p^n q+1}(H^* K, H^* M) = 0$ ($s = 2, 3$).

For the last result, consider the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_A^{3, p^n q+2q+1}(H^* K, H^* M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} \text{Ext}_A^{3, p^n q+q}(H^* K, H^* K) \xrightarrow{(i')^*} \text{Ext}_A^{3, p^n q+q}(H^* K, H^* M) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero by Prop. 9.3.2(1) and the right group has unique generator $i'_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{b}_{n-1})$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.1) such that $\alpha^*(i')_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)_*(\tilde{b}_{n-1}) = 0$. Then, the middle group has unique generator $(h_0 b_{n-1})'$ such that $(i')^*(h_0 b_{n-1})' = i'_*(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_M)(\tilde{b}_{n-1})$. Q.E.D.

(2) The result is obvious for $s = 1$. For $s = 2, 3$, consider the following exact sequence

$$\xrightarrow{(i'i)^*} \text{Ext}_A^{s-1, p^n q+q+s-3}(H^* K, Z_p) \xrightarrow{r_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s-1, p^n q+q+s-3}(H^* Y, Z_p) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_*} \text{Ext}_A^{s, p^n q+q+s-3}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(i'i)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.4). The left group is zero for $s = 2$, this is because $\text{Ext}_A^{1, t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $t = -1, -2 \pmod{q}$. The left group has unique generator $(i'i)_*(h_0 h_n)$ for $s = 3$ so that $\text{im } r_* = 0$. The right group is zero for $s = 2$ and has unique generator $h_0 b_{n-1}$ for $s = 3$ which satisfies $(i'i)_*(h_0 b_{n-1}) \neq 0$, then $\text{im } \epsilon_* = 0$ and so the middle group is zero for $s = 1, 2, 3$.

(3) Observe the following exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_A^{0, p^n q}(H^* K, Z_p) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \text{Ext}_A^{1, p^n q}(H^* K, H^* Y) \xrightarrow{r^*} \text{Ext}_A^{1, p^n q}(H^* K, H^* K) \xrightarrow{(i'i)^*}$$

induced by (9.1.4). The left group clearly is zero and the right group has unique generator $(h_n)'$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.6) which satisfies $(i'i)^*(h_n)' =$

$(i'i)_*(h_n) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{1,p^ng}(H^*K, Z_p)$, then the middle group is zero as desired. Q.E.D.

Prop. 9.7.6 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$, then

- (1) $Ext_A^{2,p^ng}(Z_p, H^*M) = 0, Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, H^*M) = 0.$
- (2) $Ext_A^{2,p^ng}(Z_p, H^*K) = 0, Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, H^*K) = 0.$
- (3) $Ext_A^{2,p^{nq+q-u}}(Z_p, H^*K) = 0$ for $u = 0, 1$,
 $Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+q}}(Z_p, H^*K) = 0.$

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{2,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{j^*} Ext_A^{2,p^{nq}}(Z_p, H^*M) \xrightarrow{i^*} Ext_A^{2,p^{nq}}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p^*} \\ Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+2}}(Z_p, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{j^*} Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, H^*M) \xrightarrow{i^*} Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, Z_p) \xrightarrow{p^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.1). The upper left group has unique generator a_0h_n which satisfies $j^*(a_0h_n) = j^*p^*(h_n) = 0$ and the upper right group has unique generator b_{n-1} satisfying $p^*(b_{n-1}) = a_0b_{n-1} \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, Z_p)$ (cf. Theorem 5.4.1), then we have $Ext_A^{2,p^{nq}}(Z_p, H^*M) = 0$. The lower left group has unique generator $a_0^2h_n$ satisfying $j^*(a_0^2h_n) = j^*p^*(a_0h_n) = 0$ and the lower right group has unique generator a_0b_{n-1} such that $p^*(a_0b_{n-1}) = a_0^2b_{n-1} \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{4,p^{nq+2}}(Z_p, Z_p)$ (cf. Prop. 9.5.2(2)) , then $Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, H^*M) = 0$.

(2) Consider the following exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Ext_A^{2,p^{nq+q+1}}(Z_p, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{2,p^{nq}}(Z_p, H^*K) \\ &\xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{2,p^{nq}}(Z_p, H^*M) = 0 \\ 0 &= Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+q+2}}(Z_p, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, H^*K) \\ &\xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+1}}(Z_p, H^*M) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.2). Both two right groups are zero by (1) and both two left groups are also zero , this is because $Ext_A^{2,p^{nq+q+r}}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2$ (cf. Chapter 5) and $Ext_A^{3,p^{nq+q+r}}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 2, 3$ (cf. Theorem 5.4.1), then the result follows.

(3) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &\xrightarrow{\alpha^*} Ext_A^{2,p^{nq+2q}}(Z_p, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{2,p^{nq+q-1}}(Z_p, H^*K) \\ &\xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{2,p^{nq+q-1}}(Z_p, H^*M) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero, this is because $Ext_A^{2,p^{nq+2q+r}}(Z_p, Z_p)$

$= 0$ for $r = 0, 1$ (cf. Chapter 5). The right group has unique generator $j^*(h_0 h_n)$ since $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0 h_n\}$. In addition, we claim that $\alpha^* j^*(h_0 h_n) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot j^*(\tilde{\alpha}_2 h_n) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3,p^n q+2q}(Z_p, H^* M)$. To prove this, it suffices to prove $\alpha^* j^*(h_0) = \frac{1}{2} j^*(\tilde{\alpha}_2) \in Ext_A^{2,2q}(Z_p, H^* M)$. Since $i^* \alpha^* j^*(h_0) = \alpha_1^*(h_0) = h_0^2 = 0$, then $\alpha^* j^*(h_0) = \lambda j^*(\tilde{\alpha}_2)$ for some scalar $\lambda \in Z_p$. Since both sides of the equation detect the corresponding homotopy elements, then the relation $\alpha_1 j \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 j$ implies $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. This shows the above claim and so the above α^* is monic, $\text{im } (i')^* = 0$ and we have $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(Z_p, H^* K) = 0$. The proof of the case for $u = 0$ is similar.

For the second result, consider the following exact sequence

$$Ext_A^{3,p^n q+2q+1}(Z_p, H^* M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(Z_p, H^* K) \\ \xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(Z_p, H^* M) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group has unique generator $j_* \alpha_* \alpha_*(\tilde{h}_n) = j_* \alpha_* \alpha^*(\tilde{h}_n)$, this is because $Ext_A^{3,p^n q+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ has unique generator $\tilde{\alpha}_2 h_n = j_* \alpha_* \alpha_* i_*(h_n) = i^* j_* \alpha_* \alpha_*(\tilde{h}_n)$ and $Ext_A^{3,p^n q+2q+2}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ (cf. Theorem 5.4.1), then $\text{im } (j')^* = 0$. The right group has unique generator $j_* \alpha_*(\tilde{b}_{n-1})$ since $Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ has unique generator $h_0 b_{n-1} = j_* \alpha_* i_*(b_{n-1}) = j_* \alpha_* i^*(\tilde{b}_{n-1})$ and $Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ (cf. Theorem 5.4.1). In addition, $\alpha^* j_* \alpha_* \cdot (\tilde{b}_{n-1}) = j_* \alpha_* \alpha_*(\tilde{b}_{n-1}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{4,p^n q+2q+1}(Z_p, H^* M)$, this is because $i^* j_* \alpha_* \alpha_* \cdot (\tilde{b}_{n-1}) = \tilde{\alpha}_2 b_{n-1} \neq 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.5.2(2)). Then the above α^* is monic, $\text{im } (i')^* = 0$ and so the middle group is zero as desired. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.7.7 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$, then

- (1) $Ext_A^{2,p^n q}(Z_p, H^* X) = 0, Ext_A^{3,p^n q+1}(Z_p, H^* X) = 0.$
- (2) $Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(H^* X, H^* K) \cong Z_p\{w_*(h_0 b_{n-1})'\}.$
- (3) $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^* X, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\tau_*(h_n)\},$

where X is the spectrum in the cofibration (9.3.7), $\tau : \Sigma^{q-1} S \rightarrow X$ is a map satisfying $u\tau = i'i : S \rightarrow K$ which is obtained by $\alpha'' i' i = 0$ and (9.3.7).

Proof : (1) Consider the following exact sequences

$$0 = Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(Z_p, H^* K) \xrightarrow{u^*} Ext_A^{2,p^n q}(Z_p, H^* X) \\ \xrightarrow{w^*} Ext_A^{2,p^n q}(Z_p, H^* K) = 0 \\ 0 = Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(Z_p, H^* K) \xrightarrow{u^*} Ext_A^{3,p^n q+1}(Z_p, H^* X) \\ \xrightarrow{w^*} Ext_A^{3,p^n q+1}(Z_p, H^* K) = 0$$

induced by (9.3.7). By Prop. 9.7.6(2)(3), Both sides four groups are zero so

that the result follows.

(2) We first claim that $Ext_A^{s,p^n q+1}(H^*K, H^*K) = 0$ ($s = 2, 3$), then the result follows by the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & \xrightarrow{(\alpha'')^*} Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(H^*K, H^*K) \xrightarrow{w_*} Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(H^*X, H^*K) \\ & \xrightarrow{u_*} Ext_A^{3,p^n q+1}(H^*K, H^*K) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.3.7), where the left group has unique generator $(h_0 b_{n-1})'$ (cf. Prop. 9.7.5(1)). To prove the above claim, consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} Ext_A^{s,p^n q+q+2}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{s,p^n q+1}(H^*K, H^*K) \\ & \xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{s,p^n q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The right group is zero for $s = 2, 3$ (cf. Prop. 9.7.5(1)) and the left group is zero by Prop. 9.3.2(2). This shows the above claim.

(3) Since $\alpha'' i' i = 0$, then, by (9.3.7), there is $\tau \in \pi_{q-1}X$ such that $u\tau = i' i : S \rightarrow K$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, Z_p) & \xrightarrow{w_*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*X, Z_p) \\ & \xrightarrow{u_*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, Z_p) \xrightarrow{\alpha''_*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.3.7). The left group is zero since $Ext_A^{1,t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $t \equiv -1, -2 \pmod{q}$. The right group has unique generator $(i' i)_*(h_n)$ which satisfies $\alpha''_*(i' i)_*(h_n) = 0$, then the middle group has unique generator $\tau_*(h_n)$ such that $u_*\tau_*(h_n) = (i' i)_*(h_n)$. Q.E.D.

Since $u\tau \cdot p = i' i \cdot p = 0$, then by (9.3.7) we have $\tau \cdot p = w i' i \alpha_1$ (uo to nonzero scalar), this is because $\pi_{q-1}K \cong Z_p\{i' i(\alpha_1)\}$. Then, by $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+1}(H^*K, Z_p) = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.7.5(1)) and the Ext exact sequence induced by (9.3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9.7.8) \quad \tau_*(a_0 b_{n-1}) &= \tau_* p_*(b_{n-1}) = w_*(i' i)_*(\alpha_1)_*(b_{n-1}) \\ &= w_*(i' i)_*(h_0 b_{n-1}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(H^*X, Z_p). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 9.7.9 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$, then

- (1) $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K) = 0, Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, H^*X) = 0$.
- (2) $Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+1}(H^*K, H^*X) \cong Z_p\{u^*(h_n)'\}$.
- (3) $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q}(H^*X, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{w_*(i' i)_*(h_0 h_n)\}$.
- (4) $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+1}(H^*X, H^*K) = 0$.

Proof: (1) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\xrightarrow{\alpha^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q+2q}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$$

$$\xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*M)$$

induced by (9.1.2). The right group is zero since $Ext_A^{1,p^n q-2}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$, $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$ which is obtained by $Ext_A^{1,t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $t \equiv -1, -2 \pmod{q}$ and $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q+t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $t = -1, 0, 1$. The left group also is zero since $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+2q}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$ which is obtained by the same reason as above. Then the middle group is zero.

The second result follows by the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K) &\xrightarrow{u^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, H^*X) \\ &\xrightarrow{w^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, H^*K) \xrightarrow{(\alpha'')^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.3.7), where the right group has unique generator $(h_n)'$ which satisfies $(\alpha'')^*(h_n)' = (h_0 h_n)'' \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$ (cf. (9.3.8)).

(2) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &\xrightarrow{\alpha^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q+2}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{(j')^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+1}(H^*K, H^*K) \\ &\xrightarrow{(i')^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+1}(H^*K, H^*M) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.1.2). The left group is zero since $Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+1}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+2}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$ which is obtained by $Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $t = 0, 1, 2$ and $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ ($t = 1, 2$). The right group also is zero since $Ext_A^{1,p^n q-2q}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+1}(H^*M, H^*M) = 0$. Then we have $Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+1}(H^*K, H^*K) = 0$.

The desired result can be obtained by the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &\xrightarrow{(\alpha'')^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, H^*K) \xrightarrow{u^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+1}(H^*K, H^*X) \\ &\xrightarrow{w^*} Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q+1}(H^*K, H^*K) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.3.7), where the left group has unique generator $(h_n)'$ (cf. Prop. 9.3.6).

(3) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_A^{1,p^n q+1}(H^*K, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{\alpha''} Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q}(H^*K, Z_p) \xrightarrow{w_*} \\ Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q}(H^*X, Z_p) &\xrightarrow{u_*} Ext_A^{2,p^n q+1}(H^*K, Z_p) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.3.7). The right group is zero by Prop. 9.7.5(1) and the left group has unique generator $(i'i)_*(h_0 h_n)$ since $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0 h_n\}$ and $Ext_A^{2,t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $t \equiv -1, -2 \pmod{q}$. In addition, $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+1}(H^*K, Z_p) = 0$, this is because $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+1}(H^*M, Z_p) = 0$ (cf. the proof of Prop. 9.7.5(1)) and $Ext_A^{1,p^n q-q}(H^*M, Z_p) = 0$ (cf Chapter 5), then w_* is monic so

that the result follows.

(4) Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 = Ext_A^{2,p^n q+1}(H^*K, H^*K) \xrightarrow{w_*} Ext_A^{2,p^n q+1}(H^*X, H^*K) \\ \xrightarrow{u_*} Ext_A^{2,p^n q-q+2}(H^*K, H^*K) = 0$$

induced by (9.3.7). The left group is zero as pointed out in the proof of Prop. 9.7.7(2). The right group also is zero since $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+3}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{2,p^n q-q+2}(H^*K, H^*M) = 0$ which is obtained by $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $t = 2, 3$ and $Ext_A^{2,p^n q-rq+t}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $r = 1, 2$, $t = 1, 2, 3$. Then the middle group is zero as desired. Q.E.D.

Now we proceed to prove the main Theorem 9.7.4 in this section. The proof will be done by some argument processing in the Adams resolution (9.2.9). We first prove the following Proposition and Lemmas.

Proposition 9.7.10 Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 2$, $(h_0 h_n)'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} K, KG_2 \wedge K]$ be d_1 -cycle which represents the element $(h_0 h_n)'' = (\alpha'')^*(h_n)'$ $\in Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$ (cf. (9.3.8)), then there exist $\eta''_{n,2} \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} K, E_2 \wedge K]$ and $(\eta''_{n,2})_Y \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} Y, E_2 \wedge K]$ such that $(\bar{b}_2 \wedge 1_K) \eta''_{n,2} = (\bar{b}_2 \wedge 1_K)(\eta''_{n,2})_Y \cdot r = (h_0 h_n)'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} K, KG_2 \wedge K]$ where $r : K \rightarrow Y$ is the map in (9.1.4).

Proof : Applying Theorem 9.3.9 to $(\sigma, s, tq) = (h_n, 1, p^n q)$, or applying the main Theorem B' and its proof to $(\sigma, \sigma') = (h_n, b_{n-1})$, $(s, tq) = (1, p^n q)$, we have $(\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_K)(h_0 h_n)'' = 0$. Then there exists $\eta''_{n,2} \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} K, KG_2 \wedge K]$ such that $(\bar{b}_2 \wedge 1_K) \eta''_{n,2} = (h_0 h_n)'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} K, KG_2 \wedge K]$. For the second result, note that $(h_0 h_n)'' i' i \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} S, KG_2 \wedge K] = 0$, this is because $\pi_{p^n q+q-tq-u} KG_2 \cong Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-tq-u}(Z_p, Z_p) = 0$ for $t = 0, 1, u = 1, 2, 3$. Then there is $(h_0 h_n)''_Y \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} Y, KG_2 \wedge K]$ such that $(h_0 h_n)'' = (h_0 h_n)''_Y \cdot r$, where $r : K \rightarrow Y$ is the map in (9.1.4). Then by Theorem 9.3.9 we have $(\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_K)(h_0 h_n)''_Y \cdot r = 0$ and so, by the cofibration (9.1.4), $(\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_K)(h_0 h_n)''_Y = f'_0 \epsilon = (1_{E_2} \wedge \epsilon \wedge 1_K)(1_Y \wedge f'_0) = 0$, for some $f'_0 \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q} S, E_3 \wedge K]$, where we use $\epsilon \wedge 1_K = \mu(i' i \wedge 1_K)(\epsilon \wedge 1_K) = 0$ which is obtained by (9.1.26) and the cofibration (9.1.4). Hence, there is $(\eta''_{n,2})_Y \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} Y, E_2 \wedge K]$ such that $(\bar{b}_2 \wedge 1_K)(\eta''_{n,2})_Y = (h_0 h_n)''_Y \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1} Y, KG_2 \wedge K]$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 9.7.11 Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 2$ and $(\eta''_n)_Y = (\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \wedge 1_K)(\eta''_{n,2})_Y \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-3} Y, K]$ be the map obtained in Prop. 9.7.10, then $w(\eta''_n)_Y \cdot r =$

$\lambda'w(\zeta_{n-1} \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{a}_0\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1''$ for some $f_1'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q+1}K, E_4 \wedge X]$ and nonzero $\lambda' \in Z_p$, where $\zeta_{n-1} \in \pi_{p^n q+q-3}S$ is the element obtained in Theorem 9.5.1 which is represented by $h_0b_{n-1} \in Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ in the ASS.

Proof : By Prop. 9.7.10 and (9.3.8)(9.3.7), $(\bar{b}_2 \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_2} \wedge w)(\eta_{n,2}'')_Y \cdot r = (1_{KG_2} \wedge w)(h_0h_n)'' = (\bar{b}_2\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_X)g''$ with $g'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1}K, KG_1 \wedge X]$, then
(9.7.12) $(1_{E_2} \wedge w)(\eta_{n,2}'')_Y \cdot r = (\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_X)g'' + (\bar{a}_2 \wedge 1_X)f_0''$
for some $f_0'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q}K, E_3 \wedge X]$. The d_1 -cycle $(\bar{b}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_0'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q}K, KG_3 \wedge X]$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(H^*X, H^*K)$ and this group has unique generator $w_*[h_0b_{n-1} \wedge 1_K]$ (cf. Prop. 9.7.7(2)), then

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{b}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_0'' &= \lambda'(1_{KG_3} \wedge w)(h_0b_{n-1} \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{b}_3\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_X)\tilde{g}_0 \\ &= \lambda'(\bar{b}_3 \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_3} \wedge w)(\zeta_{n-1,3} \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{b}_3\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_X)\tilde{g}_0 \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda' \in Z_p$ and $\tilde{g}_0 \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q}K, KG_2 \wedge X]$, where we use $(\bar{b}_3 \wedge 1_K)(\zeta_{n-1,3} \wedge 1_K) = h_0b_{n-1} \wedge 1_K$ (cf. Theorem 9.5.1). Then $f_0'' = \lambda'(1_{E_3} \wedge w)(\zeta_{n-1,3} \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_X)\tilde{g}_0 + (\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1''$ for some $f_1'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q+1}K, E_4 \wedge X]$ and so we have $(\bar{a}_2 \wedge 1_X)f_0'' = \lambda'(\bar{a}_2 \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_3} \wedge w)(\zeta_{n-1,3} \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1''$ and (9.7.12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(9.7.13)} \quad (1_{E_2} \wedge w)(\eta_{n,2}'')_Y \cdot r &= (\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_X)g'' \\ &+ \lambda'(\bar{a}_2 \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_3} \wedge w)(\zeta_{n-1,3} \wedge 1_K) + (\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1'' \end{aligned}$$

with $g'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1}K, KG_1 \wedge X]$, $f_1'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q+1}K, E_4 \wedge X]$ and $\lambda' \in Z_p$.

To prove the Lemma, it suffices to prove the scalar λ' in (9.7.13) is nonzero. Suppose in contrast that $\lambda' = 0$, then by (9.7.13)(9.1.4) we have

$$\text{(9.7.14)} \quad (\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1''i'i = -(\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_X)g''i'i$$

This will yield a contradiction as shown below.

Note that the d_1 -cycle $g''i'i \in \pi_{p^n q+q-1}KG_1 \wedge X$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{1,p^n q+q-1}(H^*X, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\tau_*(h_n)\}$ (cf. Prop. 9.7.7(3)). Then $g''i'i = \lambda_0(1_{KG_1} \wedge \tau)(h_n)$, where $h_n \in \pi_{p^n q}KG_1 \cong Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ and $\lambda_0 \in Z_p$. Consequently, (9.7.14) becomes

$$\text{(9.7.15)} \quad (\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1''i'i = -\lambda_0(\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_X)(1_{KG_1} \wedge \tau)(h_n)$$

The equation (9.7.15) means the secondary differential $-\lambda_0d_2(\tau_*(h_n)) = 0$. However, by [12] p.11 Theorem 1.2.14, $d_2(h_n) = a_0b_{n-1} \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3,p^n q+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$, where $d_2 : Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(Z_p, Z_p) \rightarrow Ext_A^{3,p^n q+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is the secondary differential in the ASS. This implies that $d_2(\tau_*(h_n)) = \tau_*d_2(h_n) = \tau_*(a_0b_{n-1}) = w_*(i'i)_*(h_0b_{n-1}) \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3,p^n q+q}(H^*X, Z_p)$ (cf. (9.7.8)).

This shows that $\lambda_0 = 0$ and so by (9.7.15) we have $(\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1''i'i = 0$.

It follows that $(\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1''i'i = (\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_X)g_2'' = 0$, where the d_1 -cycle $g_2'' \in \pi_{p^n q+q}KG_2 \wedge X$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q}(H^*X, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{w_*(i'i)_*(h_0h_n)\}$ (cf. Prop. 9.7.9(3)) and the generator of this group is a permanent cycle in the ASS (cf. Theorem 9.5.1) so that we have $(\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_X)g_2'' = 0$. Then $f_1''i'i = (\bar{c}_3 \wedge 1_X)g_3'' = (\bar{c}_3 \wedge 1_X)g_4''i'i$ for some $g_3'' \in \pi_{p^n q+q+1}KG_3 \wedge X$ and $g_4'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q+1}K, KG_3 \wedge X]$, this is because $g_3'' \cdot \epsilon = 0$ which is obtained by the fact that $\epsilon : Y \rightarrow \Sigma S$ induces zero homomorphism in Z_p -cohomology. Consequently we have $f_1'' = (\bar{c}_3 \wedge 1_X)g_4'' + f_2''r$ with $f_2'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q+1}Y, E_4 \wedge X]$ and $(\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_1'' = (\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_2''r$. Hence, if $\lambda' = 0$, (9.7.13) becomes

$$(1_{E_2} \wedge w)(\eta_{n,2}'')_Y \cdot r = (\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_2''r + (\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_X)g_5''r$$

where $g_5'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-1}Y, KG_2 \wedge X]$ such that $g_5''r = g''$. Moreover, by the above equation we have

$$(9.7.16) \quad (1_{E_2} \wedge w)(\eta_{n,2}'')_Y = (\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)f_2'' + (\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_X)g_5'' + f_3''\epsilon$$

with $f_3'' \in \pi_{p^n q+q}E_2 \wedge X$. Since $\epsilon : Y \rightarrow \Sigma S$ induces zero homomorphism in Z_p -cohomology, then the right hand side of (9.7.16) has filtration ≥ 3 . However, $(\eta_n'')_Y = (\bar{a}_0\bar{a}_1 \wedge 1_K)(\eta_{n,2}'')_Y$ has filtration 2, this is because it is represented by $(h_0h_n)''_Y \in Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*Y)$ in the ASS. Moreover, by the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, H^*Y) &\xrightarrow{\alpha''} Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*Y) \\ &\xrightarrow{w_*} Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(H^*X, H^*Y) \xrightarrow{(\alpha'')^*} \end{aligned}$$

induced by (9.3.7) we know that $w_*(h_0h_n)''_Y \neq 0$, where the left group is zero by Prop. 9.7.5(3). That is to say, $(1_{E_2} \wedge w)(\eta_n'')_Y$ has filtration 2 which is represented by $w_*(h_0h_n)''_Y$ in the ASS. This shows that the equation (9.7.16) is a contradiction so that the scalar λ' must be nonzero. Q.E.D.

Lemma 9.7.17 Let $w : K \rightarrow X$ be the map in the cofibration (9.3.7) and W is the cofibre of $w i' i : S \rightarrow X$ given by the cofibration $S \xrightarrow{w i' i} X \xrightarrow{w_1} W \xrightarrow{u_1} \Sigma S$, then

- (1) $Ext_A^{s-1,p^n q+q+s-3}(H^*W, Z_p) = 0$ for $s = 1, 3$ and has unique generator $(w_1)_*\tau_*(h_n) = (\tau)^*(w_1)_*[h_n \wedge 1_X]$ for $s = 2$.
- (2) $Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*W, H^*X) \cong Z_p\{(w_1)_*(h_n)'_X = (w_1)_*[h_n \wedge 1_X]\}$.
- (3) $(w_1)_*[a_0b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3,p^n q+1}(H^*W, H^*X)$.

Proof : (1) Note that W also is the cofibre of $r\alpha'' : \Sigma^{q-2}K \rightarrow Y$, this can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
S & \xrightarrow{wi'i} & X & \xrightarrow{u} & \Sigma^{q-1}K \\
\searrow i'i & & \nearrow w & \searrow w_1 & \nearrow u_2 \\
& K & & W & \\
\nearrow \alpha'' & \searrow r & \nearrow w_2 & \searrow u_1 & \\
\Sigma^{q-2}K & \xrightarrow{r\alpha''} & Y & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \Sigma S
\end{array}$$

That is , we have a cofibration

$\Sigma^{q-2}K \xrightarrow{r\alpha''} Y \xrightarrow{w_2} W \xrightarrow{u_2} \Sigma^{q-1}K$ and it induces the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
& Ext_A^{s-1, p^n q + q + s - 3}(H^*Y, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(w_2)_*} Ext_A^{s-1, p^n q + q + s - 3}(H^*W, Z_p) \\
& \xrightarrow{(u_2)_*} Ext_A^{s-1, p^n q + s - 2}(H^*K, Z_p) \xrightarrow{(r\alpha'')_*}
\end{aligned}$$

The left group is zero for $s = 1, 2, 3$ (cf. Prop. 9.7.5(2)). The right group also is zero for $s = 1, 3$ (cf. Prop. 9.7.5(1)) and has unique generator $(i'i)_*(h_n) = u_*\tau_*(h_n) = (u_2)_*(w_1)_*(\tau)_*(h_n)$ for $s = 2$. Then the result follows.

(2) Consider the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
& Ext_A^{1, p^n q}(H^*X, H^*X) \xrightarrow{(w_1)_*} Ext_A^{1, p^n q}(H^*W, H^*X) \\
& \xrightarrow{(u_1)_*} Ext_A^{2, p^n q}(Z_p, H^*X) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

The right group is zero by Prop. 9.7.7(1) and by Prop. 9.7.9(2)(1) we know that the left group has unique generator $(h_n)'_X = [h_n \wedge 1_X]$ which satisfies $u_*(h_n)'_X = u^*(h_n)' \in Ext_A^{1, p^n q - q + 1}(H^*K, H^*X)$. Then the middle group has unique generator $(w_1)_*(h_n)'_X$.

(3) Since $\alpha'' : \Sigma^{q-2}K \rightarrow K$ is not an M -module map, then , as the cofibre of α'' , the spectrum X is not an M -modul spectrum, that is, the map $p \wedge 1_X \neq 0 \in [X, X]$. So $[a_0 \wedge 1_X] = (p \wedge 1_X)_*[\tau \wedge 1_X] \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{1, 1}(H^*X, X^*X)$ (where τ is the unit in $\pi_0 KG_0$), and so $[a_0 b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] = (p \wedge 1_X)_*[b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] = [a_0 \wedge 1_X][b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3, p^n q + 1}(H^*X, X^*X)$ which can be obtained by knowledge of Yoneda products and $a_0 b_{n-1} \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3, p^n q + 1}(Z_p, Z_p)$. Note to the following exact sequence

$$0 = Ext_A^{3, p^n q + 1}(Z_p, H^*X) \xrightarrow{(wi'i)_*} Ext_A^{3, p^n q + 1}(H^*X, H^*X)$$

$$\xrightarrow{(w_1)_*} Ext_A^{3,p^ng+1}(H^*W, H^*X)$$

where the left group is zero by Prop. 9.7.7(1), then $(w_1)_*$ is monic and so the result follows. Q.E.D.

Remark The result on $[a_0b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] \neq 0$ in Lemma 9.7.17(3) also can be proved by some computation in Ext groups as follows. Suppose in contrast that $(p \wedge 1_X)_*[b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] = [a_0b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] = 0$, then by (9.1.1), $[b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] = (j \wedge 1_X)_*(x_1)$ with $x_1 \in Ext_A^{2,p^ng+1}(H^*M \wedge X, H^*X)$. Recall that X is the spectrum in (9.3.7), then we have $w^*(1_M \wedge u)_*(x_1) \in Ext_A^{2,p^ng-q+1}(H^*M \wedge K, H^*K) = 0$ which can be obtained by $Ext_A^{2,p^ng-q+1}(H^*M \wedge K, H^*M) = 0$ and $Ext_A^{2,p^ng+2}(H^*M \wedge K, H^*M) = 0$. By the Ext exact sequence induced by (9.3.7) we have $(1_M \wedge u)_*(x_1) \in u^*Ext_A^{2,p^ng+1}(H^*M \wedge K, H^*K)$. However, this group has unique generator $(\overline{m}_K)_*(b_{n-1})'$, then $(1_M \wedge u)_*(x_1) = \lambda u^*(\overline{m}_K)_*(b_{n-1})'$ for some $\lambda \in Z_p$. By applying $(1_M \wedge \alpha'')_*$ we have $\lambda u^*(1_M \wedge \alpha'')_*(\overline{m}_K)_*(b_{n-1})' = 0$ and so $\lambda(1_M \wedge \alpha'')_*(\overline{m}_K)_*(b_{n-1})' \in (\alpha'')^*Ext_A^{2,p^ng+1}(H^*M \wedge K, H^*K)$. Then $\lambda(\alpha_1 \wedge 1_K)_*(b_{n-1})' = \lambda(m_K)_*(1_M \wedge \alpha'')_*(\overline{m}_K)_*(b_{n-1})' = 0$ which shows that $\lambda = 0$. So $x_1 = (1_M \wedge w)_*(x_2)$ for some $x_2 \in Ext_A^{2,p^ng+1}(H^*M \wedge K, H^*X)$. Similarly we can prove that $w^*(x_2) = 0$. Then $x_1 \in u^*(1_M \wedge w)_*Ext_A^{2,p^ng+q}(H^*M \wedge K, H^*K)$. However, $Ext_A^{2,p^ng+q}(H^*M \wedge K, H^*K)$ has two generators $(i \wedge 1_K)_*(h_0h_n)'$, $(\overline{m}_K)_*(h_0h_n)''$ and $u^*(h_0h_n)'' = u^*(\alpha'')^*(h_n)' = 0$, then we have $x_1 = u^*(1_M \wedge w)_*(i \wedge 1_K)_*(h_0h_n)'$ (up to scalar) and so $[b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] = (j \wedge 1_X)_*(x_1) = 0$. This is a contradiction and shows that $[a_0b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3,p^ng+1}(H^*X, X^*X)$.

Proof of Theorem 9.7.4 : The result for $n = 0, 1$ is wellknown, then we assume that $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 9.7.11 and (9.1.4) we have

$$(9.7.18) \quad \lambda' w i' i \zeta_{n-1} = \lambda' w (\zeta_{n-1} \wedge 1_K) i' i = -(\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X) f_1'' i' i.$$

Moreover, by the cofibration in Lemma 9.7.17 we have $(\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W)(1_{E_4} \wedge w_1) f_1'' i' i = 0$ and so $(\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W)(1_{E_4} \wedge w_1) f_1'' u = k \tau'$ for some $k \in [\Sigma^{p^ng-2} X', W]$, where $i' i = u \tau : \Sigma^{q-1} S \xrightarrow{\tau} X \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma^{q-1} K$ which is obtained by $\alpha'' i' i = 0$ and (9.3.7) and $\tau' : X \rightarrow X'$ is the map in the following cofibration

$$(9.7.19) \quad \Sigma^{q-1} S \xrightarrow{\tau} X \xrightarrow{\tau'} X' \xrightarrow{\tau''} \Sigma^q S.$$

We claim that $k \in [\Sigma^{p^ng-2} X', W]$ has filtration ≥ 4 , this can be proved

as follows.

By Lemma 9.7.17(1) and (9.7.18) we have $(\tau'')^* Ext_A^{s-1, p^n q + q + s - 3}(H^* W, Z_p) = 0 \subset Ext_A^{s, p^n q + s - 2}(H^* W, H^* X')$ and so $(\tau')^* : Ext_A^{s, p^n q + s - 2}(H^* W, H^* X') \rightarrow Ext_A^{s, p^n q + s - 2}(H^* W, H^* X)$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$ is monic. Then, the fact that $k\tau'$ has filtration ≥ 4 implies that $k \in [\Sigma^{p^n q - 2} X', W]$ also has filtration ≥ 4 . This shows the above claim and so $k = (\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W) k_3$ for some $k_3 \in [\Sigma^{p^n q + 2} X', E_4 \wedge W]$ and $(\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W)(1_{E_4} \wedge w_1) f_1'' u = (\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W) k_3 \tau'$. It follows that

$$(9.7.20) \quad (\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W)(1_{E_4} \wedge w_1) f_1'' u = (\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W) k_3 \tau' + (\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_W) \bar{g} \\ = (\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W) k_3 \tau' + \lambda_1 (\bar{c}_1 \wedge 1_W)(1_{KG_1} \wedge w_1)(h_n \wedge 1_X)$$

where the d_1 -cycle $\bar{g} = \lambda_1 (1_{KG_1} \wedge w_1)(h_n \wedge 1_X) \in [\Sigma^{p^n q} X, KG_1 \wedge W]$ with $\lambda_1 \in Z_p$ which is obtained by Lemma 9.7.17(2).

The equation (9.7.20) means that the differential $d_2(\lambda_1(w_1)_*[h_n \wedge 1_X]) = 0$. However, $d_2((w_1)_*[h_n \wedge 1_X]) = (w_1)_*[a_0 b_{n-1} \wedge 1_X] \neq 0$ (cf. Lemma 9.7.17(3)). Then the scalar $\lambda_1 = 0$ and we have $\bar{g} = 0$, $(\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W)(1_{E_4} \wedge w_1) f_1'' u = (\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_W) k_3 \tau'$ and $(\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_K)(1_{E_4} \wedge u) f_1'' i' i = (\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_K)(1_{E_4} \wedge u_2 w_1) f_1'' u \tau = 0$. Consequently we have $(\bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_K)(1_{E_4} \wedge u) f_1'' i' i = (\bar{c}_2 \wedge 1_K) \bar{g}_2 = 0$, this is because the d_1 -cycle $\bar{g}_2 \in \pi_{p^n q + 1} KG_2 \wedge K$ represents an element in $Ext_A^{2, p^n q + 1}(H^* K, Z_p) = 0$ (cf. 9.7.5(1)). Then, $(1_{E_4} \wedge u) f_1'' i' i = (\bar{c}_3 \wedge 1_K) \bar{g}_3$ for some $\bar{g}_3 \in [\Sigma^{p^n q + 2} S, KG_3 \wedge K]$. Since $(1_{KG_3} \wedge \alpha'') \bar{g}_3 = 0$, then $\bar{g}_3 = (1_{KG_3} \wedge u) \bar{g}_4$ for some $\bar{g}_4 \in [\Sigma^{p^n q + q + 1} S, KG_3 \wedge X]$ and so we have $(1_{E_4} \wedge u) f_1'' i' i = (\bar{c}_3 \wedge 1_K)(1_{KG_3} \wedge u) \bar{g}_4$, $f_1'' i' i = (\bar{c}_3 \wedge 1_X) \bar{g}_4 + (1_{E_4} \wedge w) \bar{f}_2$ with $\bar{f}_2 \in [\Sigma^{p^n q + q + 1} S, E_4 \wedge K]$.

Hence, by (9.7.18) we have $\lambda' w i' i \zeta_{n-1} = -(\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X) f_1'' i' i = -(\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_X)(1_{E_4} \wedge w) \bar{f}_2$ and by (9.3.7), $\lambda' i' i \zeta_{n-1} = -(\bar{a}_0 \bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \wedge 1_K) \bar{f}_2 + \alpha'' \omega_n$ with $\omega_n \in \pi_{p^n q - 1} K$. Since $\lambda' i' i \zeta_{n-1}$ is a map of filtration 3 which is represented by $\lambda'(i' i)_*(h_0 b_{n-1}) \in Ext_A^{3, p^n q + q}(H^* K, Z_p)$ in the ASS, then $\alpha'' \omega_n$ has filtration 3 and so $\omega_n \in \pi_{p^n q - 1} K$ has filtration ≤ 2 . However, by Prop. 9.7.5(1) we have $Ext_A^{2, p^n q + 1}(H^* K, Z_p) = 0$, then $\omega_n \in \pi_{p^n q - 1} K$ must be represented by the unique generator $(i' i)_*(h_n) \in Ext_A^{1, p^n q}(H^* K, Z_p)$ (up to nonzero scalar). This shows the Theorem. Q.E.D.

Remark The element $\omega_n \in \pi_{p^n q - 1} K$ obtained in Theorem 9.7.4 can be extended to $(\omega_n)' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q - 1} K, K]$ such that $(\omega_n)' i' i = \omega_n$. Then, $(\omega_n)'$

is represented by $(h_n)' \in Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, H^*K)$ in the ASS and $\alpha''(\omega_n)', (\omega_n)'\alpha'' \in [\Sigma^{p^n q+q-3}K, K]$ is represented by $\alpha''_*(h_n)' = (\alpha'')^*(h_n)' = (h_0 h_n)'' \in Ext_A^{2,p^n q+q-1}(H^*K, H^*K)$. By Theorem 9.7.4 and Lemma 9.7.11 we have $\alpha''(\omega_n)' = (\omega_n)'\alpha'' + \lambda'\zeta_{n-1} \wedge 1_K$ (modulo higher filtration).

By [10] p.511, there is a map $\phi_*\phi : BP_*BP \rightarrow A_*$ such that $t_n \mapsto$ the conjugate of ξ_n , where $A_* = E[\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots] \otimes P[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]$ is the dual of the Steenrod algebra A . Then $\phi_*\phi$ induces the Thom map $\Phi : Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K) \rightarrow Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, Z_p)$ such that the image of $h_n \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ is $\Phi(h_n) = (i'_i)_*(h_n) \in Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, Z_p)$. Then, the element $\omega_n \in \pi_{p^n q-1}K$ obtained in Theorem 9.7.4 is represented by h_n + other terms $\in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. To know what the elements in the other terms, we first prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 9.7.21 By degree reason, $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ is generated (additively) by the following v_2 -torsion elements $c_2(p^{n-2})$ and v_2 -torsion free elements $h_n, v_2^{p^{n-2}(p-1)}h_{n-2}, v_2^{a_i p^i}h_i$, where $i \geq 0, a_i = (p^{2k} - 1)/(p + 1)$, $n - i = 2k \geq 4$. In addition, there is a relation $h_n = c_2(p^{n-2}) + v_2^{p^{n-2}(p-1)}h_{n-2} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$.

Proof : By [19] Theorem 1.1 and 1.5, $Ext^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ is a $Z_p[v_2]$ -module which is generated by v_2 -torsion elements $c_2(ap^s)$ and v_2 -torsion free elements w_2, h_i , where $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $s \geq 0$ and $i \geq 0$. Moreover, the internal degree $|h_i| = p^i q$, $|c_2(ap^s)| = ap^s(p^2 + p + 1)q - q(ap^s)(p + 1)q$ and $|w_2| = (p + 1)^2 q$.

Since $|v_2^b w_2| \equiv 0 \pmod{(p + 1)q}$, then $|v_2^b w_2| \neq p^n q$. If $|v_2^b h_i| = p^n q$, then $b(p + 1)q + p^i q = p^n q$, $b(p + 1) = p^i(p^{n-i} - 1)$ and so $(p^{n-i} - 1)$ must be divisible by $p + 1$. Hence $b = 0$ and $i = n$ or $b = a_i p^i$ with $a_i = (p^{2k} - 1)/(p + 1)$ and $n - i = 2k \geq 2$. Then $h_n, v_2^{p^{n-2}(p-1)}h_{n-2}$ and $v_2^{a_i p^i}h_i (0 \leq i < n - 2)$ are the only torsion free elements of $Ext^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$.

If $|v_2^b c_2(ap^s)| = p^n q$, then $p^n q = ap^s(p^2 + p + 1)q - (q(ap^s) - b)(p + 1)q$, $ap^s(p^2 + p + 1) = p^n + (q(ap^s) - b)(p + 1)$ and so the right hand side must be divisible by $p^2 + p + 1$. So we have

$$(9.7.22) \quad ap^s = p^{n-2} + \frac{(q(ap^s) - b - p^{n-2})(p+1)}{p^2 + p + 1}.$$

We claim that $s \leq n - 2$ which will be proved below, then $q(ap^s) - b$ must be divisible by p^s . However, by [19] p.132, $q(ap^s) = p^s$ for $a = 1$

and $q(ap^s) = p^s + \text{other terms}$ for $a \geq 2$. Then, the only possibility is $q(ap^s) = p^s, a = 1, b = 0$ and $s = n - 2$. That is to say, the only v_2 -torsion elements in $Ext^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ is $c_2(p^{n-2})$.

Now we prove the above claim. Suppose in contrast that $s \geq n - 1$, then, by (9.7.22) we have $\frac{(q(ap^s) - b - p^{n-2})(p+1)}{p^2+p+1} = ap^s - p^{n-2} \geq p^s - p^{n-2}$,

$$2p^s > q(ap^s) - b - p^{n-2} \geq \frac{(p^s - p^{n-2})(p^2 + p + 1)}{p + 1} > p^{s+1} - p^{n-1}$$

and this is a contradiction which shows the above claim. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 9.7.1 For the Thom $\Phi : Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K) \rightarrow Ext_A^{1,p^n q}(H^*K, Z_p)$ we have $\Phi(h_n) = (i'i)_*(h_n)$. By this we know that the element $\omega_n \in \pi_{p^n q-1}K$ obtained in Theorem 9.7.4 is represented by $h_n + (\text{other terms}) \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. By Lemma 9.7.21, the other terms are the linear combination of $v_2^{p^{n-2}(p-1)}h_{n-2}$ and $v_2^{a_i p^i}h_i$, where $i \geq 0, n - i = 2k \geq 4$ and $a_i = (p^{2k} - 1)/(p + 1)$. Let $\beta \in [\Sigma^{(p+1)q}K, K]$ be the known v_2 -map, then $i'i\alpha_1 \in \pi_{q-1}K$ and $i'j'\beta i'i \in \pi_{pq-1}K$ is represented by $h_0, h_1 \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ respectively. That is, $h_0, h_1 \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ are permanent cycles in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. Suppose inductively that $h_i \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^i q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ for $i \leq n - 1 (n \geq 2)$ are permanent cycles in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. Since $\omega_n \in \pi_{p^n q-1}K, \omega_{n+1} \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q-1}K$ are represented by the linear combination of

$$h_n + v_2^{p^{n-2}(p-1)}h_{n-2} \text{ and } v_2^{a_i p^i}h_i \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^n q}(BP_*, BP_*K),$$

$$h_{n+1} + v_2^{p^{n-1}(p-1)}h_{n-1} \text{ and } v_2^{a_i p^i}h_i \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^{n+1} q}(BP_*, BP_*K)$$

then $h_n, h_{n+1} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*K)$ also are permanent cycles. This completes the induction and the result of the Theorem follows. Q.E.D.

Conjecture 9.7.22 Theorem 9.7.4 can be generalized to be the following general result. Let $p \geq 5, s \leq 4$, $Ext_A^{s,tq}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{x\}, Ext_A^{s+1,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{h_0x\}, Ext_A^{s+2,tq+2q+1}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{\tilde{\alpha}_2x\}$ and some supposition on vanishes of some Ext groups. If the secondary differential $d_2(x) = a_0x' \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ with $x' \in Ext_A^{s+1,tq}(Z_p, Z_p)$, that is, x and x' is a pair of a_0 -related elements, then there exists $\omega \in \pi_{tq-s}K$ such that $i'i\xi = \alpha'' \cdot \omega \pmod{F^{s+2}\pi_*K}$ and $\omega \in \pi_{tq-s}K$ is represented by $(i'i)_*(x) \in Ext_A^{s,tq}(H^*K, Z_p)$

in the ASS, where $\xi \in \pi_{tq+q-s-2}S$ is the homotopy element which is represented by $h_0x' \in Ext_A^{s+2,tq+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ in the ASS and $F^{s+2}\pi_*K$ denotes the group consisting of all elements in π_*K filtration $\geq s+2$.

§8. Second periodicity families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres

By Theorem 8.1.2 in chapter 8, $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$ is generated by $\alpha_{tp^n/n+1}$ ($n \geq 0, p$ not divisible by $t \geq 1$) and It was proved by Novikov that all these first periodicity families converge to the im $J \subset \pi_*S$. In this section, using the h_0h_{n+1} -element obtained in Theorem 9.5.1 and the elements $\beta_{p/r}, 1 \leq r \leq p-1$ and $\beta_{tp/r}, t \geq 2, 1 \leq r \leq p$ as our geometric input, we prove the following Theorem on the convergence of second periodicity families $\beta_{tp^n/r}$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence.

Theorem 9.8.1 Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 1, 1 \leq s \leq p^n - 1$ if $t \geq 1$ is not divisible by p or $1 \leq s \leq p^n$ if $t \geq 2$ is not divisible by p , then The elements

$$\beta_{tp^n/s} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,tp^n(p+1)q-sq}(BP_*, BP_*)$$

in Theorem 8.1.3 are permanent cycles in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and they converge to the corresponding homotopy elements of order p in $\pi_{tp^n(p+1)q-sq-2}S$.

We will prove Theorem 9.8.1 in case $t \geq 1$ or $t \geq 2$ separately. The proof will be done by some arguments processing in the cannical Adams-Novikov resolution. We first do some preminilaries as follows.

Let M be the Moore spectrum whose BP_* -homology are $BP_*(M) = BP_*/(p)$. Let $\alpha : \Sigma^q M \rightarrow M$ be the Adams map which induces BP_* -homomorphisms are $v_1 : BP_*/(p) \rightarrow BP_*/(p)$. Let K_r be the cofibre of $\alpha^r : \Sigma^{rq} M \rightarrow M$ given by the cofibration

$$(9.8.2) \quad \Sigma^{rq} M \xrightarrow{\alpha^r} M \xrightarrow{i'_r} K_r \xrightarrow{j'_r} \Sigma^{rq+1} M$$

The cofibration (9.8.2) induces a short exact sequence of BP_* -homology

$$0 \rightarrow BP_*/(p) \xrightarrow{v_1^r} BP_*/(p) \longrightarrow BP_*/(p, v_1^r) \rightarrow 0$$

Recall from §5 in chapter 6, K_r is a M -module spectrum and we have the following derivations

$$(9.8.3) \quad d(i'_r) = 0, \quad d(j'_r) = 0, \quad d(\alpha) = 0, \quad d(ij) = -1_M.$$

Moreover, the cofibre of $i'_s j'_r : K_r \rightarrow \Sigma^{rq+1} K_s$ is ΣK_{r+s} given by the cofibration

$$(9.8.4) \quad \Sigma^{rq} K_s \xrightarrow{\psi_{s,s+r}} K_{s+r} \xrightarrow{\rho_{s+r,r}} K_r \xrightarrow{i'_s j'_r} \Sigma^{rq+1} K_s$$

This can be seen by the following homotopy commutative diagram of 3×3 -Lemma

$$(9.8.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_r & \xrightarrow{i'_s j'_r} & \Sigma^{rq+1} K_s & \xrightarrow{j'_s} & \Sigma^{(r+s)q+2} M \\ & \searrow j'_r & \nearrow i'_s & \searrow \psi_{s,r+s} & \nearrow j'_{r+s} & \searrow \alpha^s \\ & \Sigma^{rq+1} M & & \Sigma K_{r+s} & & \Sigma^{rq+2} M \\ & \nearrow \alpha^s & \searrow \alpha^r & \nearrow i'_{r+s} & \searrow \rho_{r+s,r} & \nearrow j'_r \\ \Sigma^{(r+s)q+1} M & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{r+s}} & \Sigma M & \xrightarrow{i'_r} & \Sigma K_r \end{array}$$

Moreover, the cofibration (9.8.4) induces a short exact sequence of BP_* -homology

$$0 \rightarrow BP_*/(p, v_1^s) \xrightarrow{v_1^r} BP_*/(p, v_1^{s+r}) \rightarrow BP_*/(p, v_1^r) \rightarrow 0$$

and by the homotopy commutative diagram (9.8.5), we have the following relations

$$(9.8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{s,s+r} i'_s &= i'_{s+r} \alpha^r, & j'_r \rho_{s+r,r} &= \alpha^s j'_{s+r} \\ j'_{s+r} \psi_{s,s+r} &= j'_s, & \rho_{s+r,r} i'_{s+r} &= i'_r. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 9.8.7 Let $p \geq 5$ and $f \in [\Sigma^t K_r, S]$ be any map, then $f = j j'_r \bar{f}$ for some $\bar{f} \in [\Sigma^{t+rq+2} K_r, K_r]$.

Proof : By Theorem 6.5.16(A) in chapter 6, there is $\nu_r : \Sigma^{rq+2} K_r \rightarrow K_r \wedge K_r$ such that $(j j'_r \wedge 1_{K_r}) \nu_r = 1_{K_r}$. Let K'_r be the cofibre of $j j'_r : \Sigma^{-1} K_r \rightarrow \Sigma^{rq+1} S$ given by the cofibration $\Sigma^{-1} K_r \xrightarrow{j j'_r} \Sigma^{rq+1} S \xrightarrow{z_r} K'_r \rightarrow K_r$, then $z_r \wedge 1_{K_r} = (z_r j j'_r \wedge 1_{K_r}) \nu_r = 0 \in [\Sigma^{rq+1} K_r, K'_r \wedge K_r]$. Consequently, $z_r f = (1_{K'_r} \wedge f)(z_r \wedge 1_{K_r}) = 0$ and so $f = j j'_r \bar{f}$ for some $\bar{f} \in [\Sigma^{t+rq+1} K_r, K_r]$. Q.E.D.

Let

$$(9.8.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_2} & \Sigma^{-2} \tilde{E}_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_1} & \Sigma^{-1} \tilde{E}_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_0} & \tilde{E}_0 = S \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{b}_2 & & \downarrow \tilde{b}_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{b}_0 \\ & & \Sigma^{-2} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_2 & & \Sigma^{-1} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 & & BP \wedge \tilde{E}_0 = BP \end{array}$$

be the canonical Adams-Novikov resolution of the sphere spectrum S , where $\tilde{E}_s \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}_s} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}_s} \tilde{E}_{s+1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_s} \Sigma \tilde{E}_s$ are cofibrations for all $s \geq 0$

such that $\tilde{E}_0 = S, \tilde{b}_s = \tau \wedge 1_{\tilde{E}_s} (s > 0)$ and $\tilde{b}_0 = \tau : S \rightarrow BP$ is the injection of the bottom cell. Then $\pi_t BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s$ is the $E_1^{s,t}$ -term of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, $(\tilde{b}_{s+1}\tilde{c}_s)_* : \pi_t BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s \rightarrow \pi_t BP \wedge \tilde{E}_{s+1}$ are the $d_1^{s,t}$ -differential and

$$E_2^{s,t} = Ext_{BP_*BP}^{s,t}(BP_*, BP_*) \implies (\pi_{t-s}S)_p$$

Proposition 9.8.9 Let $p \geq 3, r \geq 1, s \geq 0$ and $\tilde{E}_s$ be the spectrum in the Adams-Novikov resolution (9.8.8), $\wedge^s BP = BP \wedge \cdots \wedge BP$ be the smash products of s copies of BP , then $(BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s)^*, (BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s)^*(M), (BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s)^*(K_r)$ are the direct summand of $(\wedge^{s+1} BP)^*, (\wedge^{s+1} BP)^*(M), (\wedge^{s+1} BP)^*(K_r)$ and we have $[\Sigma^t M, BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s] = (BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s)^{-t}(M) = 0$ for $t \not\equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, $[\Sigma^t K_r, BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s] = (BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s)^{-t}(K_r) = 0$ for $t \not\equiv -2 \pmod{q}$.

Proof : We first consider the BP^* -cohomology. It is known that $\pi_t BP = BP_t = BP^{-t}$, then $BP^* = Z_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$, where $|v_i| = -2(p^i - 1)$ and $I_n = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}), (p, v_1^r)$ is the invariant ideal of BP^* . Clearly, there are two exact sequences on BP^* -cohomology as follows

$$0 \rightarrow BP^* \xrightarrow{p} BP^* \xrightarrow{\rho_0} BP^*/(p) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-rq} BP^*/(p) \xrightarrow{v_1^r} BP^*/(p) \xrightarrow{\rho_1} BP^*/(p, v_1^r) \rightarrow 0$$

where ρ_0, ρ_1 are the projections.

Note that $(\wedge^s BP)^* = \pi_*(\wedge^s BP) = BP_*(\wedge^{s-1} BP) = BP_* BP \otimes \cdots \otimes BP_* BP$ with $s-1$ copies of $BP_* BP$ and $s \geq 2$. Then we have the following short exact sequences ($s \geq 1$)

$$(9.8.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\wedge^s BP)^* \xrightarrow{p} (\wedge^s BP)^* \rightarrow (\wedge^s BP)^*/(p) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-rq} (\wedge^s BP)^*/(p) \xrightarrow{v_1^r} (\wedge^s BP)^*/(p) \rightarrow (\wedge^s BP)^*/(p, v_1^r) \rightarrow 0$$

For any $f \in [\Sigma^t M, \wedge^s BP] = (\wedge^s BP)^{-t}(M)$, if $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, then by the sparseness of $(\wedge^s BP)^* = BP_* BP \otimes \cdots \otimes BP_* BP$ (that is, $BP_r BP = 0$ for $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$) we have $fi \in (\wedge^s BP)^{-t} = 0$; if $t \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, then fi is an element of order p in $Z_{(p)}$ -module $(\wedge^s BP)^{-t}$ so that we have $fi = 0$. This shows that $i^* = 0 : (\wedge^s BP)^*(M) \rightarrow (\wedge^s BP)^*$. Similarly we have $(i'_r)^* = 0 : (\wedge^s BP)^*(K_r) \rightarrow (\wedge^s BP)^*(M)$ ($r \geq 1$). Then, the cofibration (9.1.1) (9.8.2) induces respectively the following short exact sequences for

all $(r \geq 1)$

$$0 \rightarrow (\wedge^s BP)^* \xrightarrow{p} (\wedge^s BP)^* \xrightarrow{j^*} (\wedge^s BP)^*(M) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow (\wedge^s BP)^*(M) \xrightarrow{(\alpha^r)^*} (\wedge^s BP)^*(M) \xrightarrow{(j'_r)^*} (\wedge^s BP)^*(K_r) \rightarrow 0$$

where the degrees $|j^*| = -1$, $|(j'_r)^*| = -(rq + 1)$. By comparison to the above two short exact sequences with (9.8.10) we have

$$(9.8.11) \quad (\wedge^s BP)^*(M) \cong \Sigma(\wedge^s BP)^*/(p),$$

$$(\wedge^s BP)^*(K_r) \cong \Sigma^{rq+2}(\wedge^s BP)^*/(p, v_1^r).$$

Let $\mu : BP \wedge BP \rightarrow BP$ be the multiplication of the ring spectrum BP and $\tau : S \rightarrow BP$ be the injection of the bottom cell, then we have $\mu(1_{BP} \wedge \tau) = 1_{BP} = \mu(\tau \wedge 1_{BP})$ so that the cofibration $\tilde{E}_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{b}_{s-1}} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}_{s-1}} \tilde{E}_s \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_{s-1}} \Sigma \tilde{E}_{s-1}$ induces a split short exact sequence

$$BP \wedge \tilde{E}_{s-1} \xrightarrow{1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_{s-1}} BP \wedge BP \wedge \tilde{E}_{s-1} \xrightarrow{1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{c}_{s-1}} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s$$

this is because $(\mu \wedge 1_{\tilde{E}_{s-1}})(1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_{s-1}) = (\mu \wedge 1_{\tilde{E}_{s-1}})(1_{BP} \wedge \tau \wedge 1_{\tilde{E}_{s-1}}) = 1_{BP \wedge \tilde{E}_{s-1}}$. That is to say, $BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s$ is the direct summand of $BP \wedge BP \wedge \tilde{E}_{s-1}$ and by induction we have $BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s$ is the direct summand of $\wedge^{s+1} BP$. Hence, $(BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s)^*$, $(BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s)^*(M)$, $(BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s)^*(K_r)$ are the direct summand of $(\wedge^{s+1} BP)^*$, $(\wedge^{s+1} BP)^*(M)$, $(\wedge^{s+1} BP)^*(K_r)$ respectively and the last result can be obtained by (9.8.11). Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.8.12 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 1$, then

$$Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0, p^n(p+1)q}(BP_*, BP_*/(p, v_1^{p^n-1}))$$

is generated additively by the generators $v_2^{p^n}, v_1^{p^n-p^{n-2r}} \tilde{c}_1(t_r p^{n-2r})$ ($r \geq 1$), where $t_r = (p^{2r+1} + 1)/(p + 1)$ and $\tilde{c}_1(ap^s)$ is the generator in Theorem 8.1.7 in chapter 8 which has degree $sp^s(p + 1)q$.

Proof : By Theorem 8.1.7, the desired generators are of the form $v_1^b \tilde{c}_1(ap^s)$ with degrees $bq + ap^s(p + 1)q = p^n(p + 1)q$, $a \geq 1$ is not divisible by p , $0 \leq b < p^n - 1$ and $b \geq \max \{0, p^n - 1 - q_1(ap^s)\}$, where $q_1(ap^s) = p^s$ if $a = 1$, $q_1(ap^s) = p^s + p^{s-1} - 1$ if $a \geq 2$ is not divisible by p .

If $b = 0$, then the generator is $v_2^{p^n}$. Since $b < p^n - 1$, then $s < n$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$ and so $b \geq p^n - 1 - q_1(ap^s) \geq p^n - p^{n-1}$ if $b \geq 1$. Let $b = (p - 1)p^{n-1} + c_{n-2}p^{n-2} + \dots + c_s p^s$ be the p -adic expansion of b such that

$0 \leq c_i \leq p-1$. By $b \geq (p^n - 1) - (p^s + p^{s-1} - 1)$ or $b \geq (p^n - 1) - p^s$ we have $c_{n-2}p^{n-2} + \cdots + c_s p^s \geq p^{n-1} - p^s - p^{s-1}$ or $p^{n-1} - p^s - 1$. Consequently we have $c_{n-2} = \cdots = c_s = p-1$. On the other hand, b is divisible by $p+1$, then $(p-1) - c_{n-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1-s} c_s = 0$ so that $n-1-s$ must be odd. Let $n-1-s = 2r-1$, then we have $s = n-2r$, $b = p^n - p^{n-2r}$ as desired and $a = (p^{2r+1} + 1)/(p+1)$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.8.13 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 1$, then

- (1) $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,p^{n+1}q+q}(BP_*, BP_*)$ is generated additively by the generators $\beta_{p^n/p^{n-1}}, \beta_{t_r p^{n-2r}/p^{n-2r-1}}$ for all $r \geq 1$, where $t_r = (p^{2r+1} + 1)/(p+1)$.
- (2) $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^{n+1}q+q}(BP_*, BP_*M)$ is generated additively by the generators $\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}}, \beta'_{t_r p^{n-2r}/p^{n-2r-1}}$ for all $r \geq 1$, where $t_r = (p^{2r+1} + 1)/(p+1)$ and $\beta'_{t_r p^n/s}$ is the generator in $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*M)$ such that $j_*(\beta'_{t_r p^n/s}) = \beta_{t_r p^n/s} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$.

Proof : By Theorem 8.1.3 in chapter 8, $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$ is generated additively by the generators $\beta_{ap^s/b, c+1} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2, ap^s(p+1)q-bq}(BP_*, BP_*)$, where $s \geq 0, a \geq 1$ is not divisible by $p, b \geq 1, c \geq 0$ and subject to

- (i) $b \leq s$ if $a = 1$.
- (ii) $p^c \mid b \leq p^{s-c} + p^{s-c-1} - 1$
- (iii) $p^{s-c-1} + p^{s-c-2} - 1 < b$ if $p^{c+1} \mid b$,

and $\beta_{ap^s/b, 1} = \beta_{ap^s/b}$. Then, for $\beta_{ap^s/b, c+1} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,p^{n+1}q+q}(BP_*, BP_*)$ we have $ap^s(p+1)q - bq = p^{n+1}q + q = p^n(p+1)q - (p^n-1)q$ so that $ap^s(p+1)q + (p^n-1-b)q = p^n(p+1)q$. Similar to that in the proof of Prop. 9.8.12 we have $a = 1, s = n, b = p^n - 1$ or $a = (p^{2r+1} + 1)/(p+1), b = p^n - p^{n-2r}$ ($r \geq 1$) and consequently $c = 0$. This shows (1) and the proof of (2) is similar. Q.E.D.

After finishing the proof of the above Proposition, we proceed to prove Theorem 9.8.1 in case $t \geq 1$. The proof will be done by some argument processing in the Adams-Novikov resolution of some spectra and using the $h_0 h_{n+1}$ -map in Theorem 9.5.1 as our geometric input. We first prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 9.8.14 If g'' is the element in $\pi_{p^n(p+1)q}BP$ such that $\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{c}_0 g'' j$ $j'_{p^n-1} = 0 \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q-2} K_{p^n-1}, BP \wedge E_1]$, then there exists $\bar{g} = px_1 + v_1^{p^n-1} x_2 \in \pi_{p^n(p+1)q}BP$ such that $\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{c}_0 (g'' - \bar{g}) = 0 \in \pi_{p^n(p+1)q}BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1$,

where x_1, x_2 is some elements in π_*BP .

Proof : Let $\mu : BP \wedge BP \rightarrow BP$ be the multiplication of the ring spectrum BP , then $\mu(\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{BP}) = 1_{BP} = \mu(1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0)$, where $\tilde{b}_0 = \tau : S \rightarrow BP$ is the injection of the bottom cell as stated above. Then we have the following split cofibration

$$\begin{aligned} BP &\xrightarrow{1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0} BP \wedge BP \xrightarrow{1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{c}_0} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 \xrightarrow{1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{a}_0 = 0} \Sigma BP \\ BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 &\xrightarrow{1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{\tilde{E}_1}} BP \wedge BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 \xrightarrow{1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{c}_1} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_2 \xrightarrow{1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{a}_0 = 0} \Sigma BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 \end{aligned}$$

and there is $\mu' : BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 \rightarrow BP \wedge BP$ such that $(1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0)\mu + \mu'(1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{c}_0) = 1_{BP \wedge BP}$.

By $\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{c}_0 g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}} = 0$ we have $\tilde{c}_0 g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}} = \tilde{a}_1 g'$ with $g' \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q-1} K_{p^{n-1}}, \tilde{E}_2]$. Then $(1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{c}_0 g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}}) = (1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{a}_1)(1_{BP} \wedge g') = 0$ so that $1_{BP} \wedge g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}} = [(1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0)\mu + \mu'(1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{c}_0)](1_{BP} \wedge g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}}) = (1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0)\mu(1_{BP} \wedge g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}})$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9.8.15) \quad (\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{BP})g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}} &= (1_{BP} \wedge g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}})(\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{K_{p^{n-1}}}) \\ &= (1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0)\mu(1_{BP} \wedge g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}})(\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{K_{p^{n-1}}}) \\ &= (1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0)g'' j j'_{p^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{BP})_*, (1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0)_* : BP_* \rightarrow BP_*BP$ are the right and left unit $\eta_R, \eta_L : BP_* \rightarrow BP_*BP$ respectively, then by (9.8.15) we have $\eta_R(g'') = \eta_L(g'')$ mod $(p, v_1^{p^n-1})$. This means that $(p, v_1^{p^n-1}, g'')$ is a BP_* invariant ideal, or equivalently, $g'' \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0, p^n(p+1)q}(BP_*, BP_*/(p, v_1^{p^n-1}))$. Then by Prop. 9.8.12 we have

$$(9.8.16) \quad g'' = \lambda v_2^{p^n} + \Sigma \lambda_r v_1^{p^n - p^{n-2r}} \tilde{c}_1(t_r p^{n-2r}) + p x_1 + v_1^{p^n-1} x_2 \in BP_*$$

where $1 \leq \lambda, \lambda_r \leq p-1, t_r = (p^{2r+1}+1)/(p+1)$ and x_1, x_2 are some elements in BP_* .

Let $\bar{g} = p x_1 + v_1^{p^n-1} x_2$, then $(\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{BP})(g'' - \bar{g}) = \eta_R(g'' - \bar{g}) = \eta_L(g'' - \bar{g}) = (1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{b}_0)(g'' - \bar{g})$ so that $\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{c}_0(g'' - \bar{g}) = (1_{BP} \wedge \tilde{c}_0)(\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{BP})(g'' - \bar{g}) = 0$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 9.8.1 in case $t \geq 1$ By Theorem 9.5.1, there is $\tilde{\eta}_{n+1} \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q-1}M$ such that $\eta_{n+1} = j\tilde{\eta}_{n+1} \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q-2}S$ is represented in the ASS by $h_0 h_{n+1} \in Ext_A^{2, p^{n+1}q+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$. By Theorem 8.1.5 in chapter 8, $\Phi(\beta_{p^n/p^{n-1}}) = h_0 h_{n+1}$, where $\Phi : Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,*}(BP_*, BP_*) \rightarrow Ext_A^{2,*}(Z_p, Z_p)$ is the Thom map. Then $\eta_{n+1} = j\tilde{\eta}_{n+1}$ is represented by $\beta_{p^n/p^{n-1}} + x \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2, p^{n+1}q+q}(BP_*, BP_*)$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, where $x =$

$\Sigma_{r \geq 1} \lambda_r \beta_{t_r p^{n-2r}/p^{n-2r-1}}$ with $\lambda_r \in Z_{(p)}$ (cf. Prop. 9.8.13). Moreover, $\tilde{\eta}_{n+1} \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q-1}M$ is represented by $\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}} + x' + i_*(y)$ in the Adams spectral sequence, where $y \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$, and $x' = \Sigma_{r \geq 1} \lambda_r \beta'_{t_r p^{n-2r}/p^{n-2r-1}}$, $\beta'_{t_r p^n/s}$ are the elements in $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*(M))$ such that $j_* \beta'_{t_r p^n/s} = \beta_{t_r p^n/s} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$. It is known that all the generators in $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$ are permanent cycles in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, then there exists $\tilde{f} \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q-1}M$ such that it is represented by $\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}} + x'$. In addition, $\tilde{f}$ can be extended by $f \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q-1}M, M] \cap \ker d$ such that $\tilde{f} = fi$. Recall from (9.8.8)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_2 \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma^{-2} \tilde{E}_2 \wedge M & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_1 \wedge 1_M} & \Sigma^{-1} \tilde{E}_1 \wedge M & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_M} & \tilde{E}_0 \wedge M = M \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{b}_2 \wedge 1_M & & \downarrow \tilde{b}_1 \wedge 1_M & & \downarrow \tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_M \\ & & \Sigma^{-2} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_2 \wedge M & & \Sigma^{-1} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 \wedge M & & BP \wedge M \end{array}$$

is the Adams-Novikov resolution of the Moore spectrum M . Then fi can be lifted to $f_1 i \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q}(\tilde{E}_1 \wedge M)$ with $f_1 \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q}M, E_1 \wedge M] \cap \ker d$ such that $\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_M f_1 i = fi$ and the d_1 -cycle $(\tilde{b}_1 \wedge 1_M) f_1 i \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 \wedge M$ represents $\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}} + x' \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^{n+1}q+q}(BP_*, BP_*(M))$. By applying d to the equation $(\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_M) f_1 i j = fi j$ we have $(\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_M) f_1 = f$.

Since $(\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_M) f_1 i = fi \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q-1}M$ is represented by $\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}} + x' \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,p^{n+1}q+q}(BP_*, BP_*(M))$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and $v_1^{p^n-1}(\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}} + x') = 0$, then $(\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_M) f_1 \alpha^{p^n-1} i = f \alpha^{p^n-1} i = \alpha^{p^n-1} fi$ has BP -filtration > 1 so that $(\tilde{b}_1 \wedge 1_M) f_1 \alpha^{p^n-1} i$ is a d_1 -boundary and it equals to $(\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{c}_0 \wedge 1_M) gi$ for some $g \in [\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q}M, BP \wedge M]$. Hence, $(\tilde{b}_1 \wedge 1_M) f_1 \alpha^{p^n-1} = (\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{c}_0 \wedge 1_M) g$, this is because $\pi_{p^n(p+1)q+1} BP \wedge \tilde{E}_1 \wedge M = 0$ which is obtained by the sparseness of $BP_*(\tilde{E}_1 \wedge M)$. Consequently we have

$$f_1 \alpha^{p^n-1} = (\tilde{c}_0 \wedge 1_M) g + (\tilde{a}_1 \wedge 1_M) f_2 \quad \text{with } f_2 \in [\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q+1}M, \tilde{E}_2 \wedge M]$$

and

$$(1_{\tilde{E}_1} \wedge j) f_1 \alpha^{p^n-1} = \tilde{c}_0 g'' j + \tilde{a}_1 (1_{\tilde{E}_2} \wedge j) f_2 \quad \text{with } g'' \in \pi_{p^n(p+1)q} BP,$$

where $g'' j = (1_{BP} \wedge j) g$, this is because $(1_{BP} \wedge j) gi \in \pi_{p^n(p+1)q-1} BP = 0$. In addition, by $\tilde{b}_2 (1_{\tilde{E}_2} \wedge j) f_2 \in [\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q}M, BP \wedge \tilde{E}_2] = 0$ and $[\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q+1}M, BP \wedge \tilde{E}_3] = 0$ (cf. Prop. 9.8.9), then $(1_{\tilde{E}_2} \wedge j) f_2 = \tilde{a}_2 \tilde{a}_3 f_3$ for some $f_3 \in [\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q+2}M, E_4]$ and we have

$$(9.8.17) \quad (1_{E_1} \wedge j) f_1 \alpha^{p^n-1} = \tilde{c}_0 g'' j + \tilde{a}_1 \tilde{a}_2 \tilde{a}_3 f_3.$$

By (9.8.17) we have $\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{c}_0 g'' j j'_{p^n-1} = 0$, then by Lemma 9.8.14, there is

$\bar{g} = px_1 + v_1^{p^n-1}x_2 \in \pi_{p^n(p+1)q}BP$ with $x_1, x_2 \in \pi_*BP$ such that $\tilde{b}_1\tilde{c}_0(g'' - \bar{g}) = 0$. Consequently, $\tilde{c}_0(g'' - \bar{g}) = \tilde{a}_1f_4$ for some $f_4 \in \pi_{p^n(p+1)q+1}\tilde{E}_2$ and $f_4 = \tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_5$ with $f_5 \in \pi_{p^n(p+1)q+3}\tilde{E}_4$ which is obtained by the sparseness of $\pi_*BP \wedge \tilde{E}_s$. So, (9.8.17) becomes

$$(9.8.18) \quad (1_{\tilde{E}_1} \wedge j)f_1\alpha^{p^n-1} = \tilde{c}_0\bar{g}j + \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_5j + \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_3.$$

Note that $\bar{g} = px_1 + v_1^{p^n-1}x_2$, then $\bar{g}jj'_{p^n-1} = 0 \in BP^*(K_{p^n-1}) \cong \Sigma^{-(p^n-1)q-2}BP^*/(p, v_1^{p^n-1})$ so that $\bar{g}j = \tilde{g}\alpha^{p^n-1}$ for some $\tilde{g} \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q-1}M, BP]$. Consequently, by (9.8.4), the equation (9.8.18) becomes

$$(9.8.19) \quad \begin{aligned} (1_{\tilde{E}_1} \wedge j)f_1j'_1\rho_{p^n,1} &= (1_{\tilde{E}_1} \wedge j)f_1\alpha^{p^n-1}j'_{p^n} \\ &= \tilde{c}_0\tilde{g}\alpha^{p^n-1}j'_{p^n} + \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3(f_5j + f_3)j'_{p^n} \\ &= \tilde{c}_0\tilde{g}j'_1\rho_{p^n,1} + \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3(f_5j + f_3)j'_{p^n} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (9.8.4)(9.8.6) we have $\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3(f_5j + f_3)j'_{p^n-1} = \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3(f_5j + f_3)j'_{p^n}\psi_{p^{n-1},p^n} = 0$ and so $(f_5j + f_3)j'_{p^n-1} = 0$, this is because $[\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q+r}K_{p^n-1}, BP \wedge \tilde{E}_{2+r}] = 0$ for $r = -1, 0, 1$ (cf. Prop. 9.8.9). This shows that $(f_5j + f_3) = f_6\alpha^{p^n-1}$ with $f_6 \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q+2}M, \tilde{E}_4]$. Hence, the equation (9.8.19) becomes

$$(9.8.20) \quad \begin{aligned} (1_{\tilde{E}_1} \wedge j)f_1j'_1\rho_{p^n,1} &= \tilde{c}_0\tilde{g}j'_1\rho_{p^n,1} + \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_6\alpha^{p^n-1}j'_{p^n} \\ &= \tilde{c}_0\tilde{g}j'_1\rho_{p^n,1} + \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_6j'_1\rho_{p^n,1} \end{aligned}$$

and by (9.8.6) we have

$$(9.8.21) \quad (1_{\tilde{E}_1} \wedge j)f_1j'_1 = \tilde{c}_0\tilde{g}j'_1 + \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_6j'_1 + \epsilon i'_{p^n-1}j'_1$$

for some $\epsilon \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q-1}K_{p^n-1}, \tilde{E}_1]$. By composing $\tilde{a}_0$ to (9.8.21) we have $jfj'_1 = \tilde{a}_0\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_6j'_1 + \tilde{a}_0\epsilon i'_{p^n-1}j'_1 = \tilde{a}_0\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_6j'_1 + jj'_{p^n-1}\bar{\epsilon}i'_{p^n-1}j'_1$, this is because $\tilde{a}_0\epsilon = jj'_{p^n-1}\bar{\epsilon}$ for some $\bar{\epsilon} \in [\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q}K_{p^n-1}, K_{p^n-1}]$ (cf. Prop. 9.8.2). Consequently we have

$$(9.8.22) \quad jfi = \tilde{a}_0\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3f_6i + jj'_{p^n-1}\bar{\epsilon}i'_{p^n-1}i + f_7\alpha i$$

with $f_7 \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q-2}M, S]$.

We claim that the map $f_7\alpha i$ in (9.8.22) has filtration ≥ 3 so that by (9.8.22) we obtain that $jj'_{p^n-1}\bar{\epsilon}i'_{p^n-1}i \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q-2}S$ is represented by $h_0h_{n+1} \in Ext_A^{2,p^{n+1}q+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ in the Adams spectral sequence. This claim will be proved in the last.

Then, $jj'_{p^n-1}\bar{\epsilon}i'_{p^n-1}i$ is represented by $\lambda_0\beta_{p^n/p^n-1} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \lambda_r\beta_{t_r p^{n-2r}/p^{n-2r-1}} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence so that by Prop. 9.8.12 we know that $\bar{\epsilon}i'_{p^n-1}i \in \pi_{p^n(p+1)q}K_{p^n-1}$ is represented by $v_2^{p^n} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \lambda_r v_1^{p^n-p^{n-2r}} \tilde{c}_1(t_r p^{n-2r}) \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,p^n(p+1)q}(BP_*, BP_*K_{p^n-1})$, where

$\lambda_r \in Z_p$ and $t_r = (p^{2r+2} + 1)/(p + 1)$.

By [22] Theorem C,D, it is known that $v_2^{tp} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_*K_r)$, for $t \geq 1, 1 \leq r \leq p-1$, is a permanent cycle in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. Suppose inductively that $v_2^{tp^s} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_*K_r)$ (for $t \geq 1, 1 \leq r \leq p^s - 1$ and $s \leq n-1$) is a permanent cycle in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, then we know that $v_1^{p^n - p^{n-2r}} \tilde{c}_1(t_r p^{n-2r}) \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,p^n(p+1)q}(BP_*, BP_*K_{p^{n-1}})$ also is a permanent cycle for all $r \geq 1$. Moreover, by the representation of the above $\tilde{c}_1' i_{p^{n-1}}$ we obtain that $v_2^{p^n} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,p^n(p+1)q}(BP_*, BP_*K_{p^{n-1}})$ is a permanent cycle. Hence, by (9.8.6), there exists $k \in [\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q} K_{p^{n-1}}, K_{p^{n-1}}]$ such that the induced BP_* -homomorphism $k_* = v_2^{p^n}$. In addition, the map $\rho_{p^{n-1},r} : K_{p^{n-1}} \rightarrow K_r$ in (9.8.4) for all $r \leq p^n - 1$ is a projection, then $\rho_{p^{n-1},r} k^t i_{p^{n-1}}' i \in \pi_{tp^n(p+1)q} K_r$ is represented by $v_2^{tp^n} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_*K_r)$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. This completes the induction and $j j_r' \rho_{p^{n-1},r} k^t i_{p^{n-1}}' i \in \pi_* S$ is just the $\beta_{tp^n/r}$ -element of the Theorem.

Now our remaining work is to prove the above claim. We turn to an argument in the ASS and let A be the mod p Steenrod algebra. By $Ext_A^{1,p^{n+1}q-1}(Z_p, H^*M) \cong Z_p\{j^*(h_{n+1})\}$ and the result on $\beta_{p^n/p^n} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,p^{n+1}q}(BP_*, BP_*)$ support a nontrivial differential in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence in [12] p.106 Theorem 5.4.8(i), we know that

(9.8.23) $j^*(h_{n+1}) \in Ext_A^{1,p^{n+1}q-1}(Z_p, H^*M)$ dies in the ASS

Then, the map $f_7 \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q-2} M, S]$ in (9.8.22) has filtration ≥ 2 in the ASS and so $f_7 \alpha i$ has filtration ≥ 3 . Moreover, by (9.8.21) we know that $j j_{p^{n-1}}' \bar{e} i_{p^{n-1}}' i$ and $j f i \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q-2} S$ must have the same filtration so that it is represented by $h_0 h_{n+1} \in Ext_A^{2,p^{n+1}q+q}(Z_p, Z_p)$ in the ASS. This shows the above claim and the Theorem is proved. Q.E.D.

Remark 9.8.24 We give a detail proof of the result in (9.8.23) as follows. It will be done by some argument processing in the Adams resolution (9.2.9). Suppose in contrast that the map $j^* h_{n+1} \in Ext_A^{1,p^{n+1}q-1}(Z_p, H^*M)$ is a permanent cycle in the ASS, then we have $\bar{c}_1 h_{n+1} \cdot j = 0$, where $h_{n+1} \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q} KG_1 \cong Ext_A^{1,p^{n+1}q}(Z_p, Z_p)$. Consequently $\bar{c}_1 h_{n+1} = \bar{f} \cdot p$ for some $\bar{f} \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q} E_2$. On the other hand, $\bar{b}_2 \bar{f} \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q} KG_2 \cong Ext_A^{2,p^{n+1}q}(Z_p, Z_p) \cong Z_p\{b_n\}$ so that we have $\bar{b}_2 \bar{f} = \lambda \cdot b_n$ with $\lambda \in Z_p$. However, the scalar λ must be zero, this is because b_n support a nontrivial differential $d_{2p-1}(b_n) =$

$d_{2p-1}\Phi(\beta_{p^n/p^n}) = \Phi d_{2p-1}(\beta_{p^n/p^n}) = \Phi(\alpha_1 \beta_{p^{n-1}/p^{n-1}}^p) = h_0 b_{n-1}^p \neq 0$ (cf. [12] p.206 Theorem 5.4.8(i)). Hence $\bar{f} = \bar{a}_2 \bar{f}_1$ for some $\bar{f}_1 \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+1}E_3$ and we have $\bar{c}_1 h_{n+1} = \bar{a}_2 \bar{f}_1 \cdot p = \bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_3 \bar{f}_2$ with $\bar{f}_2 \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+2}E_4$. This means that the secondary differential $d_2(h_{n+1}) = 0$ which contradicts with the following known nontrivial differential $d_2(h_{n+1}) = a_0 b_n \neq 0 \in Ext_A^{3,p^{n+1}q+1}(Z_p, Z_p)$ (cf. [12] p.11 Theorem 1.2.14). So we have $\tilde{c}_1 h_{n+1} \cdot j \neq 0$ and so (9.8.23) holds.

Now we proceed to prove Theorem 9.8.1 in case $t \geq 2$. We first prove the following Lemmas and Propositions.

Lemma 9.8.25 Let $p \geq 3$ and $v_1 x \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,tp^n(p+1)q}(BP_*, BP_*K_{p^n})$, then $v_1 x = \sum_{r=1}^{[n/2]} \lambda_r v_1^{p^n - p^{n-2r}} \tilde{c}_1(a_r p^{n-2r})$, where $\lambda_r \in Z_p$, $a_r = (tp^{2r+1} + tp^{2r} - p^{2r} + 1)/(p+1)$ and $\tilde{c}_1(ap^s)$ is the generator in Theorem 8.1.7 in chapter 6 which has degree $ap^s(p+1)q$.

Proof : By Theorem 8.1.7 in chapter 8, $v_1 x$ is a linear combination of the following generators $v_1^b \tilde{c}_1(ap^s)$, where $a \geq 1$ is not divisible by p , $1 \leq b < p^n$, $b \geq \max\{0, p^n - q_1(ap^s)\}$ and $q_1(ap^s) = p^s$ if $a = 1$, $q_1(ap^s) = p^s + p^{s-1} - 1$ if $a \geq 2$.

By degree reasons we have $bq + ap^s(p+1)q = tp^n(p+1)q$, then $s < n$, $b \geq p^n - (p^s + p^{s-1} - 1) > 0$ and so $b \geq p^n - p^{n-1}$ if $s \leq n-2$. If $s = n-1$, then b is divisible by $p^{n-1}(p+1)$ so that $b \geq p^n + p^{n-1}$. So, in any case we have $b \geq p^{n-1}(p-1)$ and the remaining steps is similar to that given in the proof of Prop. 9.8.12. Q.E.D.

Proposition 9.8.26 Let $r > s$ and $\rho_{r,s} : K_r \rightarrow K_s$ be the map in (9.8.4), then $d(\rho_{r,s}) = i'_s \xi j'_r$ with $\xi \in [\Sigma^{rq+1}M, M] \cap \ker d$.

Proof : By (9.8.6)(9.8.3) we have $j'_s d(\rho_{r,s}) = d(j'_s \rho_{r,s}) = d(\alpha^{r-s} j'_r) = 0$, then $d(\rho_{r,s}) = i'_s \bar{\xi}$ for some $\bar{\xi} \in [\Sigma K_r, M]$ and $\bar{\xi} = \xi j'_r$ with $\xi \in [\Sigma^{rq+1}M, M]$, this is because $\bar{\xi} i'_r \in [\Sigma M, M] = 0$. By Theorem 6.4.14 in chapter 6, we may assume $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 ij$ with $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \ker d \cap [\Sigma^* M, M]$. Then $d(\rho_{r,s}) = i'_s \xi_1 j'_r + i'_s \xi_2 ij j'_r$ and by applying the derivation d using (9.8.3) we have $i'_s \xi_2 j'_r = 0$. Consequently we have $i'_s \xi_2 = \xi_3 \alpha^r = 0$, this is because $\xi_3 \in [\Sigma^2 M, K_s] = 0$. Then $d(\rho_{r,s}) = i'_s \xi_1 j'_r$ with $\xi_1 \in \ker d \cap [\Sigma^{rq+1}M, M]$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 9.8.1 in case $t \geq 2$: By Theorem 9.8.1 in case $t \geq 1$, there exists $f' \in [\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q}K_a, K_a]$ such that the induced BP_* -

homomorphism $f'_* = v_2^{p^n}$, where we briefly write $p^n - 1$ as a . By Theorem 6.5.22 in chapter 6, we may assume $f \in Mod_*$, this is because the components of f' in Der_* and $Mod_*\delta_0$ induce zero BP_* -homomorphism.

Write $\delta' = i'_s j'_s \in [\Sigma^{-sq-1}K_s, K_s]$. By Theorem 6.5.23 in chapter 6, $\delta' f' - f' \delta' \in Mod_*$ and this group is a commutative subring of $[\Sigma^* K_s, K_s]$. Then we have $f'(\delta' f' - f' \delta') = (\delta' f' - f' \delta' f') f'$ or equivalently, $(f')^2 \delta' - \delta' (f')^2 = 2((f')^2 \delta' - f' \delta' f')$. By induction we have $(f')^s \delta' - \delta' (f')^s = s((f')^s \delta' - (f')^{s-1} \delta' f')$, $s \geq 1$. That is

$$(9.8.27) \quad s \cdot (f')^{s-1} \delta' f' = \delta' (f')^s + (s-1)(f')^s \delta', \quad s \geq 1$$

Let $\rho_{a,1} : K_a \rightarrow K_1$ be the projection in (9.8.4), then by Theorem in chapter 6, $\rho_{a,1}(f')^s i'_a i \in \pi_* K_1$ can be extended to $k_s \in Mod_* \subset [\Sigma^{sp^n(p+1)q} K_1, K_1]$ such that $\rho_{a,1}(f')^s i'_a i = k_s i'_1 i$ and $(k_s)_* = v_2^{sp^n}$. Since $j'_1 k_s i'_1 i = \alpha^{a-1} j'_a (f')^s i'_a i$ and $(i'_1 j'_1 k_s - k_s i'_1 j'_1) \in Mod_*$, then $(i'_1 j'_1 k_s - k_s i'_1 j'_1) i'_1 i = 0$ and so $i'_1 j'_1 k_s = k_s i'_1 j'_1$. By applying the derivation d to the equation $\rho_{a,1}(f')^s i'_a \delta = k_s i'_1 \delta$ (where we write $\delta = ij$) we have

$$(9.8.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{a,1}(f')^s i'_a &= k_s i'_1 - d(\rho_{a,1})(f')^s i'_a \delta = k_s i'_1 - i'_1 \xi j'_a (f')^s i'_a \delta \\ &= k_s i'_1 - i'_1 j'_a (f')^s i'_a \xi \delta, \quad s \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi \in [\Sigma^{aq+1}M, M] \cap \ker d$ (cf. Prop. 9.8.26). Let $t \geq 2$ is not divisible by p , then by (9.8.27)(9.8.28) we have $i'_1 j'_a (f')^t i'_a = \rho_{a,1} i'_a j'_a (f')^t i'_a = t \cdot \rho_{a,1}(f')^{t-1} i'_a j'_a f' i'_a = t \cdot k^{t-1} i'_1 j'_a f' i'_a - t \cdot i'_1 j'_a (f')^{t-1} i'_a \xi \delta j'_a f' i'_a$ and

$$(9.8.29) \quad i'_1 j'_a \phi = t \cdot k^{t-1} i'_1 j'_a f' i'_a,$$

where we write $\phi = (f')^t i'_a + t \cdot (f')^{t-1} i'_a \xi \delta j'_a f' i'_a$.

Let X be the cofibre of $i'_1 j'_a f' i'_a : \Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q-1}M \rightarrow K_1$ given by the cofibration in the upper row of the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$(9.8.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^{-1}X & \xrightarrow{u} & \Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q-1}M & \xrightarrow{i'_1 j'_a f' i'_a} & K_1 & \xrightarrow{w} & X \\ \downarrow \bar{\phi} & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow t \cdot k^{t-1} & & \downarrow \bar{\phi} \\ \Sigma^{-m-1}K_{a+1} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{a+1,a}} & \Sigma^{-m-1}K_a & \xrightarrow{i'_1 j'_a} & \Sigma^{-m+aq}K_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_{1,a+1}} & \Sigma^{-m}K_{a+1} \end{array}$$

Note that the above middle rectangle is homotopy commutative by (9.8.29), then there exists $\bar{\phi}$ such that all the above rectangles commute up to homotopy.

By $w i'_1 j'_a f' i'_a = 0$ we have $w i'_1 j'_a f' = y j'_a$ with $y \in [\Sigma^{p^n(p+1)q}M, X]$ so that $u y j'_a = 0$ and $u y = \lambda \cdot \alpha^a$ for some $\lambda \in [M, M] \cong Z_p\{1_M\}$, that is we have

$$(9.8.31) \quad wi'_1 j'_a f' = y j'_a, \quad uy = \lambda \cdot \alpha^a \text{ for some } \lambda \in Z_p.$$

On the other hand, $j'_a(f')^s \psi_{1,a} i'_1 = j'_a(f')^s i'_a \alpha^{a-1} = \alpha^{a-1} j'_a(f')^s i'_a = j'_1 \rho_{a,1} (f')^s i'_a = j'_1 k_s i'_1$, then $j'_a f' \psi_{1,a} = j'_1 k_1 + \eta j'_1$ with $\eta \in [\Sigma^* M, M]$ and so $y j'_1 = wi'_1 j'_a f' \psi_{1,a} = wi'_1 j'_1 k_1 + wi'_1 \eta j'_1 = wk_1 i'_1 j'_1 + wi'_1 \eta j'_1$ and we have

$$(9.8.32) \quad y = wk_1 i'_1 + wi'_1 \eta + z\alpha \quad \text{with } z \in [\Sigma^* M, X],$$

$$\bar{\phi}y = t \cdot \psi_{1,a+1} k_{t-1} k_1 i'_1 + t \cdot \psi_{1,a+1} k_{t-1} i'_1 \eta + \bar{\phi}z\alpha$$

which is obtained by (9.8.31).

We claim that

$$(9.8.33) \quad \bar{\phi}z\alpha i \in \pi_{tp^n(p+1)q+aq} K_{a+1} \text{ has } BP \text{ filtration } > 0$$

This will be proved in the last. Then $\bar{\phi}yi = t \cdot \psi_{1,a+1} k_{t-1} k_1 i'_1 i$ (modulo higher filtration) is represented by $t \cdot v_1^a v_2^{tp^n} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_* K_{a+1})$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence.

Hence, by (9.8.31)(9.8.30)(9.8.28)(9.8.27) we have $\bar{\phi}y j'_a = \bar{\phi}wi'_1 j'_a f' = t \cdot \psi_{1,a+1} k_{t-1} i'_1 j'_a f' = t \cdot \psi_{1,a+1} \rho_{a,1} (f')^{t-1} i'_a j'_a f' = \psi_{1,a+1} \rho_{a,1} (i'_a j'_a (f')^t + (t-1)(f')^t i'_a j'_a) = (t-1)\psi_{1,a+1} \rho_{a,1} (f')^t i'_a j'_a$ and so $\bar{\phi}y = (t-1)\psi_{1,a+1} \rho_{a,1} (f')^t i'_a + \tilde{f}\alpha^a$ with $\tilde{f} \in [\Sigma^{tp^n(p+1)q} M, K_{a+1}]$.

By the claim (9.8.33), $\bar{\phi}yi$ is represented by $t \cdot v_1^a v_2^{tp^n}$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, then $\tilde{f}\alpha^a i$ is represented by $v_1^a v_2^{tp^n}$ and so $\tilde{f}i \in \pi_{tp^n(p+1)q} K_{a+1}$ is represented by $v_2^{tp^n} + v_1 x \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,tp^n(p+1)q}(BP_*, BP_* K_{a+1})$, where $v_1 x = \sum_{r=1}^{[n/2]} \lambda_r v_1^{p^n - p^{n-2r}} \tilde{c}_1(a_r p^{n-2r})$ which is obtained by Lemma 9.8.25.

By [20], if $t \geq 2$ is not divisible by p and $1 \leq r \leq p$, $v_2^{tp} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_* K_r)$ is a permanent cycle in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. Suppose inductively that $v_2^{tp^s} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_* K_r)$ are permanent cycles for all $t \geq 2$ is not divisible by p , $1 \leq r \leq p^s$ and $s \leq n-1$. Then, it is easily seen that $v_1^{p^n - p^{n-2r}} \tilde{c}_1(a_r p^{n-2r})$ is realizable in $[\Sigma^{tp^n(p+1)q} K_{a+1}, K_{a+1}]$ so that the above by the induction hypothesis we know that $v_1 x$ also is a permanent cycle. So, $v_2^{tp^n} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_* K_{a+1})$ is a permanent cycle in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and there exists $h \in \pi_{tp^n(p+1)q} K_{a+1}$ such that the induced BP_* -homomorphism $h_* = v_2^{tp^n}$. Hence, for $1 \leq r \leq a+1 = p^n$, $j j'_r \rho_{a+1,r} h \in \pi_{tp^n(p+1)q-rq-2} S$ is just the $\beta_{tp^n/s}$ -element of the Theorem.

Now our remaining work is to prove the claim (9.8.33). Recall as known above that $j'_a f' i'_a i \in \pi_* M$ is represented by $\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*,$

BP_*M) in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and $\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}} = v_1\beta'_{p^n/p^n}$, then $(i'_1)_*(\beta'_{p^n/p^{n-1}}) = 0 \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{1,*}(BP_*, BP_*K_1)$ and so $i'_1j'_af'i'_ai$ is in π_*K_1 has BP -filtration $\geq q+1$. Then, in the Adams-Novikov resolution of the spectrum K_1 , $i'_1j'_af'i'_ai$ can be lifted to $\kappa \in \pi_*\tilde{E}_{q+1} \wedge K_1$ such that $(\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_{K_1}) \cdots (\tilde{a}_q \wedge 1_{K_1})\kappa = i'_1j'_af'i'_ai$. Since K_1 is an M -module spectrum, then $\kappa = \kappa' \cdot i$ with $\kappa' \in [\Sigma^*M, \tilde{E}_{q+1} \wedge K_1]$. Consequently we have $i'_1j'_af'i'_ai = (\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_{K_1}) \cdots (\tilde{a}_q \wedge 1_{K_1})\kappa' + \sigma j$ with $\sigma \in [\Sigma^{p^{n+1}q+q}S, K_1]$. Note that $(\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{K_1})\sigma \in \pi_{p^{n+1}q+q}BP \wedge K_1 \cong Hom_{BP_*BP}^{p^{n+1}q+q}(BP_*, BP_*(BP \wedge K_1))$ is a d_1 -cycle in the Adams-Novikov resolution of K_1 and it represents an element in $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,p^{n+1}q+q}(BP_*, BP_*K_1)$. However, this group is zero by degree reason, this is because $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_*K_1) \cong Z_p[v_2]$. Then we have $(\tilde{b}_0 \wedge 1_{K_1})\sigma = 0$ so that σ can be lifted to $\sigma' \in \pi_*\tilde{E}_{q+1} \wedge K_1$ such that $(\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_{K_1}) \cdots (\tilde{a}_q \wedge 1_{K_1})\sigma' = \sigma$. So we have $i'_1j'_af'i'_ai = (\tilde{a}_0 \wedge 1_{K_1}) \cdots (\tilde{a}_q \wedge 1_{K_1})(\kappa' + \sigma'j)$. By this we know that the following short exact sequence induced by the cofibration in the top row of (9.8.30) is a split exact sequence of BP_*BP -comodule:

$$0 \rightarrow BP_*K_1 \xrightarrow{u_*} BP_*X \xrightarrow{w_*} BP_*M \rightarrow 0$$

where $|w_*| = -(p^{n+1} + 1)q$

Moreover, this splitness also hold in the following $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}$ -stage :

$$0 \rightarrow Ext^0K_1 \xrightarrow{u_*} Ext^0X \xrightarrow{w_*} Ext^0M \rightarrow 0$$

That is to say, there is an invariant BP_* -homomorphism $u' : Ext^0X \rightarrow Ext^0K_1$ and $w' : Ext^0M \rightarrow Ext^0X$ such that $u'u_* = 1_{Ext^0K_1}$, $w_*w' = 1_{Ext^0M}$ and $u_*u' + w'_w_* = 1_{Ext^0X}$, where we briefly write $Ext_{BP_*BP}^{0,*}(BP_*, BP_*X)$ as Ext^0X .

To prove the claim (9.8.33), suppose in contrast that $\bar{\phi}z\alpha i \in \pi_*K_{a+1}$ has BP -filtration 0, then, by (9.8.32), it is represented by $\lambda v_1^a v_2^{tp^n} \in Ext^0K_{a+1}$ in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, where $\lambda \neq 0 \in Z_p$. Then $zi \in \pi_{tp^n(p+1)q+(a-1)q}X$ must have BP filtration 0 and it is represented by some $x \in Ext^{0,*}X$ and $(\bar{\phi})_*(v_1x) = \lambda \cdot v_1^a v_2^{tp^n}$. However,

$$x = u_*u'(x) + w'_w_*(x) = w'_w_*(x)$$

, this is because by degree reason we have $u'(x) \in Ext^{0,tp^n(p+1)q+(a-1)q}K_1 = 0$, Then

$$x = \lambda'w'(v_1^r), \quad \text{for some } \lambda' \in Z_p, r q = tp^n(p+1)q + (a-1)q - p^{n+1}q - q$$

since $w_*(x) \in Ext^{0,r}M \cong Z_p\{v_1^r\}$, Then

$$\lambda v_1^a v_2^{tp^n} = (\bar{\phi})_*(v_1x) = \lambda'(\bar{\phi})_*w'(v_1^{r+1})$$

Moreover, since $w'(v_1^{r+1})$ is belong to $Ext^{0,*}X$ the summand which is isomorphic to $Ext^{0,*}M$ and $Ext^{0,*}M$ is a trivial $Z_p[v_2]$ -module, then we have

$$0 = v_2^{p^n} \cdot (\bar{\phi})_* w'(v_1^{r+1}) = \lambda \cdot v_1^a v_2^{(t+1)p^n} \in Ext^{0,*}K_{a+1}$$

This is a contradiction and then shows the claim(9.8.33). Q.E.D.

After finishing the proof of Thoerem 9.8.1 on second periodicity elements in the stable homotopy groups of spheres, we state the following Theorem on further result on second periodicity families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres without proof. The proof is done in base on the result of Theorem 9.8.1 and using some properties of the spectrum $M(p^r, v_1^{ap^s})$ which is the geometric realization of $BP_*/(p^r, v_1^{ap^s})$. The details of the proof can be seen in [23] §3.

Theorem 9.8.34 Let $p \geq 5$. $j = cp^i \leq p^{n-i} - 1$ if $t \geq 1$ ($cp^i \leq p^{n-i}$ if $t \geq 2$), then the element

$$\beta_{tp^n/j, i+1} \in Ext_{BP_*BP}^{2,*}(BP_*, BP_*)$$

is a permanent cycle in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and it converges to the corresponding homotopy element of order p^{i+1} in π_*S .

REFERENCES

- [1] Aikawa T. , 3-Dimensional cohomology of the mod p Steenrod algebra *Math. Scanfd.* 47(1980), 91–115.
- [2] Cohen R. , Odd primary families in stable homotopy theory. *Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc.* No. 242(1981).
- [3] Cohen R. and Goerss P. , Secondary cohomology operations that detect homotopy classes. *Topology* 22(1984), 177–194.
- [4] Hoffman P. , Relations in the stable homotopy of Moore spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 18(1968), 621–634.
- [5] Jinkun Lin and Qibing Zheng , A new family of filtration seven in the stable homotopy of spheres. *Hiroshima Math. J.* 28(1998) 183–205.
- [6] Jinkun Lin , A new family of filtration three in the stable homotopy of spheres. *Hiroshima Math. J.* 31(2001) 477–492.
- [7] Jinkun Lin , Some new families in the stable homotopy of spheres revisited. *Acta Math. Sinica* 18(2002) 95–106.

- [8] Jinkun Lin , Two new families in the stable homotopy groups of sphere and Moore spectrum. *Chin. Ann. of Math.* 27B(2006) 311-328.
- [9] Jinkun Lin, Third periodicity families in the stable homotopy of spheres. *JP Journal of Geometry and Topology* 3(2003), 179-219.
- [10] Miller H. R. , Ravenel D.C. and Wilson W.S. . Periodic phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectra sequence. *Ann. of Math.* 106(1977) 469-516.
- [11] Oka S. , Multiplicative structure of finite spectra and stable homotopy of spheres. *Lecture Notes in Math.* v.1051 Springer-verlag (1984).
- [12] Ravenel D.C. , Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres . *Academic Press Inc.* (1986)
- [13] Thomas E. and Zahler R. , Generalized higher order cohomology operations and stable homotopy groups of spheres. *Advances in Math.* 20(1976) 287–328.
- [14] Toda H. , Algebra of stable homotopy of Z_p -spaces and applications. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 11(1971),197–251.
- [15] Toda H. , On spectra realizing exterior part of the Steenrod algebra. *Topology* 10(1971), 53–65.
- [16] Wang X. and Zheng Q. , The convergence of $\tilde{\alpha}^{(n)}h_0h_k$ *Science in China* 41(1998), 622–636.
- [17] Wang X., On the 4-dimensional cohomology of the Steenrod algebra. *Beijing Mathematics* 1(1995), 80-99.
- [18] Zhou X., Higher cohomology operations that detect homology class. *Lecture Notes in Math.* v.1370 Springer-verlag 1980, 416–436.
- [19] Miller H.R. and Wilson W.S. , On Novikov’s Ext^1 modulo an invariant prime ideal. *Topology* 15(1976), 131-141.
- [20] Oka S., Realizing some cyclic BP_* modules and applications to stable homotopy of spheres. *Hiroshima Math. J.* 7(1977), 427-447.
- [21] Oka S., Small ring spectra and p-rank of the stable homotopy of spheres. *Contemp. Math.* 49(1983), 267-308.
- [22] Oka S., A new family in the stable homotopy of spheres. *Hiroshima Math. J.* 5(1975),87-114.
- [23] Jinkun Lin , Detection of second periodicity families in stable homotopy of spheres. *American J. of Math.* 112(1990), 595-210.
- [24] Jinkun Lin, A pull back Theorem in the Adams spectral sequence,

Acta Math. Sinica. v.34(2008) no.3,471-490

[25] Hirofumi Nakai, The Chromatic E_1 -term $H^0 M_1^2$ for $p \neq 3$. *New York Journal of Math.* 6(2000), 21-54.